CODE REVISER USE ONLY



OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: May 19, 2021

TIME: 9:34 AM

WSR 21-11-100

Agency: Department of Commerce

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 365-135 BOND CAP ALLOCATION: amendments to -035(1); -035(2); -070(1)(a); -070(4); -070(5); -070(6).

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: This rule change will adjust six references within the existing WAC provisions from September 1 to July 1. This will allow for earlier re-allocation of unutilized bond cap from initial set-aside categories to applicants in other categories reducing wait times for projects to proceed. This will assist with maximizing utilization of bond cap during the calendar year.

- --The change to WAC 365-135-035(1) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) after which Housing programs and projects are given priority for the first 50 percent of released/reallocated volume cap.
- --The change to WAC 365-135-035(2) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) of the provision which indicates that applicants from all other categories will be considered for allocation of released/reallocated volume cap.
- --The change to WAC 365-135-070(1)(a) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) when an exempt facility applicant may be awarded more than thirty percent of the initial set-aside for exempt facility projects.
- --The change to WAC 365-135-070(4) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) at which the application criteria for exempt facility applicants are softened.
- --The change to WAC 365-135-070(5) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) when exempt facility projects may receive an allocation to convert taxable financing to tax-exempt financing.
- --The change to WAC 365-135-070(6) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) when four tiers of limitations on the proportion of volume cap allocation to total project cost can be waived.

Reasons supporting proposal: These changes will provide conformance with the provisions of RCW 39.86 which were amended in 2010 to alter release/reallocation dates for initial bond cap set-asides from September 1 to July 1. These changes will ensure that the framework and intent that existed in the rules prior to the amendments to the code in 2010 are restored.

- --Without the change to WAC 365-135-035(1) housing programs may receive less than 50 percent of released/reallocated volume cap. This is inconsistent with the WAC framework and intent that existed prior to RCW changes in 2010 and the amendment would bring consistency with the pre-2010 rules.
- --Without the change to WAC 365-135-035(2) applicants from various categories do not have explicit authorization under the rules for reallocation of initial set-asides in other underutilized categories. This is inconsistent with the WAC framework and intent that existed prior to RCW changes in 2010 and the amendment would bring consistency with the pre-2010 rules.
- --Without the change to WAC 365-135-070(1)(a) amends the date (from 9/1 to 7/1) an exempt facility applicant is inhibited from immediate consideration for released cap. As a result, exempt facility projects are at a disadvantage in competing for released volume cap in comparison to other categories in a manner that did not occur prior to the 2010 amendments to RCW 39.86.
- --Without the change to WAC 365-135-070(4) the strict criteria for exempt facility applicants are maintained for a period after the general release date in a manner inconsistent with the pre-2010 framework.

taxable financing taxable financing taxable financing	to tax-exempt financing occurs	e date when an exempt facility project may receiv two months after the general release date. As a recap in comparison to other categories in a manr	result, these	projects are at	
Without the change to WAC 365-135-070(6) the date when four tiers of limitations on the proportion of volume cap allocation to total project cost are waived occurs two months after the general release date. As a result, these projects are at a disadvantage in competing for released volume cap in comparison to other categories in a manner that did not occur prior to the 2010 amendments to RCW 39.86. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 34.05.353(1)(b) and RCW 34.05.353(1)(d)					
Statute being implemented: RCW 39.86					
Is rule necessary	because of a:				
Federal Lav	w?		□ Yes	⊠ No	
Federal Court Decision?				⊠ No	
State Court Decision?			□ Yes	⊠ No	
If yes, CITATION:					
	ent: (person or organization) D	epartment of Commerce	□ Private□ Public⊠ Govern	mental	
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting:	Allan Johnson	1011 Plum St SE, Olympia, WA, 98504	360-725-5033		
Implementation:	Allan Johnson	1011 Plum St SE, Olympia, WA, 98504	360-725-5033		
Enforcement:	Allan Johnson	1011 Plum St SE, Olympia, WA, 98504	360-725-50		
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: This will affect timing of release/reallocation dates but will not change the overall amount of private activity volume cap. It may assist in maximizing use of volume cap within a specific calendar year.					
Expedited Adoption - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file this notice:					
 □ Relates only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a person; □ Adopts or incorporates by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs other than those programs governing shorelines of statewide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule; □ Corrects typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect; □ Content is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute; □ Have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or □ Is being amended after a review under RCW 34.05.328. 					
Expedited Repeal - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file notice:					
statutory authority ☐ The statute on judgment, and no ☐ The rule is no	for the rule; which the rule is based has be statute has been enacted to re longer necessary because of c	een repealed and has not been replaced by anoth een declared unconstitutional by a court with jurisc place the unconstitutional statute; hanged circumstances; or by govern the same activity as the rule, making th	diction, there	is a final	
Explanation of th 34.05.353(4): The	ne reason the agency believe proposed WAC amendments	s the expedited rule-making process is appropail bring the rules into conformance with the code es from September 1 of each year to July 1 of each	priate purs u e revisions a	ant to RCW	

NOTICE

THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED UNDER AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS USE OF THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO

Name: Amber Siefer

Agency: Department of Commerce

Address: 1011 Plum Street SE, Olympia, WA 98504-2525

Phone: 360-522-0101

Fax: NA

Email: amber.siefer@commerce.wa.gov

Other:

AND RECEIVED BY (date) July 19, 2021

Date: May 19, 2021	Signature:
Name: Amber Siefer	Jules diefer
Title: Rules Coordinator	