WAC 365-135-035  Reallocations. (1) Housing programs and projects will be given priority for the first fifty percent of the annual tax exempt private activity bond cap available after July 1st each year because of the need for affordable housing, the program's ability to serve lower-income households, its contribution to and support of economic development and long-term benefits that may be achieved.

(2) Bond cap will consider other categories of applications including industrial development bonds, exempt facilities, public utility districts, and student loans for allocation from the remaining bond cap available after July 1st.

(a) The program will consider and then evaluate and balance the public benefits listed in statute and in rule in making allocation decisions. Allocations will be based upon the likelihood of a project achieving the highest overall public purposes and the degree to which a project:

(i) Provides an economic boost to an economically distressed community (based on the three-year unemployment figures from employment security);
(ii) Creates or retains jobs that pay higher than the median wage for the county in which it is located, in sustainable industries, particularly for lower-income persons;
(iii) Retains or expands the local tax base;
(iv) Encourages and facilitates the provision of student loans for institutions of higher education;
(v) Reduces environmental pollution;
(vi) Facilitates investments in new manufacturing technologies enabling Washington industries to stay competitive;
(vii) Diverts solid waste from disposal and manufactures it into value-added products;
(viii) Encourages the environmentally sound handling of solid waste using best management's practices; or
(ix) Produces competitively priced energy for use in the state.

(b) The criteria in this section and other applicable criteria otherwise established in statute and rule shall not be considered as ranked in any particular order but shall be weighed and balanced for each application and among applications in making allocation decisions.

(3) For the purposes of qualified energy conservation bonds, the federal code and U.S. Department of Treasury guidance contained in IRS Notice 2009-29 allow formula allocations to be reallocated to the state and passed on by the state to other issuers. An originally awarded locality may designate other issuing localities within the jurisdiction of the originally awarded locality to use all or a portion of its original allocation by any procedure mutually acceptable to both parties, on condition that the originally awarded locality provides documentation of the designation to the department within thirty days of making the designation and ensures that all other department requests for documentation are met. The following procedures will apply to qualified energy conservation bond reallocations:

(a) An originally awarded locality that intends to use its original allocation or intends to designate another issuer within the jurisdiction of the originally awarded locality to use the original al-
location must file a Notice of Intent form with the department by January 1, 2010.

(b) An originally awarded locality that has chosen to decline its original allocation may affirmatively reallocate to the state by submitting an appropriately marked Notice of Intent form.

(i) The form must be signed by the official(s) of the jurisdiction authorized to execute the form pursuant to a resolution declining the allocation adopted by the jurisdiction's governing body; and

(ii) The form and the resolution declining the allocation must be delivered to the department by January 1, 2010.

(c) An originally awarded locality that has used the Notice of Intent form to express its intent to use its original allocation may amend the Notice of Intent at a later time if it is determined that the locality is unable to use the allocation and has decided to reallocate to the state.

(d) An originally awarded locality intending to use its original allocation must provide the department with project information and supporting documents by February 1, 2010. Supporting documents include Bond Counsel and Underwriter Statement of Intent forms, or equivalent, at the discretion of the bond cap manager, and a certified copy of an inducement resolution by the governing board. A locality may request an extension if filed by February 1, 2010.

(e) If an originally awarded locality has not provided the department with the documents required by subsections (1), (2) or (4) of this section or has not issued bonds or requested an extension by June 1, 2010, the department may issue a Notice of Intent to Reallocate, informing the locality of the intent to reallocate the original allocation to another locality.

(f) An originally awarded locality will have fifteen days from receipt of a Notice of Intent to Reallocate to respond to the department with the required documentation or to ask the department to reconsider the reallocation determination.

(g) The department will respond to a request to reconsider a reallocation determination within ten business days with a decision by the assistant director of the local government division or designee to grant an extended time in which the issuing jurisdiction must demonstrate progress toward a qualified energy conservation bond issuance, or a decision to go forward with reallocation of the authority. The length of the time extension shall be determined at the discretion of the assistant director.

(4) For the purposes of recovery zone economic development bond and recovery zone facility bond allocations, an originally awarded locality may designate other issuing localities within the jurisdiction of the originally awarded locality to use all or a portion of its original allocation by any procedure mutually acceptable to both parties, on condition that the originally awarded locality provides documentation of the designation to the department within thirty days of making the designation and ensures that all other department requests for documentation are met.

If an originally awarded locality is not able to or chooses not to use its original allocation or to offer it to another issuer within the jurisdiction of the originally awarded locality, the authority may be waived. Waived recovery zone economic development bond or recovery zone facility bond authority may be reallocated by the department to other issuing localities. In addition, if an originally awarded locality does not respond to the department's requests for information regarding its intent to use its original allocation or progress in mov-
ing toward issuance by the federal deadline, the department may deem
the allocation to have been waived.

In such cases, federal code provisions and U.S. Department of
Treasury guidance in IRS Notice 2009-50 allow original allocations to
be waived then reallocated by the state to other issuing localities. The following procedures will apply to any reallocations of waived re-
covery zone economic development bond or recovery zone facility bond
authority:

(a) An originally awarded locality that intends to use its origi-
nal allocation or intends to designate another issuer within the ju-
risdiction of the originally awarded locality to use the original al-
location must file a Notice of Intent form with the department by Jan-
uary 1, 2010.

(b) An originally awarded locality that has chosen to decline its
original allocation may affirmatively waive the allocation for reallo-
cation by the state by submitting an appropriately marked Notice of
Intent form.

(i) The form must be signed by the official(s) of the jurisdic-
tion authorized to execute the form pursuant to a resolution declining
the allocation adopted by the jurisdiction's governing body; and

(ii) The form and the resolution declining the allocation must be
delivered to the department by January 1, 2010.

(c) An originally awarded locality that has used the Notice of
Intent form to express its intent to use its original allocation may
amend the Notice of Intent at a later time if it is determined that
the locality is unable to use its original allocation and has decided
to waive the allocation for reallocation by the state.

(d) An originally awarded locality intending to use its original
allocation must provide the department with project information and
supporting documents by February 1, 2010. Supporting documents include
Bond Counsel and Underwriter Statement of Intent forms, or equivalent,
at the discretion of the bond cap manager, and a certified copy of an
inducement resolution by the governing board. A locality may request
an extension if filed by February 1, 2010.

(e) If an originally awarded locality has not provided the de-
partment with the documents required by subsections (1), (2) or (4)
of this section or has not issued bonds or requested an extension by June
1, 2010, the department may issue a Notice of Intent to Reallocate,
informing the locality of the intent to deem the original allocation
to have been waived and to reallocate it to another locality.

(f) An originally awarded locality will have fifteen days from
receipt of a Notice of Intent to Reallocate to respond to the depart-
ment with the required documentation or to ask the department to re-
consider its waiver and reallocation determination.

(g) The department will respond to the request to reconsider its
waiver and reallocation determination within ten business days with a
decision by the assistant director of the local government division to
grant an extended time in which the issuing jurisdiction must demon-
strate progress toward a recovery zone economic development bond or
recovery zone facility bond issuance, or a decision to go forward with
waiver and reallocation of the authority. The length of the time ex-
tension shall be determined at the discretion of the assistant direc-
tor.

(h) All recovery zone bonds must be issued by the deadlines es-
tablished in the code.
WAC 365-135-070 Criteria for exempt facility bonds. (1) In addition to the state statute, the following guidelines will be used as criteria for evaluating exempt facility requests:
   (a) Until July 1st of each year, any one exempt facility project may not receive more than thirty percent of the initial allocation amount available in the exempt facility category.
   (b) The level of unemployment in a particular community within a county, to the extent that figures are available from the Washington state employment security department.
   (c) The number of direct jobs and secondary or spin-off jobs expected to be generated by the project.
   (d) The degree to which the project proposes to provide jobs for lower-income persons from the community.
   (e) The number of jobs created in proportion to the amount of the bond cap allocation.
   (f) The proportionate number of persons in relationship to the size of the community who will benefit from the project.
   (g) The degree to which the project provides an economic boost to an economically distressed community (based on the three-year unemployment figures from employment security).
   (h) The degree to which the project retains or expands the local tax base.
   (i) The degree to which the project reduces environmental pollution.
   (j) The degree to which the project diverts solid waste from disposal and manufactures it into value-added products.
   (k) The degree to which the project produces energy at a lower cost than alternative or existing energy sources.
   (l) The environmental benefit of the project to the particular community, the county or the state.
   (m) The availability of bond cap from the exempt facility category.
   (n) Recognize and accommodate the unique timing, and issuance needs of large scale projects that may require allocations in more than one year.
   (o) Projects that result in publicly owned facilities over privately owned facilities.

(2) Exempt facility applications will not be considered for allocation until:
   (a) The department receives:
   (i) A list of all permits required to complete the project and the date each permit application was submitted to and/or granted by the appropriate authority;
   (ii) A copy of any environmental impact statements; and
   (b) Significant progress is demonstrated in securing project financing.

(3) The criteria in this section and other applicable criteria otherwise established in rule and statute shall not be considered as ranked in any particular order but shall be weighed and balanced for each application and among applications in making allocation decisions.
(4) After (September) July 1st of each year, the department may approve an allocation amount prior to the issuer completing all of the criteria listed above.

(5) Exempt facility projects may receive an allocation in order to convert taxable financing to tax-exempt financing, but only in January or (September) July of any year. The request for conversion will be compared against other requests for conversion and current exempt facility applications. Projects that use the Washington economic development finance authority to complete their financing will have priority over projects in obtaining future allocations to convert to tax-exempt financing. Conversion is only allowed within the federal guidelines of one year after the project comes online or two calendar years after the Washington economic development finance authority financing is approved, whichever comes first.

(6) Exempt facility projects up to $50,000,000 may receive an allocation for up to one hundred percent of the total project cost. Projects from $50,000,001 to $75,000,000 may receive an allocation for up to ninety percent of the total project cost. Projects from $75,000,001 to $100,000,000 may receive an allocation for up to eighty percent of the total project cost. Projects over $100,000,000 may receive an allocation for up to seventy percent of the total project cost. A project may obtain additional allocation above these percentages after (September) July 1st of the last year of eligibility only if the total demand for cap is lower than the amount available.