



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY,
TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The
GO
REPORT 2005:
*An Analysis of Local Government
Outstanding General Obligation Debt*

APRIL 2007

If you need this publication in an alternate format, please call CTED's Executive Offices at 360-725-4000. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341 for TTY.

THE GO REPORT 2005:

An Analysis of Local Government Outstanding General Obligation Debt

By Liz Green-Taylor
Bond Users Clearinghouse
Community Development Programs
April 2007

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development
Juli Wilkerson, Director
Nancy K. Ousley, Assistant Director, Local Government Division
Peter McMillin, Managing Director, Community Development Programs

906 Columbia Street SW – Post Office Box 42525
Olympia, Washington 98504-2525
www.cted.wa.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTES & CAVEATS	
Reading the Data	1
COUNTIES	
2005 General Comparisons	2
2005 Debt-to-Population & Debt-to-Valuation Ratios – Top 10 Counties	2
2005 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 20 Counties	3
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail	4
GO Debt Trends 2001-2005	6
CITIES/TOWNS	
2005 General Comparisons	8
2005 Debt-to-Population & Debt-to-Valuation Ratios – Top 10 Cities/Towns	8
2005 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 25 Cities/Towns	9
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail	10
GO Debt Trends 2001-2005	19
SCHOOL DISTRICTS	
2005 General Comparisons	26
2005 Debt-to-Valuation – Top 10 School Districts & Assessed Valuation Distribution	26
2005 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 20 School Districts	27
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail	28
GO Debt Trends 2001-2005	38
JUNIOR TAXING DISTRICTS	
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Port Districts	46
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Hospital Districts	50
2005 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Library Districts	53
BOND USERS CLEARINGHOUSE SERVICES	55

The GO Report 2005 is published by
The Bond Users Clearinghouse
 Washington State Department of
 Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED)
 906 Columbia Street SW, PO Box 42525
 Olympia WA 98504-2525

Internet: www.cted.wa.gov/buc

Program Manager: Liz Green-Taylor
 360/725-5021 or buc@cted.wa.gov

Administrative Assistant: Vernita Shye
 360/725-3023 or vernitas@cted.wa.gov

Statutory Authority: The Revised Code of Washington
 (RCW) Chapter 39.44 was amended in 1990 as follows:

“Each local government that issues any type of bond shall make a report annually to (the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development) that includes a summary of all the outstanding bonds of the local government as of the first day of January in that year. Such report shall distinguish the outstanding bond issues on the basis of the type of bond, as defined in RCW 39.44.200, and shall report the local government’s outstanding indebtedness compared to any applicable limitations on indebtedness, including RCW 35.42.200, 39.30.010, and 39.36.020.”

We make all efforts to ensure the accuracy of the data, but it has not been audited and should be read with caution. CTED assumes no liability for any inaccuracies.

CTED cannot guarantee full compliance with state reporting requirements, so debt listings may not be exhaustive. If data from a jurisdiction is not listed, no assumptions should be made about its debt status.

NOTES & CAVEATS

Questionnaires were mailed in July 2006 to 779 local jurisdictions, and a follow-up letter was later sent to non-respondents. The overall response rate was an acceptable 94.4 percent.

Counties, school districts, cities, and “other jurisdictions” were asked slightly different questions reflecting the types of debt instruments available to them. However, the following data was requested from everyone:

- Non-voted (or councilmanic) general obligation (GO) debt. This includes bonds as well as bond- and grant-anticipation notes, but *not* intergovernmental loan agreements, e.g., with the Public Works Trust Fund, Dept. of Ecology, or U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. This category does include certificates of participation issued by the state treasurer on behalf of a jurisdiction.

- Voter-approved GO debt, such as bonds, anticipation notes, lease-purchase agreements, and installment sales contracts.

- The total cash, investments and taxes receivable in debt service funds for non-voted and for voter-approved debt.

The surveys differed mainly regarding voter-approved debt categories. School districts distinguished between non-capital and capital outlays; cities itemized general, utilities, and parks debt; and other jurisdictions provided general voter-approved GO debt information.

As in previous years, for 2005 only the principal amount of debt was requested, and jurisdictions were not required to report less than \$10,000 for any debt category. Those jurisdictions that did not have any outstanding debt were asked to return a survey so marked.

The figures are for the 2005 calendar year,

2004 SURVEY RESPONSE RATE			
Jurisdiction Type	No. of Surveys Sent	No. of Responses	Response Rate (%)
Cities/Towns	282	266	94.3%
Counties	39	39	100.0%
Library Districts*	55	50	90.9%
Hospital Districts	35	30	85.7%
Ports	69	65	94.2%
Schools	299	285	95.3%
Totals:	779	735	94.4%

ending on December 31, 2005, for all jurisdictions except school districts. For school districts, the survey covers the 2004-2005 school year, beginning on September 1, 2004 and ending on August 31, 2005. Debt capacity in this report represents the statutory – not constitutional – limitations on local governments.

This year, reports are included on all of the smaller jurisdictions surveyed, in addition to cities, counties, and school districts.

Comparative tables include only 2005 data for jurisdictions that submitted surveys in time for data analysis. Jurisdictions not listed in the 2005 comparative tables did not respond to the survey. Blanks in other tables indicate either no response was received for that year or there was no assessed valuation data available. Junior taxing districts whose data was provided by counties via Schedule 09 forms, which do not list all funds needed to calculate debt capacity figures, are also not included.

We extend a hearty thank you to the jurisdictions who participated in this year’s survey.

READING THE DATA

- In the tables \$0 means no GO debt was reported in a given category. A blank space indicates a survey was not submitted, was incorrect and unusable, or no assessed valuation data was available with which to calculate debt capacity.

- The cluster analysis shown in the *General Comparisons* tables (pages 3, 9 and 26) ranks jurisdictions by assessed valuation because it was deemed more useful in analyzing debt than the more typical approach, which is to group by population.

- Assessed valuation data is from the state Department of Revenue; population data is from the Office of Financial Management.



- For space reasons, “AV” refers to a jurisdiction’s assessed valuation, and the term “cities” has often been used generically to refer to both cities and towns.

- This year the *GO Debt Capacity Detail* tables are broken out by jurisdiction type. Note that the content of some columns varies by jurisdiction type because of differing categories of debt. The calculations used to determine GO debt total figures and statutory capacity percentages are listed near the top of each table page. Negative subtotals – which represent positive cashflow – were converted to \$0, since this report only measures debt. Italicized columns represent figures subtracted from debt subtotal columns.

- Some tables refer to the “% of Cap.” This means the percentage of a jurisdiction’s statutory GO debt capacity being used. These limits may be higher than what is needed to maintain a good credit rating, according to *A Debt Primer for Washington’s Cities and Towns*. This useful report was published in 1994 (No. 30) by the Municipal Research & Services Center of Washington (<http://www.mrsc.org/>).

COUNTIES, 2005


* Group average rather than total.




County Name / Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% Cap.	Population	Assessed Valuation (AV)	Debt / Pop.	Debt / AV	Debt % of Total	Pop. % Total	AV % Total
King	\$1,890,427,549	28%	1,835,300	\$268,976,692,297	\$1,030	0.70%	65.45%	28.79%	42.12%
Snohomish	\$336,512,783	20%	671,800	\$68,597,770,547	\$501	0.49%	11.65%	10.54%	10.74%
Pierce	\$86,825,955	5%	773,500	\$63,955,847,441	\$112	0.14%	3.01%	12.13%	10.02%
Clark	\$154,576,056	18%	403,500	\$34,239,969,989	\$383	0.45%	5.35%	6.33%	5.36%
Spokane	\$0	0%	443,800	\$26,182,013,887	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	6.96%	4.10%
Kitsap	\$103,655,000	18%	243,400	\$23,320,361,965	\$426	0.44%	3.59%	3.82%	3.65%
Thurston	\$43,451,560	9%	231,100	\$19,930,800,551	\$188	0.22%	1.50%	3.62%	3.12%
Whatcom	\$12,120,494	3%	184,300	\$16,740,749,814	\$66	0.07%	0.42%	2.89%	2.62%
Yakima	\$45,132,431	15%	231,800	\$11,861,793,103	\$195	0.38%	1.56%	3.64%	1.86%
High Valuation	\$2,672,701,828	*20%	5,018,500	\$533,805,999,594	*\$533	*0.50%	92.53%	78.71%	83.59%
Skagit	\$16,105,000	6%	113,100	\$11,594,699,782	\$142	0.14%	0.56%	1.77%	1.82%
Benton	\$30,735,000	12%	160,600	\$10,212,743,362	\$191	0.30%	1.06%	2.52%	1.60%
Island	\$26,193,692	11%	77,200	\$9,161,705,803	\$339	0.29%	0.91%	1.21%	1.43%
Cowlitz	\$23,410,776	14%	96,800	\$6,898,117,628	\$242	0.34%	0.81%	1.52%	1.08%
Clallam	\$0	0%	67,800	\$6,053,545,616	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.06%	0.95%
Chelan	\$15,589,502	11%	70,100	\$5,452,717,195	\$222	0.29%	0.54%	1.10%	0.85%
San Juan	\$9,127,129	7%	15,700	\$5,338,149,553	\$581	0.17%	0.32%	0.25%	0.84%
Lewis	\$0	0%	72,900	\$5,063,970,509	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%	0.79%
Grant	\$0	0%	80,600	\$4,621,309,517	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.26%	0.72%
Mason	\$1,000,000	1%	53,100	\$4,399,486,799	\$19	0.02%	0.03%	0.83%	0.69%
Grays Harbor	\$10,080,000	9%	70,400	\$4,303,068,539	\$143	0.23%	0.35%	1.10%	0.67%
Jefferson	\$7,895,529	9%	28,200	\$3,617,709,202	\$280	0.22%	0.27%	0.44%	0.57%
Walla Walla	\$1,444,355	2%	57,900	\$3,457,782,191	\$25	0.04%	0.05%	0.91%	0.54%
Kittitas	\$283,856	0%	37,400	\$3,333,464,639	\$8	0.01%	0.01%	0.59%	0.52%
Medium Valuation	\$141,864,839	*7%	1,001,800	\$83,508,470,335	*\$142	*0.17%	4.91%	15.71%	13.08%
Franklin	\$12,608,266	15%	64,200	\$3,278,925,628	\$196	0.38%	0.44%	1.01%	0.51%
Stevens	\$3,252,258	6%	42,100	\$2,350,168,336	\$77	0.14%	0.11%	0.66%	0.37%
Okanogan	\$6,425,000	11%	39,800	\$2,271,584,290	\$161	0.28%	0.22%	0.62%	0.36%
Douglas	\$7,385,204	14%	35,700	\$2,132,538,855	\$207	0.35%	0.26%	0.56%	0.33%
Whitman	\$10,987,567	22%	42,800	\$2,002,922,470	\$257	0.55%	0.38%	0.67%	0.31%
Klickitat	\$2,585,000	6%	19,800	\$1,688,154,000	\$131	0.15%	0.09%	0.31%	0.26%
Pacific	\$4,898,630	12%	21,500	\$1,686,415,751	\$228	0.29%	0.17%	0.34%	0.26%
Adams	\$2,811,705	9%	17,300	\$1,197,756,971	\$163	0.23%	0.10%	0.27%	0.19%
Asotin	\$19,193,336	81%	21,100	\$946,690,627	\$910	2.03%	0.66%	0.33%	0.15%
Skamania	\$0	0%	10,600	\$931,188,698	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.17%	0.15%
Lincoln	\$717,626	3%	10,200	\$848,302,657	\$70	0.08%	0.02%	0.16%	0.13%
Pend Oreille	\$628,031	3%	12,300	\$794,927,541	\$51	0.08%	0.02%	0.19%	0.12%
Ferry	\$77,938	1%	7,500	\$391,041,282	\$10	0.02%	0.00%	0.12%	0.06%
Wahkiakum	\$0	0%	3,900	\$300,165,820	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.05%
Columbia	\$0	0%	4,100	\$286,147,880	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.04%
Garfield	\$2,424,909	60%	2,400	\$160,690,298	\$1,010	1.51%	0.08%	0.04%	0.03%
Low Valuation	\$73,995,470	*14%	355,300	\$21,267,621,104	*\$208	*0.35%	2.56%	5.57%	3.33%
Totals	\$2,888,562,137	*18%	6,375,600	\$638,582,091,033	*\$453	*0.45%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

COUNTIES, 2005 *Non-voted, voted, and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity.*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$2,522,319,799



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$366,242,338



All GO Debt
Total: \$2,888,562,137



NONVOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Non-voted GO Debt	% of Nonvoted Capacity
King	High	\$1,571,135,385	39%
Snohomish	High	\$336,512,783	33%
Kitsap	High	\$103,655,000	30%
Clark	High	\$150,374,348	29%
Asotin	Low	\$3,921,082	28%
Franklin	Low	\$12,608,266	26%
Douglas	Low	\$7,385,204	23%
Yakima	High	\$40,535,000	23%
Cowlitz	Medium	\$23,410,776	23%
Benton	Medium	\$30,735,000	20%
Chelan	Medium	\$15,589,502	19%
Okanogan	Low	\$6,425,000	19%
Adams	Low	\$2,811,705	16%
Grays Harbor	Medium	\$10,080,000	16%
Island	Medium	\$21,187,323	15%
Jefferson	Medium	\$7,895,529	15%
Thurston	High	\$43,451,560	15%
San Juan	Medium	\$9,127,129	11%
Klickitat	Low	\$2,585,000	10%
Skagit	Medium	\$16,105,000	9%

VOTED GO DEBT, ALL COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Voted GO Debt	% of Voted Capacity
Asotin	Low	\$15,272,253	65%
Garfield	Low	\$2,424,909	60%
Whitman	Low	\$9,404,817	19%
Pacific	Low	\$4,598,332	11%
King	High	\$319,292,164	5%
Island	Medium	\$5,006,369	2%
Walla Walla	Medium	\$1,444,355	2%
Yakima	High	\$4,597,431	2%
Clark	High	\$4,201,708	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
Asotin	Low	\$19,193,336	81%
Garfield	Low	\$2,424,909	60%
King	High	\$1,890,427,549	28%
Whitman	Low	\$10,987,567	22%
Snohomish	High	\$336,512,783	20%
Clark	High	\$154,576,056	18%
Kitsap	High	\$103,655,000	18%
Franklin	Low	\$12,608,266	15%
Yakima	High	\$45,132,431	15%
Douglas	Low	\$7,385,204	14%
Cowlitz	Medium	\$23,410,776	14%
Benton	Medium	\$30,735,000	12%
Pacific	Low	\$4,898,630	12%
Island	Medium	\$26,193,692	11%
Chelan	Medium	\$15,589,502	11%
Okanogan	Low	\$6,425,000	11%
Adams	Low	\$2,811,705	9%
Grays Harbor	Medium	\$10,080,000	9%
Jefferson	Medium	\$7,895,529	9%
Thurston	High	\$43,451,560	9%

COUNTIES, 2005 GO DEBT CAPACITY DETAIL *Sorted alphabetically*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. <i>Nonvoted Svc Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur Svc Funds</i>	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO Debt	I. <i>Voted Svc Funds</i>	J. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	K. % of Cap.	L. TOTAL Nonvoted + Voted GO	M. % of Cap.	N. Revenue Debt	O. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015) Voted GO (J) = H+I; Percentage of Voted Capacity (Col. K) = J / (A * .025) Total GO debt (Col. L) = F+J; Percentage of Total Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .025)</i>															
Adams County	\$1,197,756,971	\$2,811,705	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,811,705	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,811,705	9%	\$0	\$0
Asotin County	\$946,690,627	\$4,510,000	\$0	\$573,312	\$1,162,230	\$3,921,082	28%	\$16,062,295	\$790,041	\$15,272,253	65%	\$19,193,336	81%	\$1,000,000	\$0
Benton County	\$10,212,743,362	\$30,735,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,735,000	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$30,735,000	12%	\$0	\$1,239,598
Chelan County	\$5,452,717,195	\$15,589,502	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,589,502	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$15,589,502	11%	\$0	\$0
Clallam County	\$6,053,545,616	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Clark County	\$34,239,969,989	\$149,640,000	\$0	\$734,348	\$0	\$150,374,348	29%	\$4,201,708	\$0	\$4,201,708	0%	\$154,576,056	18%	\$27,020,000	\$228,954
Columbia County	\$286,147,880	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Cowlitz County	\$6,898,117,628	\$22,150,000	\$0	\$1,260,776	\$0	\$23,410,776	23%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$23,410,776	14%	\$29,030,000	\$0
Douglas County	\$2,132,538,855	\$7,239,325	\$422,820	\$568,699	\$0	\$7,385,204	23%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,385,204	14%	\$0	\$0
Ferry County	\$391,041,282	\$77,938	\$0	\$30,462	\$30,462	\$77,938	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$77,938	1%	\$0	\$0
Franklin County	\$3,278,925,628	\$12,608,266	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,608,266	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,608,266	15%	\$0	\$0
Garfield County	\$160,690,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,459,000	\$34,091	\$2,424,909	60%	\$2,424,909	60%	\$0	\$0
Grant County	\$4,621,309,517	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$66,174
Grays Harbor County	\$4,303,068,539	\$10,080,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,080,000	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,080,000	9%	\$0	\$1,874,647
Island County	\$9,161,705,803	\$18,855,755	\$72,603	\$2,404,171	\$0	\$21,187,323	15%	\$5,080,000	\$73,631	\$5,006,369	2%	\$26,193,692	11%	\$890,000	\$0
Jefferson County	\$3,617,709,202	\$5,275,000	\$0	\$2,620,529	\$0	\$7,895,529	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,895,529	9%	\$0	\$12,475
King County	\$268,976,692,297	\$1,373,405,000	\$69,007,218	\$266,737,603	\$0	\$1,571,135,385	39%	\$334,010,000	\$14,717,836	\$319,292,164	5%	\$1,890,427,549	28%	\$1,304,923,318	\$15,000
Kitsap County	\$23,320,361,965	\$103,655,000	\$0	\$5,492,183	\$5,492,183	\$103,655,000	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$103,655,000	18%	\$48,053,553	\$75,000
Kittitas County	\$3,333,464,639	\$0	\$0	\$283,856	\$0	\$283,856	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$283,856	0%	\$3,798,352	\$615,000
Klickitat County	\$1,688,154,000	\$2,585,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,585,000	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,585,000	6%	\$0	\$0
Lewis County	\$5,063,970,509	\$23,690,000	\$23,690,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Lincoln County	\$848,302,657	\$632,938	\$0	\$84,688	\$0	\$717,626	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$717,626	3%	\$0	\$0







	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO Debt	I. Voted Svc Funds	J. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	K. % of Cap.	L. TOTAL Nonvoted + Voted GO	M. % of Cap.	N. Revenue Debt	O. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015) Voted GO (J) = H+I; Percentage of Voted Capacity (Col. K) = J / (A * .025) Total GO debt (Col. L) = F+J; Percentage of Total Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .025)</i>															
Mason County	\$4,399,486,799	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,000,000	1%	\$0	\$5,155,023
Okanogan County	\$2,271,584,290	\$6,425,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,425,000	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,425,000	11%	\$575,981	\$0
Pacific County	\$1,686,415,751	\$300,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,298	1%	\$4,610,000	\$11,668	\$4,598,332	11%	\$4,898,630	12%	\$0	\$815,109
Pend Oreille County	\$794,927,541	\$506,706	\$0	\$121,325	\$0	\$628,031	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$628,031	3%	\$0	\$0
Pierce County	\$63,955,847,441	\$83,631,631	\$1,129,676	\$17,524,000	\$13,200,000	\$86,825,955	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$86,825,955	5%	\$20,631,637	\$0
San Juan County	\$5,338,149,553	\$9,171,500	\$453,729	\$1,401,940	\$992,582	\$9,127,129	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,127,129	7%	\$0	\$0
Skagit County	\$11,594,699,782	\$16,105,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,105,000	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$16,105,000	6%	\$0	\$0
Skamania County	\$931,188,698	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Snohomish County	\$68,597,770,547	\$334,624,775	\$0	\$1,888,008	\$0	\$336,512,783	33%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$336,512,783	20%	\$0	\$1,445,000
Spokane County	\$26,182,013,887	\$58,089,325	\$58,089,325	\$7,010,682	\$7,010,682	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,795,548
Stevens County	\$2,350,168,336	\$7,842,281	\$0	\$230,904	\$4,820,927	\$3,252,258	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,252,258	6%	\$520,000	\$0
Thurston County	\$19,930,800,551	\$42,331,624	\$0	\$1,119,936	\$0	\$43,451,560	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$43,451,560	9%	\$2,711,793	\$11,230,000
Wahkiakum County	\$300,165,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Walla Walla County	\$3,457,782,191	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,490,000	\$45,645	\$1,444,355	2%	\$1,444,355	2%	\$0	\$0
Whatcom County	\$16,740,749,814	\$11,495,000	\$0	\$625,494	\$0	\$12,120,494	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,120,494	3%	\$0	\$78,013
Whitman County	\$2,002,922,470	\$1,582,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,582,750	5%	\$9,404,817	\$0	\$9,404,817	19%	\$10,987,567	22%	\$13,378,821	\$0
Yakima County	\$11,861,793,103	\$40,535,000	\$0	\$1,293,083	\$1,293,083	\$40,535,000	23%	\$4,835,000	\$237,569	\$4,597,431	2%	\$45,132,431	15%	\$14,180,157	\$221,788

COUNTIES, GO DEBT TRENDS 2001-2005 *Representative Counties*

Snohomish County (*High-Valuation Cluster*)



Grays Harbor Co. (*Medium-Valuation Cluster*)

Douglas County (*Low-Valuation Cluster*)



COUNTIES, GO DEBT TRENDS 2001-2005 *Sorted alphabetically*

King County (High-Valuation Cluster)





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
County Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Adams	3%	3%	3%	3%	9%
Asotin	67%	67%	65%	91%	81%
Benton	18%	15%	15%	13%	12%
Chelan	10%	10%	12%	13%	11%
Clallam	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Clark	17%	15%	14%	21%	18%
Columbia	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cowlitz	33%	36%	12%	13%	14%
Douglas	10%	11%	19%	13%	14%
Ferry	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Franklin		43%	37%	35%	15%
Garfield	0%	0%	73%	67%	60%
Grant	1%		0%	0%	0%
Grays Harbor	10%	0%	11%	12%	9%
Island	10%	39%	4%	6%	11%
Jefferson	3%	3%	12%	11%	9%
King	33%	31%	30%	29%	28%
Kitsap	18%	20%	23%	23%	18%
Kittitas		4%	0%	0%	0%
Klickitat		1%	1%	0%	6%
Lewis	12%	11%	20%	9%	0%
Lincoln	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%
Mason	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Okanogan	10%	9%	8%	13%	11%
Pacific	16%	16%	17%	14%	12%
Pend Oreille	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
Pierce	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%
San Juan	3%	8%	7%	8%	7%
Skagit	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%
Skamania	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Snohomish	22%	22%	24%	21%	20%
Spokane	11%	10%	13%	11%	0%
Stevens	14%	19%	10%	7%	6%
Thurston	11%	10%	9%	10%	9%
Wahkiakum	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Walla Walla	4%		2%	2%	2%
Whatcom	6%	5%	4%	4%	3%
Whitman	1%	4%	21%	20%	22%
Yakima	9%	23%	20%	18%	15%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005			
\$777,911	\$794,587	\$759,057	\$754,477	\$2,811,705	261%	11%	
\$13,576,826	\$14,121,890	\$14,113,956	\$20,213,236	\$19,193,336	41%	17%	
\$34,317,643	\$31,282,000	\$32,809,778	\$31,891,725	\$30,735,000	-10%	35%	
\$11,233,547	\$11,971,011	\$14,308,078	\$16,969,480	\$15,589,502	39%	18%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		45%	
\$101,295,000	\$95,320,000	\$94,048,523	\$155,940,167	\$154,576,056	53%	41%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		9%	
\$52,331,674	\$60,959,497	\$20,677,449	\$21,020,876	\$23,410,776	-55%	8%	
\$4,550,775	\$5,167,168	\$9,042,257	\$6,679,689	\$7,385,204	62%	17%	
\$95,286	\$90,934	\$86,304	\$81,489	\$77,938	-18%	16%	
	\$25,645,854	\$23,783,653	\$25,849,402	\$12,608,266			
\$0	\$0	\$2,530,000	\$2,380,000	\$2,424,909		15%	
\$1,029,241		\$453,283	\$0	\$0		12%	
\$8,922,725	\$200,892	\$10,865,000	\$12,260,235	\$10,080,000	13%	18%	
\$17,921,901	\$69,641,989	\$7,406,358	\$12,617,082	\$26,193,692	46%	33%	
\$2,210,000	\$2,025,000	\$8,950,371	\$8,430,701	\$7,895,529	257%	38%	
\$1,720,593,899	\$1,761,828,374	\$1,761,828,374	\$1,790,720,662	\$1,890,427,549	10%	28%	
\$67,151,570	\$83,228,859	\$98,684,411	\$109,641,719	\$103,655,000	54%	52%	
	\$2,427,182	\$0	\$140,549	\$283,856			
	\$279,536	\$218,939	\$155,621	\$2,585,000			
\$13,312,520	\$13,005,921	\$25,052,276	\$11,560,000	\$0		16%	
\$880,286	\$820,933	\$764,303	\$753,485	\$717,626	-18%	15%	
\$1,435,000	\$1,330,000	\$1,225,000	\$1,115,000	\$1,000,000	-30%	18%	
\$4,915,000	\$4,941,611	\$4,496,000	\$6,985,000	\$6,425,000	31%	13%	
\$6,195,425	\$6,212,080	\$6,731,952	\$5,585,174	\$4,898,630	-21%	9%	
\$1,268,519	\$1,098,684	\$905,166	\$705,908	\$628,031	-50%	16%	
\$60,884,225	\$50,835,620	\$52,246,101	\$52,204,208	\$86,825,955	43%	42%	
\$2,558,161	\$8,366,679	\$7,633,743	\$9,806,560	\$9,127,129	257%	46%	
\$17,459,879	\$16,965,000	\$18,380,000	\$17,185,000	\$16,105,000	-8%	35%	
\$347,913	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		25%	
\$254,588,268	\$271,573,875	\$325,352,999	\$316,483,137	\$336,512,783	32%	51%	
\$56,112,123	\$53,419,293	\$69,337,415	\$63,302,440	\$0		26%	
\$7,278,575	\$10,192,048	\$5,238,269	\$3,978,728	\$3,252,258	-55%	14%	
\$35,411,646	\$35,259,404	\$34,610,122	\$43,434,499	\$43,451,560	23%	53%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		18%	
\$3,027,854		\$1,907,709	\$1,662,665	\$1,444,355	-52%	23%	
\$16,974,170	\$15,846,403	\$14,069,972	\$13,214,516	\$12,120,494	-29%	38%	
\$476,345	\$1,989,617	\$9,863,591	\$9,402,415	\$10,987,567	2207%	11%	
\$23,341,397	\$59,464,104	\$52,514,402	\$49,017,230	\$45,132,431	93%	20%	

CITIES/TOWNS, 2005


* Group average rather than total.
Non-reporting cities and towns not included in *General Comparisons* list and overall averages.




Jurisdiction Name / Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% Cap.	2004 Population	Assessed Valuation (AV)	Debt / Pop.	Debt / AV	Debt % of Total	Pop. % Total	AV % Total
Seattle, City of	\$971,200,839	14%	578,700	\$95,056,789,901	\$1,678.25	1.02%	1%	15%	24%
Bellevue, City of	\$170,759,382	10%	117,000	\$23,955,789,810	\$1,459.48	0.71%	1%	3%	6%
Tacoma, City of	\$230,980,000	20%	199,600	\$15,739,885,099	\$1,157.21	1.47%	1%	5%	4%
Vancouver, City of	\$98,650,617	11%	156,600	\$11,966,008,886	\$629.95	0.82%	1%	4%	3%
Spokane, City of	\$122,732,619	15%	201,600	\$10,800,568,733	\$608.79	1.14%	1%	5%	3%
High Valuation	\$1,594,323,457	13%	1,253,500	\$157,519,042,429	\$1,271.90	1.01%	1%	33%	39%
Redmond, City of	\$43,610,754	6%	49,890	\$10,285,562,186	\$874.14	0.42%	0%	1%	3%
Everett, City of	\$45,398,624	6%	101,100	\$9,708,398,133	\$449.05	0.47%	0%	3%	2%
Kent, City of	\$83,390,961	12%	85,650	\$9,348,295,475	\$973.62	0.89%	1%	2%	2%
Kirkland, City of	\$24,363,631	4%	47,180	\$8,759,636,358	\$516.40	0.28%	0%	1%	2%
Renton, City of	\$28,521,768	5%	58,360	\$7,294,182,435	\$488.72	0.39%	0%	2%	2%
Federal Way, City of	\$18,856,215	3%	86,530	\$7,187,872,448	\$217.92	0.26%	0%	2%	2%
Sammamish, City of	\$11,410,000	2%	39,730	\$7,142,115,556	\$287.19	0.16%	0%	1%	2%
Mercer Island, City of	\$6,036,365	1%	21,860	\$7,059,578,579	\$276.14	0.09%	0%	1%	2%
Bellingham, City of	\$36,239,090	7%	73,460	\$6,635,005,489	\$493.32	0.55%	1%	2%	2%
Shoreline, City of	\$0	0%	52,830	\$5,965,707,879	\$0.00	0.00%	0%	1%	1%
Edmonds, City of	\$29,084,880	7%	40,360	\$5,430,059,642	\$720.64	0.54%	1%	1%	1%
Bothell, City of	\$6,732,933	2%	31,690	\$5,275,326,211	\$212.46	0.13%	0%	1%	1%
Auburn, City of	\$9,800,203	3%	48,955	\$5,099,794,532	\$200.19	0.19%	0%	1%	1%
Bainbridge Island, City of	\$32,366,723	9%	22,600	\$4,746,579,812	\$1,432.16	0.68%	1%	1%	1%
Lakewood, City of	\$1,764,391	1%	59,000	\$4,333,244,849	\$29.90	0.04%	0%	2%	1%
Olympia, City of	\$0	0%	43,740	\$4,245,662,697	\$0.00	0.00%	0%	1%	1%
Yakima, City of	\$20,012,996	6%	81,710	\$4,169,739,611	\$244.93	0.48%	0%	2%	1%
Lynnwood, City of	\$8,988,516	3%	35,230	\$3,966,328,334	\$255.14	0.23%	0%	1%	1%
Issaquah, City of	\$32,757,737	12%	19,570	\$3,732,520,554	\$1,673.88	0.88%	1%	1%	1%
Tukwila, City of	\$20,252,192	7%	17,930	\$3,610,529,967	\$1,129.51	0.56%	1%	0%	1%
SeaTac, City of	\$6,545,000	2%	25,230	\$3,585,276,668	\$259.41	0.18%	0%	1%	1%
Kennewick, City of	\$18,224,881	7%	61,770	\$3,537,049,794	\$295.04	0.52%	1%	2%	1%
Puyallup, City of	\$31,431,775	12%	36,360	\$3,381,181,279	\$864.46	0.93%	1%	1%	1%
Richland, City of	\$24,757,248	10%	44,230	\$3,309,521,098	\$559.74	0.75%	1%	1%	1%
Burien, City of	\$3,047,934	1%	31,080	\$3,153,678,431	\$98.07	0.10%	0%	1%	1%
Lacey, City of	\$9,393,602	4%	34,060	\$2,886,750,373	\$275.80	0.33%	0%	1%	1%
Mukilteo, City of	\$438,142	0%	19,620	\$2,815,314,457	\$22.33	0.02%	0%	1%	1%
Marysville, City of	\$17,775,689	9%	32,150	\$2,652,413,969	\$552.90	0.67%	1%	1%	1%
University Place, City of	\$17,774,743	9%	31,140	\$2,577,657,097	\$570.80	0.69%	1%	1%	1%
Camas, City of	\$9,746,627	5%	15,880	\$2,557,230,359	\$613.77	0.38%	0%	0%	1%
Des Moines, City of	\$7,250,521	4%	29,020	\$2,357,984,842	\$249.85	0.31%	0%	1%	1%
Kenmore, City of	\$0	0%	19,680	\$2,335,014,905	\$0.00	0.00%	0%	1%	1%
Medina, City of	\$0	0%	2,945	\$2,268,340,629	\$0.00	0.00%	0%	0%	1%
Bremerton, City of	\$33,973,133	20%	35,910	\$2,222,892,524	\$946.06	1.53%	2%	1%	1%
Medium Valuation	\$639,947,274	5%	1,436,450	\$163,636,447,172	\$445.51	0.39%	0%	38%	41%
Low Valuation	\$312,373,582	5%	1,120,153	\$82,169,391,637	\$278.87	0.38%	0%	29%	20%
Total Cities/Towns	\$2,546,644,303	8%	3,810,103	\$403,324,881,238	\$668.39	0.63%	1%	100%	100%

CITIES/TOWNS, 2005 *Nonvoted, voted, and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$2,011,665,795



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$532,090,228



Total GO Debt
Total: \$2,545,878,292



NONVOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES/TOWNS			
Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total Nonvoted GO Debt	% of Nonvoted Capacity
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$10,985,215	90%
Monroe, City of	Low	\$18,009,389	88%
Colfax, City of	Low	\$1,240,409	72%
Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$7,574,588	66%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$21,892,045	66%
Poulsbo, City of	Low	\$8,500,061	63%
Selah, City of	Low	\$3,752,520	62%
Fife, City of	Low	\$11,501,849	60%
Lynden, City of	Low	\$6,665,000	59%
Kent, City of	Medium	\$80,074,917	57%
Liberty Lake, City of	Low	\$5,147,412	56%
Vancouver, City of	High	\$98,650,617	55%
Seattle, City of	High	\$777,239,953	55%
Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$9,525,019	54%
Arlington, City of	Low	\$12,574,776	54%
Hamilton, Town of	Low	\$105,286	53%
Tacoma, City of	High	\$123,028,000	52%
Okanogan, City of	Low	\$577,162	48%
Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$26,228,656	47%
Spokane, City of	High	\$74,598,271	46%
University Place, City of	Medium	\$17,774,743	46%
Bellevue, City of	High	\$164,506,234	46%
Port Townsend, City of	Low	\$7,884,239	45%
West Richland, City of	Low	\$3,444,012	43%
Marysville, City of	Medium	\$16,643,878	42%

VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES			
Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Vote GO Debt	% of Voted Capacity
Elmer City, Town of	Low	\$210,000	53%
Benton City, City of	Low	2,122,269	39%
St John, Town of	Low	\$500,000	28%
Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$2,599,452	16%
Twisp, Town of	Low	\$484,913	14%
Coulee City, Town of	Low	\$196,448	13%
Index, Town of	Low	\$114,400	11%
Tacoma, City of	High	\$107,952,000	9%
Airway Heights, City of	Low	\$1,145,502	9%
Walla Walla, City of	Low	\$7,635,213	8%
La Conner, Town of	Low	\$688,816	7%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$12,081,088	7%
Shelton, City of	Low	\$2,227,655	6%
Spokane, City of	High	\$48,134,348	6%
Prosser, City of	Low	\$1,238,307	6%
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$3,136,006	5%
College Place, City of	Low	\$1,393,269	5%
White Salmon, City of	Low	\$647,055	5%
Millwood, Town of	Low	\$694,964	5%
Port Angeles, City of	Low	\$4,470,000	5%
Puyallup, City of	Medium	\$11,318,409	4%
Lacey, City of	Medium	\$9,393,602	4%
Blaine, City of	Low	\$1,475,118	4%
Sedro-Woolley, City of	Low	\$1,778,032	4%
Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$3,500,000	4%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES			
Jurisdiction Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
Elmer City, Town of	Low	\$210,000	53%
Benton City, City of	Low	\$2,122,269	39%
St John, Town of	Low	\$500,000	28%
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$14,121,221	23%
Twisp, Town of	Low	\$755,696	21%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$33,973,133	20%
Tacoma, City of	High	\$230,980,000	20%
Monroe, City of	Low	\$18,936,524	19%
Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$2,918,974	18%
Spokane, City of	High	\$122,732,619	15%
Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$13,025,019	15%
Colfax, City of	Low	\$1,240,409	14%
Seattle, City of	High	\$971,200,839	14%
Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$7,574,588	13%
Selah, City of	Low	\$3,932,846	13%
Airway Heights, City of	Low	\$1,701,558	13%
Poulsbo, City of	Low	\$8,762,129	13%
Coulee City, Town of	Low	\$196,448	13%
Puyallup, City of	Medium	\$31,431,775	12%
Fife, City of	Low	\$11,501,849	12%
Kent, City of	Medium	\$83,390,961	12%
Lynden, City of	Low	\$6,665,000	12%
Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$32,757,737	12%
Port Angeles, City of	Low	\$10,941,327	11%
Liberty Lake, City of	Low	\$5,147,412	11%

CITIES/TOWNS, 2005 GO CAPACITY DETAIL *Sorted alphabetically by county*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Adams																	
Halton, Town of	\$1,966,410	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$32,829	\$0
Lind, Town of	\$18,204,445	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,030,758	\$0
Olhelo, City of	\$326,762,035	\$1,834,723	\$0	\$100,472	\$0	\$1,935,195	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,935,195	8%	\$1,664,195	\$0
Ritzville, City of	\$80,290,953	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$23,000	\$0	\$0	\$11,765	\$11,235	0%	\$11,235	0%	\$1,303,893	\$10,000
Washlucna, Town of	\$5,327,146	\$18,000	\$32,124	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$331,519	\$0
Asotin																	
Asotin, City of	\$47,305,869	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,000,000	\$0
Clarkston, City of	\$264,436,231	\$245,602	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245,602	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$245,602	1%	\$1,393,476	\$0
Benton																	
Benton City, City of	\$72,697,920	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,122,269	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,122,269	39%	\$2,122,269	39%	\$0	\$0
Kennewick, City of	\$3,537,049,794	\$11,485,000	\$0	\$3,388,508	\$0	\$14,873,508	28%	\$3,480,000	\$0	\$0	\$128,627	\$3,351,373	1%	\$18,224,881	7%	\$26,930,319	\$0
Prosser, City of	\$279,980,325	\$170,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170,000	4%	\$1,251,000	\$0	\$0	\$12,693	\$1,238,307	6%	\$1,408,307	7%	\$8,815,712	\$137,046
Richland, City of	\$3,309,521,098	\$16,010,000	\$1,143,541	\$2,917,139	\$0	\$17,783,598	36%	\$7,015,000	\$0	\$0	\$41,350	\$6,973,650	3%	\$24,757,248	10%	\$108,671,718	\$765,000
West Richland, City of	\$533,979,063	\$2,166,877	\$0	\$1,287,610	\$10,475	\$3,444,012	43%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,444,012	9%	\$8,231,762	\$9,808
Chelan																	
Cashmere, City of	\$156,607,357	\$959,324	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$959,324	41%	\$259,724	\$0	\$0	\$19,746	\$239,978	2%	\$1,199,302	10%	\$8,200,000	\$0
Chelan, City of	\$381,935,480	\$780,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$780,000	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$780,000	3%	\$9,218,603	\$0
Entiat, City of	\$49,421,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,056,251	\$0
Leavenworth, City of	\$212,892,689	\$319,522	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$319,522	10%	\$2,705,000	\$0	\$0	\$105,548	\$2,599,452	16%	\$2,918,974	18%	\$5,477,416	\$30,000
Wenatchee, City of	\$1,589,277,760	\$5,665,000	\$16,363	\$1,992,891	\$1,992,891	\$5,648,637	24%	\$4,105,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,105,000	3%	\$9,753,637	8%	\$17,566,384	\$193,711
Clallam																	
Forks, City of	\$103,335,663	\$74,646	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74,646	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$74,646	1%	\$135,000	\$0
Port Angeles, City of	\$1,281,703,518	\$3,230,000	\$0	\$3,241,327	\$0	\$6,471,327	34%	\$4,470,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,470,000	5%	\$10,941,327	11%	\$22,940,964	\$1,000
Sequim, City of	\$569,637,795	\$0	\$0	\$28,401	\$0	\$28,401	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$28,401	0%	\$5,937,819	\$0
Clark																	
Battle Ground, City of	\$1,039,629,993	\$3,740,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,740,000	24%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,740,000	5%	\$700,821	\$0
Camas, City of	\$2,557,230,359	\$1,520,000	\$3,193	\$868,393	\$0	\$2,385,200	6%	\$7,018,000	\$0	\$405,000	\$61,573	\$7,361,427	4%	\$9,746,627	5%	\$14,569,200	\$0
Ridgefield, City of	\$352,762,517	\$1,258,526	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,258,526	24%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,258,526	5%	\$3,597,935	\$0
Vancouver, City of	\$11,966,008,886	\$91,335,000	\$0	\$7,315,617	\$0	\$98,650,617	55%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$98,650,617	11%	\$126,970,000	\$98,400
Washougal, City of	\$1,030,593,274	\$722,711	\$10,040	\$0	\$0	\$712,671	5%	\$1,505,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,505,000	2%	\$2,217,671	3%	\$7,518,360	\$2,965,000
Yacolt, Town of	\$57,914,965	\$37,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$37,500	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$37,500	1%	\$0	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Columbia																	
Dayton, City of	\$86,287,857	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$7,358,507	\$0
Starbuck, Town of	\$3,836,702	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Cowlitz																	
Kalama, City of	\$122,934,237	\$18,164	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,164	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$18,164	0%	\$9,502,483	\$0
Kelso, City of	\$564,395,284	\$3,080,000	\$0	\$263,662	\$263,662	\$3,080,000	36%	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,479	\$70,521	0%	\$3,150,521	7%	\$4,837,119	\$0
Longview, City of	\$1,919,495,278	\$10,505,000	\$0	\$1,723,888	\$976,133	\$11,252,755	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,252,755	8%	\$8,165,507	\$566,000
Woodland, City of	\$400,703,652	\$1,065,674	\$0	\$157,704	\$42,214	\$1,181,164	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,181,164	4%	\$6,355,849	\$565,000
Douglas																	
Bridgeport, City of	\$28,526,017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
East Wenatchee, City of	\$529,933,091	\$1,980,000	\$0	\$98,285	\$0	\$2,078,285	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,078,285	5%	\$478,534	\$0
Mansfield, Town of	\$8,923,799	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,354	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$571,295	\$0
Rock Island, City of	\$19,120,733	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Waterville, Town of	\$41,707,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,605,621	\$0
Ferry																	
Republic, City of	\$33,342,484	\$0	\$67,217	\$21,443	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Franklin																	
Connell, City of	\$106,972,770	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$23,448	\$298,552	19%	\$0	\$0	\$37,000	\$13,037	\$23,963	0%	\$322,515	4%	\$4,095,533	\$0
Kahlotus, City of	\$3,236,239	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$112,200	\$0
Mesa, City of	\$10,704,698	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$155,200	\$0
Pasco, City of	\$1,987,640,071	\$9,670,000	\$4,281,880	\$1,194,123	\$1,194,123	\$5,388,120	18%	\$3,980,000	\$0	\$0	\$286,115	\$3,693,885	2%	\$9,082,005	6%	\$41,640,167	\$315,000
Garfield																	
Pomeroy, City of	\$46,277,717	\$14,295	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,295	2%	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$0	0%	\$14,295	0%	\$3,112,658	\$0
Grant																	
Coulee City, Town of	\$20,910,093	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$213,948	\$0	\$0	\$17,500	\$196,448	13%	\$196,448	13%	\$1,172,581	\$0
Electric City, Town of	\$33,290,825	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Ephrata, City of	\$286,230,159	\$950,000	\$0	\$589,907	\$67,085	\$1,472,822	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,472,822	7%	\$5,841,225	\$0
George, City of	\$11,502,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$500,019	\$671,271
Grand Coulee, City of	\$33,218,193	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,609,741	\$0
Hartline, Town of	\$4,041,002	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$60,640	\$0
Krupp, Town of	\$2,711,385	\$1,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,400	1%	\$0	\$0
Mattawa, Town of	\$42,698,140	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,220,466	\$1,385,484
Moses Lake, City of	\$905,052,449	\$5,885,000	\$0	\$1,712,124	\$5,174,767	\$2,422,357	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,422,357	4%	\$17,869,804	\$0
Quincy, City of	\$259,570,264	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$270,000	\$0	\$19,587	\$250,413	1%	\$250,413	1%	\$9,167,278	\$495,000

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Royal City, City of	\$24,763,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,101,433	\$0
Warden, City of	\$112,455,399	\$31,134	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,134	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$31,134	0%	\$4,567,618	\$0
Wilson Creek, Town of	\$6,310,262	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Grays Harbor																	
Aberdeen, City of	\$809,560,439	\$115,000	\$60,000	\$11,083,407	\$153,192	\$10,985,215	90%	\$3,470,000	\$0	\$0	\$333,994	\$3,136,006	5%	\$14,121,221	23%	\$3,075,000	\$0
Cosmopolis, City of	\$128,187,433	\$0	\$0	\$35,802	\$35,802	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$112,283	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$550,992	\$168,109
Elma, City	\$155,074,895	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$305,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$305,000	3%	\$305,000	3%	\$2,722,018	\$0
Hoquiam, City of	\$325,382,017	\$551,659	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$551,659	11%	\$586,705	\$0	\$0	\$1,567	\$585,138	2%	\$1,136,797	5%	\$122,631	\$0
McCleary, City of	\$75,908,829	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,700,000
Montesano, City of	\$195,471,659	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Oakville, City of	\$31,925,462	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$325,119	\$0
Ocean Shores, City of	\$639,294,792	\$2,666,778	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,666,778	28%	\$1,665,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,665,000	3%	\$4,331,778	9%	\$25,842,112	\$14,490,000
Westport, City of	\$193,143,621	\$128,426	\$0	\$163,253	\$0	\$291,679	10%	\$320,000	\$0	\$0	\$24,000	\$296,000	2%	\$587,679	4%	\$4,764,052	\$0
Island																	
Coupeville, Town of	\$175,719,780	\$65,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,993	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$65,993	1%	\$0	\$0
Langley, City of	\$178,285,711	\$220,000	\$29,223	\$0	\$0	\$190,777	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$190,777	1%	\$582,585	\$0
Oak Harbor, City of	\$1,226,246,284	\$1,041,736	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,041,736	6%	\$1,050,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,050,000	1%	\$2,091,736	2%	\$4,735,000	\$0
Jefferson																	
Port Townsend, City of	\$1,156,702,595	\$7,935,407	\$51,168	\$0	\$0	\$7,884,239	45%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,884,239	9%	\$3,675,000	\$0
King																	
Algona, City of	\$321,684,576	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Auburn, City of	\$5,099,794,532	\$6,478,000	\$0	\$3,322,203	\$0	\$9,800,203	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,800,203	3%	\$15,699,509	\$132,354
Beaux Arts Village, Town of	\$83,980,069	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Bellevue, City of	\$23,955,789,810	\$162,569,607	\$0	\$1,936,627	\$0	\$164,506,234	46%	\$4,030,000	\$0	\$3,581,079	\$1,357,931	\$6,253,148	0%	\$170,759,382	10%	\$0	\$3,480,826
Black Diamond, City of	\$449,793,888	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$7,147,120	\$0
Bothell, City of	\$5,275,326,211	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,835,000	\$0	\$0	\$102,067	\$6,732,933	2%	\$6,732,933	2%	\$1,714,232	\$305,000
Burien, City of	\$3,153,678,431	\$2,850,000	\$0	\$197,934	\$0	\$3,047,934	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,047,934	1%	\$0	\$2,964,059
Clyde Hill, City of	\$1,172,232,570	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Covington, City of	\$1,483,442,645	\$0	\$0	\$166,646	\$166,646	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$757,100
Des Moines, City of	\$2,357,984,842	\$6,195,000	\$0	\$795,081	\$795,081	\$6,195,000	18%	\$1,065,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,479	\$1,055,521	1%	\$7,250,521	4%	\$0	\$0
Duvall, City of	\$663,610,821	\$700,713	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$700,713	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$700,713	1%	\$11,323,044	\$0
Enumclaw, City of	\$849,198,404	\$2,170,108	\$0	\$287,406	\$74,866	\$2,382,648	19%	\$445,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,224	\$443,776	1%	\$2,826,424	4%	\$0	\$0
Federal Way, City of	\$7,187,872,448	\$21,743,000	\$2,886,785	\$1,211,979	\$1,211,979	\$18,856,215	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$18,856,215	3%	\$2,121,830	\$0
Hunts-Point, Town of	\$663,906,084	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Issaquah, City of	\$3,732,520,554	\$24,450,000	\$100,817	\$1,879,473	\$0	\$26,228,656	47%	\$6,648,852	\$0	\$146,148	\$265,919	\$6,529,081	2%	\$32,757,737	12%	\$19,861,788	\$103,110

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Kenmore, City of	\$2,335,014,905	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Kent, City of	\$9,348,295,475	\$68,304,000	\$0	\$11,770,917	\$0	\$80,074,917	57%	\$3,380,000	\$0	\$0	\$63,956	\$3,316,044	0%	\$83,390,961	12%	\$36,669,029	\$20,230,867
Kirkland, City of	\$8,759,636,358	\$12,070,000	\$0	\$1,536,145	\$0	\$13,606,145	10%	\$1,735,000	\$0	\$9,345,000	\$322,514	\$10,757,486	2%	\$24,363,631	4%	\$11,058,609	\$10,000
Lake Forest Park, City of	\$1,802,365,830	\$3,371,126	\$472,513	\$0	\$0	\$2,898,613	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,898,613	2%	\$713,361	\$150,000
Maple Valley, City of	\$1,793,865,383	\$6,135,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,135,000	23%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,135,000	5%	\$0	\$0
Medina, City of	\$2,268,340,629	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Mercer Island, City of	\$7,059,578,579	\$5,155,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,155,000	5%	\$1,005,000	\$0	\$0	\$123,635	\$881,365	0%	\$6,036,365	1%	\$586,159	\$0
Newcastle, City of	\$1,536,565,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Normandy Park, City of	\$1,052,297,593	\$1,260,000	\$45,453	\$0	\$0	\$1,214,547	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,214,547	2%	\$633,637	\$0
North Bend, City of	\$565,560,956	\$1,475,000	\$0	\$266,053	\$0	\$1,741,053	21%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,741,053	4%	\$8,272,346	\$290,000
Pacific, City of	\$441,351,242	\$30,196	\$0	\$315,974	\$0	\$346,170	5%	\$335,000	\$0	\$0	\$101,105	\$233,895	1%	\$580,065	2%	\$997,842	\$2,330,000
Redmond, City of	\$10,285,562,186	\$2,560,060	\$0	\$39,230,000	\$0	\$41,790,060	27%	\$1,935,000	\$0	\$0	\$114,306	\$1,820,694	0%	\$43,610,754	6%	\$0	\$0
Renton, City of	\$7,294,182,435	\$27,156,447	\$0	\$81,217	\$0	\$27,237,664	25%	\$1,870,000	\$0	\$0	\$585,896	\$1,284,104	0%	\$28,521,768	5%	\$47,371,161	\$0
Sammamish, City of	\$7,142,115,556	\$11,410,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,410,000	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,410,000	2%	\$0	\$0
SeaTac, City of	\$3,585,276,668	\$6,545,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,545,000	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,545,000	2%	\$8,230,000	\$1,525,000
Seattle, City of	\$95,056,789,901	\$669,258,987	\$12,428,188	\$141,822,519	\$21,413,365	\$777,239,953	55%	\$178,275,526	\$17,664,474	\$0	\$1,979,114	\$193,960,886	3%	\$971,200,839	14%	\$2,577,781,000	\$0
Shoreline, City of	\$5,965,707,879	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Skykomish, Town of	\$19,890,133	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Snoqualmie, City of	\$1,234,041,060	\$3,359,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,359,625	18%	\$3,220,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,220,000	3%	\$6,579,625	7%	\$1,708,091	\$0
Tukwila, City of	\$3,610,529,967	\$19,740,500	\$1,305,239	\$1,816,931	\$0	\$20,252,192	37%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,252,192	7%	\$13,386,509	\$0
Woodinville, City of	\$2,053,864,043	\$5,515,083	\$330,860	\$0	\$0	\$5,184,223	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,184,223	3%	\$0	\$0
Yarrow Point, Town of	\$616,985,854	\$755,401	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$755,401	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$755,401	2%	\$0	\$0
Kitsap																	
Bainbridge Island, City of	\$4,746,579,812	\$17,305,000	\$471,740	\$8,470,833	\$333,715	\$24,970,378	35%	\$0	\$0	\$7,605,000	\$208,655	\$7,396,345	2%	\$32,366,723	9%	\$0	\$0
Bremerton, City of	\$2,222,892,524	\$19,745,139	\$0	\$2,146,906	\$0	\$21,892,045	66%	\$12,130,000	\$0	\$0	\$48,912	\$12,081,088	7%	\$33,973,133	20%	\$43,028,919	\$0
Port Orchard, City of	\$590,880,351	\$2,510,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,510,000	28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,510,000	6%	\$31,250	\$0
Poulsbo, City of	\$905,352,282	\$7,465,000	\$578,438	\$1,613,821	\$322	\$8,500,061	63%	\$445,000	\$0	\$0	\$182,932	\$262,068	0%	\$8,762,129	13%	\$4,704,000	\$0
Kittitas																	
Kittitas, City of	\$43,836,782	\$109,566	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$109,566	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$109,566	3%	\$0	\$0
South Cle Elum, Town of	\$33,663,866	\$20,377	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,377	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,377	1%	\$1,018,524	\$0
Kittitas																	
Cle Elum, City of	\$168,322,337	\$775,000	\$0	\$18,710	\$0	\$793,710	31%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$793,710	6%	\$3,968,530	\$0
Ellensburg, City of	\$764,903,579	\$5,825,000	\$155,412	\$1,905,000	\$0	\$7,574,588	66%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44,699	\$0	0%	\$7,574,588	13%	\$14,192,413	\$116,592
Roslyn, City of	\$81,957,780	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Klickitat																	

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Bingen, City of	\$61,383,095	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,125,826	\$0
Goldendale, City of	\$281,151,389	\$1,716,057	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,716,057	41%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,716,057	8%	\$4,591,473	\$99,320
White Salmon, City of	\$169,951,496	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$665,000	\$0	\$0	\$17,945	\$647,055	5%	\$647,055	5%	\$4,161,375	\$79,573
Lewis																	
Centralia, City of	\$711,691,383	\$2,545,063	\$0	\$833,200	\$0	\$3,378,263	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,378,263	6%	\$50,445,204	\$0
Chehalis, City of	\$427,452,470	\$370,617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$370,617	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$370,617	1%	\$13,033,916	\$0
Morton, City of	\$72,042,783	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,801,470	\$0
Mossyrock, City of	\$16,948,046	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$897,432	\$0
Napavine, City of	\$68,577,881	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,053,588	\$0
Pe Ell, Town of	\$19,734,167	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,248,795	\$0
Vader, City of	\$22,611,575	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$736,530	\$0
Winlock, City of	\$51,510,633	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,695,116	\$0
Lincoln																	
Almira, Town of	\$8,943,792	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$102,310	\$0
Davenport, City of	\$66,309,176	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,304,186	\$0
Harrington, City of	\$13,844,878	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$824,797	\$0
Odessa, Town of	\$29,145,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,351,486	\$51,409
Reardan, Town of	\$21,194,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$359,685	\$0
Sprague, City of	\$13,796,390	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,168,113	\$0
Wilbur, Town of	\$30,789,847	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$911,662	\$0
Mason																	
Shelton, City of	\$460,414,837	\$990,000	\$0	\$51,657	\$0	\$1,041,657	15%	\$2,250,000	\$0	\$0	\$22,345	\$2,227,655	6%	\$3,269,312	9%	\$6,001,840	\$530,533
Okanogan																	
Brewster, City of	\$75,402,693	\$0	\$202,797	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$649,761	\$852,558
Conconully, Town of	\$14,624,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Coulee Dam, Town of	\$33,741,459	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,713,595	\$0
Elmer City, Town of	\$5,251,738	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$210,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$210,000	53%	\$210,000	53%	\$210,000	\$0
Nespelem, Town of	\$2,913,229	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,600	\$0	\$0	\$1,600	1%	\$1,600	1%	\$0	\$0
Okanogan, City of	\$80,144,823	\$370,000	\$0	\$207,162	\$0	\$577,162	48%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$577,162	10%	\$2,276,527	\$0
Omak, City of	\$201,312,629	\$1,237,123	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,237,123	41%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,237,123	8%	\$3,782,421	\$0
Pateros, City of	\$33,130,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$619,000	\$0
Riverside, Town of	\$6,961,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Tonasket, City of	\$36,219,166	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$114,599	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,197,665	\$0
Twisp, Town of	\$47,019,398	\$270,784	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$270,784	38%	\$249,187	\$249,187	\$0	\$13,461	\$484,913	14%	\$755,696	21%	\$542,431	\$0
Winthrop, Town of	\$52,086,687	\$49,194	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$49,194	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$49,194	1%	\$295,466	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Pacific																	
Ilwaco, City of	\$64,356,349	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$343,228	\$0	\$0	\$535,744	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$5,794,994	\$0
Long Beach, City of	\$184,397,009	\$274,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$274,394	10%	\$110,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$110,000	1%	\$384,394	3%	\$3,170,907	\$109,148
Raymond, City of	\$123,833,420	\$355,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$355,000	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$355,000	4%	\$0	\$0
South Bend, City of	\$62,732,398	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,125,782	\$0
Pend Oreille																	
Cusick, Town of	\$7,024,730	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$86,751	\$0
Ione, Town of	\$12,935,114	\$0	\$139,640	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$101,551	\$0
Metaline, Town of	\$5,971,425	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$175,586	\$0
Pend Orielle																	
Metaline Falls, Town of	\$8,620,231	\$50,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,020	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$50,020	8%	\$0	\$0
Newport, City of	\$76,157,072	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,662,287	\$105,000
Pierce																	
Bonney Lake, City of	\$1,435,353,178	\$10,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,800	0%	\$1,815,000	\$0	\$0	\$105,057	\$1,709,943	2%	\$1,720,743	2%	\$27,853,173	\$0
Buckley, City of	\$306,683,353	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,901,687	\$0
Carbonado, Town of	\$31,287,766	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Dupont, City of	\$775,075,457	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,776	\$114,224	0%	\$114,224	0%	\$0	\$790,000
Edgewood, City of	\$985,017,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Fife, City of	\$1,275,573,319	\$7,145,000	\$0	\$4,356,849	\$0	\$11,501,849	60%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,501,849	12%	\$3,560,000	\$9,190,000
Fircrest, City of	\$558,297,590	\$145,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$145,000	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$145,000	0%	\$169,133	\$0
Gig Harbor, City of	\$1,167,739,135	\$9,536,983	\$11,964	\$0	\$0	\$9,525,019	54%	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$3,500,000	4%	\$13,025,019	15%	\$1,853,000	\$646,727
Lakewood, City of	\$4,333,244,849	\$1,005,000	\$77,000	\$836,391	\$0	\$1,764,391	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,764,391	1%	\$0	\$0
Milton, City of	\$554,777,079	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,825	\$103,175	0%	\$103,175	0%	\$930,201	\$0
Orling, City of	\$339,543,773	\$1,405,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,405,000	28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,405,000	6%	\$3,928,766	\$0
Puyallup, City of	\$3,381,181,279	\$19,026,573	\$0	\$1,139,854	\$53,061	\$20,113,366	40%	\$8,200,000	\$0	\$3,180,000	\$61,591	\$11,318,409	4%	\$31,431,775	12%	\$26,395,000	\$320,000
Roy, City of	\$46,154,640	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$457,801	\$0
Ruston, Town of	\$74,203,381	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
South Prairie, Town of	\$25,238,704	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$216,382	\$0
Stellacoom, Town of	\$564,319,092	\$1,045,000	\$0	\$1,518,629	\$0	\$2,563,629	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,563,629	6%	\$4,055,000	\$0
Sumner, City of	\$1,335,412,926	\$5,205,000	\$1,354,526	\$0	\$0	\$3,850,474	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,850,474	4%	\$7,890,000	\$20,494,470
Tacoma, City of	\$15,739,885,099	\$123,028,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,028,000	52%	\$108,593,000	\$0	\$0	\$641,000	\$107,952,000	9%	\$230,980,000	20%	\$1,090,785,000	\$2,657,000
University Place, City of	\$2,577,657,097	\$8,470,000	\$0	\$9,304,743	\$0	\$17,774,743	46%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$17,774,743	9%	\$0	\$0
San Juan																	
Friday Harbor, Town of	\$351,792,564	\$55,873	\$63,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$8,510,226	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
--	-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)

Skagit

Anacortes, City of	\$1,999,931,442	\$2,665,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,665,000	9%	\$5,590,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,590,000	4%	\$8,255,000	6%	\$9,440,000	\$0
Burlington, City of	\$952,267,548	\$1,405,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,405,000	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,405,000	2%	\$7,885,000	\$0
Concrete, Town of	\$56,962,690	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Hamilton, Town of	\$13,131,442	\$105,286	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$105,286	53%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$105,286	11%	\$0	\$0
La Conner, Town of	\$124,040,757	\$303,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$303,400	16%	\$694,544	\$0	\$0	\$5,728	\$688,816	7%	\$992,216	11%	\$426,566	\$0
Lyman, Town of	\$21,623,591	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$796,015	\$0
Mount Vernon, City of	\$2,177,469,972	\$1,100,000	\$494	\$0	\$0	\$1,099,506	3%	\$4,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$10,684	\$4,189,316	3%	\$5,288,822	3%	\$1,925,000	\$245,000
Sedro-Woolley, City of	\$589,957,949	\$197,596	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$197,596	2%	\$1,845,000	\$0	\$0	\$66,968	\$1,778,032	4%	\$1,975,628	4%	\$4,650,000	\$0

Skamania

North Bonneville, City of	\$55,745,056	\$321,655	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$321,655	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$321,655	8%	\$0	\$0
Stevenson, City of	\$128,850,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$216,344	\$0

Snohomish

Arlington, City of	\$1,558,604,815	\$13,222,247	\$647,471	\$0	\$0	\$12,574,776	54%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,574,776	11%	\$7,809,130	\$575,000
Brier, City of	\$692,120,095	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$285,240	\$0	\$0	\$12,464	\$272,776	1%	\$272,776	1%	\$916,194	\$0
Darrington, Town of	\$109,174,774	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$17,600	\$0	\$0	\$1,312	\$16,288	0%	\$16,288	0%	\$0	\$0
Edmonds, City of	\$5,430,059,642	\$15,510,525	\$0	\$5,644,355	\$0	\$21,154,880	26%	\$7,930,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,930,000	2%	\$29,084,880	7%	\$7,485,000	\$435,000
Everett, City of	\$9,708,398,133	\$41,377,000	\$0	\$2,481,175	\$0	\$43,858,175	30%	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$59,551	\$1,540,449	0%	\$45,398,624	6%	\$130,175,872	\$0
Granite Falls, City of	\$202,343,913	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$368,824	\$0
Index, Town of	\$13,971,145	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$114,400	\$0	\$0	\$114,400	11%	\$114,400	11%	\$0	\$0
Lake Stevens, City of	\$566,121,850	\$3,127,507	\$0	\$77,507	\$0	\$3,205,014	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,205,014	8%	\$2,105,735	\$0
Lynnwood, City of	\$3,966,328,334	\$9,357,882	\$369,366	\$0	\$0	\$8,988,516	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$8,988,516	3%	\$8,211,837	\$6,505,000
Marysville, City of	\$2,652,413,969	\$15,105,000	\$0	\$1,551,523	\$12,645	\$16,643,878	42%	\$1,155,000	\$0	\$0	\$23,189	\$1,131,811	1%	\$17,775,689	9%	\$61,790,000	\$170,000
Mill Creek, City of	\$1,836,252,366	\$0	\$0	\$92,628	\$963,365	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$926,337	\$0
Monroe, City of	\$1,362,060,630	\$16,930,000	\$0	\$1,334,389	\$255,000	\$18,009,389	88%	\$994,882	\$0	\$0	\$67,747	\$927,135	1%	\$18,936,524	19%	\$17,716,927	\$140,000
Mountlake Terrace, City of	\$1,742,129,951	\$4,120,000	\$0	\$942,085	\$0	\$5,062,085	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,062,085	4%	\$1,356,721	\$0
Mukilteo, City of	\$2,815,314,457	\$0	\$0	\$556,185	\$556,185	\$0	0%	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$61,858	\$438,142	0%	\$438,142	0%	\$0	\$0
Snohomish, City of	\$811,910,679	\$940,000	\$31,373	\$14,905	\$0	\$923,532	8%	\$395,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$395,000	1%	\$1,318,532	2%	\$10,444,428	\$0
Stanwood, City of	\$490,600,021	\$475,000	\$0	\$187,994	\$187,994	\$475,000	6%	\$2,260,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,260,000	\$0	0%	\$475,000	1%	\$12,493,301	\$390,000
Sultan, City of	\$327,635,640	\$1,280,000	\$41,654	\$0	\$0	\$1,238,346	25%	\$385,000	\$0	\$0	\$15,056	\$369,944	2%	\$1,608,290	7%	\$4,917,118	\$3,485,000
Woodway, Town of	\$446,579,321	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0

Spokane

Airway Heights, City of	\$175,426,396	\$0	\$0	\$556,056	\$0	\$556,056	21%	\$0	\$1,220,000	\$0	\$74,498	\$1,145,502	9%	\$1,701,558	13%	\$3,149,477	\$0
Cheney, City of	\$344,555,217	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$4,408,481	\$0
Deer Park, City of	\$150,500,349	\$155,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,000	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$155,000	1%	\$3,566,542	\$0



	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Fairfield, Town of	\$24,217,135	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$829,667	\$0
Latah, Town of	\$5,818,768	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Liberty Lake, City of	\$609,233,856	\$5,147,412	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,147,412	56%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,147,412	11%	\$0	\$0
Medical Lake, City of	\$152,924,447	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,937,546	\$0
Millwood, Town of	\$187,410,173	\$565,000	\$690	\$0	\$0	\$564,310	20%	\$695,000	\$0	\$0	\$36	\$694,964	5%	\$1,259,274	9%	\$1,375,000	\$0
Rockford, Town of	\$18,805,650	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,330	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,330	0%	\$6,330	0%	\$248,785	\$0
Spangle, Town of	\$10,700,856	\$0	\$0	\$13,235	\$0	\$13,235	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$13,235	2%	\$158,784	\$0
Spokane, City of	\$10,800,568,733	\$56,440,000	\$0	\$18,158,271	\$0	\$74,598,271	46%	\$53,250,000	\$0	\$2,775,000	\$7,890,652	\$48,134,348	6%	\$122,732,619	15%	\$105,665,593	\$5,724,121
Waverly, Town of	\$4,661,786	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Stevens																	
Chewelah, City of	\$121,503,162	\$0	\$0	\$222,327	\$0	\$222,327	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$222,327	2%	\$4,315,649	\$0
Cohville, City of	\$272,347,049	\$1,279,137	\$0	\$32,090	\$0	\$1,311,227	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,311,227	6%	\$12,513,396	\$200,000
Kettle Falls, City of	\$60,598,849	\$55,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,000	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$55,000	1%	\$60,000	\$0
Northport, Town of	\$10,713,131	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Springdale, Town of	\$8,562,397	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$361,319	\$0
Thurston																	
Bucoda, Town of	\$21,126,100	\$0	\$0	\$5,719	\$0	\$5,719	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,719	0%	\$0	\$0
Lacey, City of	\$2,886,750,373	\$500,000	\$504,830	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,185,000	\$0	\$4,550,000	\$341,398	\$9,393,602	4%	\$9,393,602	4%	\$1,556,412	\$3,890,000
Olympia, City of	\$4,245,662,697	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Rainier, City of	\$77,645,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Tenino, City of	\$87,300,921	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$160,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160,000	2%	\$160,000	2%	\$74,000	\$0
Tumwater, City of	\$1,480,470,617	\$4,375,000	\$0	\$1,996,300	\$810,069	\$5,561,231	25%	\$3,725,000	\$0	\$0	\$336,170	\$3,388,830	3%	\$8,950,061	8%	\$3,685,000	\$0
Yelm, City of	\$367,760,271	\$615,908	\$0	\$143,323	\$0	\$759,231	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$759,231	3%	\$9,119,745	\$710,000
Wahkiakum																	
Cathlamet, Town of	\$41,664,860	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,127,980	\$0
Walla Walla																	
College Place, City of	\$362,620,218	\$510,000	\$0	\$179,202	\$0	\$689,202	13%	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,731	\$1,393,269	5%	\$2,082,471	8%	\$9,485,157	\$0
Prescott, City of	\$10,243,899	\$28,578	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,578	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$28,578	4%	\$0	\$0
Waitsburg, City of	\$46,673,685	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,236,394	\$0
Walla Walla, City of	\$1,266,687,901	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,635,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,635,213	8%	\$7,635,213	8%	\$51,682,378	\$135,000
Whatcom																	
Bellingham, City of	\$6,635,005,489	\$34,613,276	\$136,213	\$0	\$0	\$34,779,633	35%	\$1,810,000	\$0	\$0	\$47,973	\$1,762,027	0%	\$36,239,090	7%	\$20,624,515	\$1,865,000
Blaine, City of	\$481,746,021	\$1,846,801	\$0	\$194,564	\$194,564	\$1,846,801	26%	\$1,510,000	\$0	\$0	\$34,882	\$1,475,118	4%	\$3,321,919	9%	\$9,724,362	\$0
Everson, City of	\$88,566,193	\$0	\$0	\$15,527	\$0	\$15,527	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$15,527	0%	\$900,590	\$270,000
Ferndale, City of	\$598,890,731	\$1,178,737	\$332,642	\$0	\$0	\$846,095	9%	\$220,000	\$0	\$0	\$15,417	\$204,583	0%	\$1,050,678	2%	\$10,233,921	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Novoted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Lynden, City of	\$757,095,680	\$6,665,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,665,000	59%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,665,000	12%	\$20,117,861	\$190,000
Nooksack, City of	\$39,438,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$599,103	\$0
Sumas, City of	\$145,571,732	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$551,482	\$0
Whitman																	
Albion, Town of	\$14,155,122	\$22,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,395	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$22,395	2%	\$262,609	\$0
Collax, City of	\$114,954,097	\$32,000	\$30,791	\$1,256,318	\$17,117	\$1,240,409	72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,240,409	14%	\$0	\$0
Colton, Town of	\$16,904,611	\$29,000	\$11,776	\$0	\$73,070	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$393,393	\$0
Endicott, Town of	\$8,855,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Farmington, Town of	\$3,373,444	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$108,817	\$0
Garfield, Town of	\$14,765,810	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,041,421	\$0
LaCross, Town of	\$9,355,338	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Lamont, Town of	\$2,498,317	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$50,500	\$0
Oakesdale, Town of	\$12,352,405	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$253,369	\$0
Palouse, City of	\$32,197,077	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$372,926	\$0
Pullman, City of	\$852,539,862	\$590,000	\$0	\$498,734	\$0	\$1,088,734	9%	\$515,000	\$0	\$0	\$354,252	\$160,748	0%	\$1,249,482	2%	\$1,904,592	\$0
Rosalia, Town of	\$17,034,163	\$12,022	\$4,178	\$0	\$0	\$7,843	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,843	1%	\$324,344	\$0
St John, Town of	\$24,099,997	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	28%	\$500,000	28%	\$0	\$0
Uniontown, Town of	\$15,102,844	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Yakima																	
Grandview, City of	\$362,245,380	\$0	\$0	\$72,326	\$72,326	\$0	0%	\$510,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,703	\$502,297	2%	\$502,297	2%	\$11,045,561	\$0
Harrah, Town of	\$16,008,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$180,864	\$0
Mabton, City of	\$29,302,509	\$0	\$0	\$44,988	\$0	\$44,988	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$44,988	2%	\$330,816	\$0
Moxee, City of	\$108,336,909	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$429,087	\$0
Naches, Town of	\$32,548,923	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$886,470	\$76,358
Selah, City of	\$403,492,241	\$5,108,000	\$1,355,480	\$0	\$0	\$3,752,520	62%	\$185,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,674	\$180,326	1%	\$3,932,846	13%	\$5,076,354	\$210,000
Sunnyside, City of	\$500,665,178	\$3,355,000	\$0	\$594,889	\$2,731,399	\$1,218,490	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,218,490	3%	\$6,559,642	\$0
Tieton, Town of	\$48,271,235	\$0	\$0	\$84,838	\$0	\$84,838	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$84,838	2%	\$640,289	\$0
Toppenish, City of	\$232,530,655	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$295,000	\$0	\$0	\$86,822	\$208,178	1%	\$208,178	1%	\$5,374,812	\$0
Union Gap, City of	\$450,142,977	\$702,461	\$431,928	\$53,250	\$334,428	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,727,025	\$1,163,800
Wapato, City of	\$119,405,270	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,667,866	\$125,000
Yakima, City of	\$4,169,739,611	\$17,130,097	\$0	\$9,428,251	\$8,572,932	\$17,985,416	29%	\$2,235,000	\$0	\$0	\$207,420	\$2,027,580	1%	\$20,012,996	6%	\$28,095,000	\$261,700
Zillah, City of	\$110,572,519	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0

CITIES/TOWNS, GO DEBT TRENDS 2001-2005 *Sorted alphabetically, with representative cities*

Bellevue

High-Valuation Cluster




PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Aberdeen, City of				20%	70%
Airway Heights, City of			57%	52%	39%
Albion, Town of	0%	0%	1%	8%	6%
Algona, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Almira, Town of	0%	0%		3%	0%
Anacortes, City of	23%	23%		14%	17%
Arlington, City of		0%	4%	20%	32%
Asotin, City of	2%	2%	5%		0%
Auburn, City of		0%	10%	0%	8%
Bainbridge Island, City of		8%	21%	28%	27%
Battle Ground, City of	5%	0%	22%	18%	14%
Beaux Arts Village, Town	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bellevue, City of	11%	12%	6%	35%	29%
Bellingham, City of	11%	11%	9%	22%	22%
Benton City, City of	0%	0%		122%	117%
Bingen, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black Diamond, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Blaine, City of	21%	33%	34%	31%	28%
Bonney Lake, City of	16%	11%	8%	7%	5%
Bothell, City of	18%	11%	18%	8%	5%
Bremerton, City of			75%	71%	61%
Brewster, City of	9%	0%	12%	12%	0%
Bridgeport, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Brier, City of	2%	2%	3%	8%	2%
Buckley, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bucoda, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Burien, City of	1%	6%	5%	4%	4%
Burlington, City of	19%	14%	11%	11%	6%
Camas, City of	21%	19%	18%	17%	15%
Carbonado, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Carnation, City of	15%	27%	11%	10%	
Cashmere, City of	52%	49%	41%	31%	31%
Castle Rock, City of					
Cathlamet, Town of		102%	0%	2%	0%
Centralia, City of	14%	12%	12%	20%	19%
Chehalis, City of	0%	0%		4%	3%
Chelan, City of	20%	21%	16%	12%	8%
Cheney, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
			\$3,564,060	\$14,121,221		
		\$1,854,734	\$1,755,990	\$1,701,558		
\$0	\$0	\$1,880	\$29,208	\$22,395	-3%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	21%	
\$0	\$0		\$6,500	\$0	4%	
\$8,160,961	\$8,377,534		\$6,112,182	\$8,255,000	1%	42%
	\$40,867	\$1,184,370	\$6,934,338	\$12,574,776		
\$20,000	\$22,800	\$47,785		\$0	-100%	22%
	\$0	\$10,739,963	\$0	\$9,800,203		
	\$7,182,522	\$19,699,657	\$27,373,682	\$32,366,723		
\$675,804	\$0	\$4,039,629	\$3,855,746	\$3,740,000	453%	85%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		22%
\$53,330,637	\$61,996,338	\$34,078,000	\$192,060,000	\$170,759,382	220%	22%
\$12,539,020	\$12,396,661	\$10,760,582	\$29,262,019	\$36,239,090	189%	48%
\$0	\$0		\$2,122,270	\$2,122,269		30%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		19%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		25%
\$2,163,940	\$3,550,277	\$3,732,522	\$3,484,152	\$3,321,919	54%	19%
\$2,760,914	\$2,476,420	\$1,988,519	\$1,964,000	\$1,720,743	-38%	102%
\$8,340,605	\$10,458,663	\$9,813,206	\$9,153,308	\$6,732,933	-19%	189%
\$11,404,410		\$32,122,355	\$32,895,530	\$33,973,133	198%	47%
\$192,178	\$0	\$238,114	\$220,887	\$0	-100%	-11%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$250,206	\$255,090	\$372,750	\$1,190,079	\$272,776	9%	66%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		33%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,719		37%
\$510,000	\$3,547,199	\$3,192,774	\$2,885,017	\$3,047,934	498%	32%
\$3,355,764	\$2,743,661	\$2,290,000	\$2,393,386	\$1,405,000	-58%	34%
\$11,073,679	\$10,516,698	\$10,059,525	\$9,765,906	\$9,746,627	-12%	19%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		31%
\$530,000	\$968,600	\$424,142	\$400,862			
\$1,826,729	\$1,723,637	\$1,466,824	\$1,101,906	\$1,199,302	-34%	11%
	\$745,000	\$0	\$17,620	\$0		
\$2,180,000	\$2,105,000	\$2,030,000	\$3,482,061	\$3,378,263	55%	12%
\$0	\$0		\$370,617	\$370,617		14%
\$1,556,578	\$1,700,070	\$1,261,832	\$1,064,964	\$780,000	-50%	21%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-71%

Everett

Medium-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Chewelah, City of	0%	0%	0%	9%	7%
Clarkston, City of	6%	7%	0%	0%	4%
Cle Elum, City of	10%	8%			19%
Clyde Hill, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Colfax, City of		0%	19%	57%	43%
College Place, City of	46%	31%	25%	25%	23%
Colton, Town of	9%	7%	7%	0%	0%
Colville, City of	12%	22%	12%	18%	19%
Conconully, Town of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Concrete, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Connell, City of	4%	3%	2%	18%	12%
Cosmopolis, City of	34%	35%			0%
Coulee City, Town of	0%	0%	0%	42%	38%
Coulee Dam, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Coupeville, Town of	9%	14%	19%	15%	2%
Covington, City of	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Creston, Town of	36%	27%	11%	16%	
Cusick, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Darrington, Town of	5%	4%	3%	2%	1%
Davenport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Dayton, City of	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Deer Park, City of	0%	0%	6%	5%	4%
Des Moines, City of	11%	21%	21%	18%	12%
DuPont, City of		1%	0%	0%	1%
Duvall, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
East Wenatchee, City of	25%	17%	20%	18%	16%
Eatonville, Town of					
Edgewood, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Edmonds, City of	24%	32%	23%	25%	21%
Electric City, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ellensburg, City of	17%	43%	47%	44%	40%
Elma, City of	12%		9%	2%	8%
Elmer City, Town of				0%	160%
Endicott, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Entiat, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Enumclaw, City of		4%	8%	6%	13%
Ephrata, City of	21%	19%		23%	21%
Everett, City of	25%	22%	27%	1%	19%
Everson, City of	0%	0%		0%	1%
Fairfield, Town of	10%	5%	3%	0%	0%
Farmington, Town of	0%	0%		0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$272,899	\$222,327	789%	-61%
\$370,036	\$407,293	\$0	\$0	\$245,602	-34%	9%
\$273,285	\$233,650			\$793,710	190%	47%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		37%
		\$546,981	\$1,595,946	\$1,240,409		
\$2,801,611	\$2,029,408	\$1,710,849	\$2,114,196	\$2,082,471	-26%	49%
\$32,500	\$27,490	\$27,000	\$0	\$0	-100%	11%
\$1,814,870	\$1,400,715	\$752,444	\$1,245,498	\$1,311,227	-28%	-54%
\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		29%
	\$2,939	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$90,729	\$76,387	\$61,330	\$462,874	\$322,515	255%	7%
\$1,040,992	\$1,004,356			\$0	-100%	4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$218,763	\$196,448		13%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		2258%
\$293,572	\$476,446	\$686,105	\$601,055	\$65,993	-78%	30%
\$1,304	\$0	\$0	\$166,646	\$0	-100%	56%
\$49,000	\$36,667	\$16,000	\$21,995		-100%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		46%
\$78,804	\$63,387	\$49,655	\$33,899	\$16,288	-79%	73%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		10%
\$23,826	\$14,658	\$5,140	\$0	\$0	-100%	2%
\$0	\$0	\$195,000	\$175,000	\$155,000		36%
\$5,140,394	\$10,460,953	\$10,705,332	\$9,923,826	\$7,250,521	41%	29%
	\$155,260	\$31,328	\$0	\$114,224		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$700,713		39%
\$2,335,000	\$2,050,671	\$2,454,958	\$2,324,178	\$2,078,285	-11%	44%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		40%
\$20,132,053	\$26,807,348	\$25,241,490	\$29,588,553	\$29,084,880	44%	64%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		21%
\$2,733,040	\$6,946,485	\$8,350,230	\$8,106,294	\$7,574,588	177%	22%
\$450,000		\$325,629	\$74,192	\$305,000	-32%	8%
			\$0	\$210,000		
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	\$700,625	\$1,623,221	\$1,239,317	\$2,826,424		
\$1,280,693	\$1,219,705		\$1,625,020	\$1,472,822	15%	15%
\$45,619,658	\$46,805,530	\$56,523,372	\$2,024,442	\$45,398,624	0%	33%
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$15,527		27%
\$51,199	\$28,899	\$14,562	\$0	\$0	-100%	14%
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		-15%

Kettle Falls

Low-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Federal Way, City of	13%	15%	20%	17%	10%
Ferndale, City of	17%	13%	18%	20%	7%
Fife, City of		79%	0%	40%	36%
Fircrest, City of	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%
Forks, City of		4%	1%	4%	3%
Friday Harbor, Town of	6%	3%	1%	1%	0%
Garfield, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
George, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Gig Harbor, City of	45%	41%	45%	39%	45%
Gold Bar, City of	8%	9%	8%	4%	
Goldendale, City of	14%	11%	9%	5%	24%
Grand Coulee, City of		0%	0%		0%
Grandview, City of		9%	8%	6%	6%
Granger, Town of	0%	0%		0%	
Granite Falls, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Hamilton, Town of		5%	55%	36%	32%
Harrah, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Harrington, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Hartline, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hatton, Town of			0%	0%	0%
Hoquiam, City of	24%	21%		13%	14%
Hunts Point, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ilwaco, City of	34%	70%	59%	3%	0%
Index, Town of	19%	0%	16%	15%	33%
Ione, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Issaquah, City of	58%	51%	41%	40%	35%
Kahlotus, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kalama, City of	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Kelso, City of	2%	1%	25%	23%	22%
Kenmore, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kennewick, City of	13%	12%	26%	23%	21%
Kent, City of		40%	39%	33%	36%
Kettle Falls, City of	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%
Kirkland, City of	13%	11%	8%	7%	11%
Kittitas, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Krupp, Town of		0%		2%	2%
La Center, City of	0%	0%		0%	
La Conner, Town of		27%	0%	38%	32%
Lacey, City of	6%	16%	14%	11%	13%
LaCrosse, Town of		0%		51%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005			
\$18,203,708	\$22,398,803	\$31,972,827	\$27,922,035	\$18,856,215	4%	28%	
\$1,967,230	\$1,752,724	\$2,427,868	\$2,720,293	\$1,050,678	-47%	26%	
	\$20,207,817	\$0	\$10,912,584	\$11,501,849			
\$341,603	\$252,985	\$235,000	\$151,245	\$145,000	-58%	44%	
	\$90,328	\$23,991	\$93,688	\$74,646			
\$402,102	\$234,211	\$103,873	\$47,346	\$0	-100%	32%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		0%	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$9,031,063	\$8,809,518	\$10,342,909	\$9,873,274	\$13,025,019	44%	44%	
\$171,268	\$205,800	\$185,656	\$106,865		-100%	-100%	
\$452,989	\$895,887	\$721,512	\$399,999	\$1,716,057	279%	123%	
	\$0	\$0		\$0			
	\$642,269	\$597,790	\$551,011	\$502,297			
\$0	\$0		\$0			-100%	
	\$0	\$2,696	\$0	\$0			
	\$14,794	\$144,694	\$125,090	\$105,286			
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		22%	
		\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$1,808,129	\$1,576,567		\$1,087,156	\$1,136,797	-37%	7%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		25%	
\$472,500	\$1,055,566	\$898,023	\$42,684	\$0	-100%	17%	
\$53,200	\$0	\$45,407	\$46,600	\$114,400	115%	22%	
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$34,091,737	\$32,443,122	\$30,795,345	\$32,944,420	\$32,757,737	-4%	58%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		2%	
\$0	\$0	\$35,000	\$26,731	\$18,164		8%	
\$301,066	\$189,929	\$3,291,292	\$3,220,914	\$3,150,521	946%	10%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		32%	
\$7,893,690	\$7,966,094	\$19,698,087	\$18,839,983	\$18,224,881	131%	41%	
	\$81,288,218	\$82,259,081	\$73,316,086	\$83,390,961			
\$47,366	\$38,365	\$28,907	\$9,026	\$55,000	16%	9%	
\$21,389,985	\$19,886,703	\$15,478,472	\$14,346,074	\$24,363,631	14%	30%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$109,566		25%	
	\$0		\$1,600	\$1,400			
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		-100%	
	\$740,168	\$0	\$1,062,953	\$992,216			
\$2,948,373	\$8,185,041	\$7,469,039	\$6,948,672	\$9,393,602	219%	55%	
	\$0		\$105,492	\$0			

Mercer Island

Medium-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Lake Forest Park, City of	0%	13%	7%	5%	6%
Lake Stevens, City of	21%	20%	19%	26%	23%
Lakewood, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Lamont, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Langley, City of	12%	8%	7%	4%	4%
Latah, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Leavenworth, City of	38%	33%	64%	11%	55%
Liberty Lake, City of	2%	16%	21%	28%	34%
Lind, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Long Beach, City of	0%	0%	0%	12%	8%
Longview, City of	28%	24%	23%	25%	23%
Lyman, Town of	0%	0%	203%	0%	0%
Lynden, City of	27%	31%	26%	23%	35%
Lynnwood, City of	17%	16%	12%	11%	9%
Mabton, City of	0%	0%	174%	0%	6%
Malden, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mansfield, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maple Valley, City of	23%	20%	18%	15%	14%
Marcus, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Marysville, City of	9%	36%	38%	0%	27%
Mattawa, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
McCleary, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Medical Lake, City of	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Medina, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mercer Island, City of	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Mesa, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Metaline Falls, Town of	0%	0%	33%	33%	23%
Metaline, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mill Creek, City of	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Millwood, Town of	35%	24%	0%	28%	27%
Milton, City of	0%	4%	0%	2%	1%
Monroe, City of	6%	6%	5%	6%	56%
Montesano, City of	4%	1%	0%	5%	0%
Morton, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Moses Lake, City of	16%	14%	20%	17%	11%
Mossyrock, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mount Vernon, City of	0%	17%	15%	14%	10%
Mountlake Terrace, City of	0%	0%	14%	11%	12%
Moxee, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mukilteo, City of	8%	5%	4%	2%	1%
Naches, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
\$0	\$4,684,978	\$2,648,372	\$1,959,238	\$2,898,613	-42%	29%
\$2,249,865	\$2,158,205	\$2,055,640	\$3,292,099	\$3,205,014	42%	33%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$464,844	\$1,764,391	30%	30%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-35%	-35%
\$371,050	\$255,406	\$248,529	\$0	\$190,777	-49%	38%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	2%	2%
\$1,734,238	\$1,514,642	\$3,265,428	\$595,180	\$2,918,974	68%	17%
\$197,178	\$1,950,799	\$2,620,020	\$3,576,808	\$5,147,412	2511%	35%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	6%	6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$474,203	\$384,394	0%	0%
\$12,991,062	\$12,006,729	\$11,444,589	\$11,615,032	\$11,252,755	-13%	3%
\$0	\$0	\$840,238	\$0	\$0	57%	57%
\$3,932,764	\$4,641,965	\$4,390,013	\$4,105,651	\$6,665,000	69%	32%
\$11,587,129	\$11,182,225	\$10,004,126	\$9,154,439	\$8,988,516	-22%	48%
\$0	\$0	\$1,281,718	\$0	\$44,988	28%	28%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	7%	7%
\$6,660,000	\$6,479,175	\$6,455,700	\$6,011,300	\$6,135,000	-8%	58%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%
\$3,905,000	\$16,807,061	\$18,320,797	\$0	\$17,775,689	355%	45%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34%	34%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%
\$45,809	\$23,405	\$46,126	\$0	\$0	-100%	57%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32%	32%
\$7,513,261	\$6,685,681	\$5,148,200	\$7,014,416	\$6,036,365	-20%	24%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	8%	8%
\$0	\$0	\$55,744	\$52,946	\$50,020	31%	31%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%
\$648,354	\$0	\$387,921	\$293,792	\$0	-100%	73%
\$1,364,150	\$1,453,352	\$0	\$1,369,300	\$1,259,274	-8%	21%
\$0	\$434,518	\$0	\$246,000	\$103,175	0%	0%
\$1,443,243	\$1,280,146	\$1,193,543	\$1,927,899	\$18,936,524	1212%	49%
\$175,000	\$50,799	\$0	\$226,000	\$0	-100%	16%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%
\$3,228,223	\$3,015,456	\$4,378,900	\$3,691,515	\$2,422,357	-25%	13%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	17%	17%
\$0	\$6,355,000	\$5,999,000	\$5,633,511	\$5,288,822	0%	0%
\$0	\$0	\$5,170,032	\$4,386,059	\$5,062,085	0%	0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	81%	81%
\$3,523,514	\$2,957,578	\$2,185,000	\$1,255,089	\$438,142	-88%	61%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	16%	16%

Okanogan

Low-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Napavine, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%
Nespelem, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Newcastle, City of		0%	0%	3%	
Newport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nooksack, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	57%
Normandy Park, City of	8%	7%	6%	5%	25%
North Bend, City of	28%		15%	14%	0%
North Bonneville, City of	0%	1%	0%	27%	18%
Northport, Town of		0%	0%	3%	0%
Oak Harbor, City of	19%	8%	6%	4%	0%
Oakesdale, Town of		0%		0%	18%
Oakville, City of			0%	0%	
Ocean Shores, City of	36%	158%	154%	157%	42%
Odessa, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Okanogan, City of	21%	19%	17%	16%	15%
Olympia, City of	3%	2%	0%	0%	1%
Omak, City of	11%	10%	4%	26%	16%
Oroville, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Orting, City of	0%	0%	6%	8%	2%
Othello, City of	23%	11%	5%	40%	0%
Pacific, City of	2%		5%	3%	0%
Palouse, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Pasco, City of		48%	41%	18%	18%
Pateros, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Pe Ell, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pomeroy, City of	0%	0%	4%	4%	18%
Port Angeles, City of	23%	22%	19%	22%	
Port Orchard, City of		0%	26%	19%	0%
Port Townsend, City of	5%	24%	23%	42%	25%
Poulsbo, City of	12%	10%	19%	15%	0%
Prescott, City of	28%	0%	17%	0%	44%
Prosser, City of	28%	25%	23%	19%	2%
Pullman, City of		8%	15%	4%	0%
Puyallup, City of		26%	32%	47%	0%
Quincy, City of	17%	17%	10%	7%	0%
Rainier, City of	0%			0%	6%
Raymond, City of		0%	13%	14%	23%
Reardan, Town of		0%			1%
Redmond, City of	6%	5%	5%	3%	0%
Renton, City of	20%	34%	19%	17%	0%
Republic, City of	0%			0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		28%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,600		
\$4,678	\$0	\$950,000	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		14%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		29%
\$1,657,386	\$1,491,372	\$1,397,295	\$1,130,622	\$1,214,547	-27%	32%
\$3,455,355		\$1,989,783	\$1,863,484	\$1,741,053	-50%	16%
\$0	\$13,711	\$0	\$335,598	\$321,655		50%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$0		
\$4,201,875	\$1,805,000	\$1,520,000	\$1,225,000	\$2,091,736	-50%	38%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$5,275,778	\$23,364,983	\$22,937,271	\$24,023,975	\$4,331,778	-18%	10%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$418,267	\$382,406	\$348,357	\$314,168	\$577,162	38%	2%
\$2,418,126	\$1,679,068	\$0	\$454,273	\$0	-100%	43%
\$518,496	\$453,504	\$179,837	\$1,316,252	\$1,237,123	139%	9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$337,500	\$490,804	\$1,405,000		71%
\$1,770,028	\$933,615	\$369,221	\$3,262,052	\$1,935,195	9%	7%
\$103,349		\$354,252	\$291,801	\$580,065	461%	74%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-3%
\$15,754,050	\$14,852,042	\$8,208,073	\$9,082,005	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		10%
\$0	\$0	\$45,869	\$40,802	\$14,295		12%
\$6,240,746	\$5,795,133	\$5,166,078	\$6,241,920	\$10,941,327	75%	17%
\$0	\$2,795,000	\$2,655,000	\$2,510,000	\$2,510,000		
\$817,836	\$4,303,648	\$4,290,676	\$8,177,010	\$7,884,239	864%	62%
\$1,761,050	\$1,485,423	\$3,108,233	\$2,839,171	\$8,762,129	398%	59%
\$56,000	\$0	\$34,400	\$0	\$28,578	-49%	28%
\$1,875,041	\$1,736,246	\$1,596,334	\$1,344,624	\$1,408,307	-25%	6%
	\$1,484,143	\$2,864,541	\$814,691	\$1,249,482		
	\$16,748,150	\$21,849,149	\$33,643,498	\$31,431,775		
\$1,037,158	\$1,091,397	\$676,501	\$476,906	\$250,413	-76%	7%
\$0			\$0	\$0		51%
	\$0	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$355,000		
	\$0			\$0		
\$11,675,092	\$10,438,502	\$11,498,058	\$7,988,055	\$43,610,754	274%	25%
\$27,770,729	\$50,330,787	\$30,189,767	\$29,031,259	\$28,521,768	3%	31%
\$0			\$0	\$0		7%

Seattle

High-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Richland, City of	35%	35%	30%	29%	30%
Ridgefield, City of	0%	24%	17%	15%	14%
Ritzville, City of	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Riverside, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rock Island, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rockford, Town of		15%	4%	1%	1%
Rosalia, Town of	8%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Roslyn, City of	11%	10%	9%	6%	0%
Roy, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Royal City, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ruston, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sammamish, City of	0%	10%	3%	2%	6%
SeaTac, City of	11%	10%	9%	8%	7%
Seattle, City of		54%	45%	41%	41%
Sedro-Woolley, City of	20%	21%	19%	15%	13%
Selah, City of	3%	57%	52%	43%	39%
Sequim, City of	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Shelton, City of	52%	44%	44%	33%	28%
Shoreline, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Skykomish, Town of	0%	36%	0%	9%	0%
Snohomish, City of		3%	3%	4%	6%
Snoqualmie, City of	37%	45%	41%	32%	21%
Soap Lake, City of		1%			
South Bend, City of	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%
South Cle Elum, Town of	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%
South Prairie, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Spangle, Town of	135%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Spokane, City of	28%	25%	24%	43%	45%
Sprague, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Springdale, Town of					0%
St John, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	83%
Stanwood, City of		45%	38%	32%	4%
Starbuck, Town of	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Steilacoom, Town of	29%	18%	0%	11%	18%
Stevenson, City of	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Sultan, City of	27%	25%	24%	24%	20%
Sumas, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sumner, City of		21%	24%	10%	12%
Sunnyside, City of	40%	38%	33%	5%	10%
Tacoma, City of	23%	32%	45%	39%	59%
Teakoa, City of	0%	0%		0%	

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	AV % Change '01-'05
\$21,499,007	\$24,205,971	\$21,714,136	\$22,899,418	\$24,757,248	15%	36%
\$0	\$1,020,798	\$900,000	\$1,040,000	\$1,258,526		117%
\$45,447	\$47,912	\$44,000	\$23,666	\$11,235	-75%	4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-15%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		3%
	\$64,025	\$17,815	\$5,605	\$6,330		
\$35,000	\$0	\$5,435	\$0	\$7,843	-78%	-1%
\$164,000	\$152,800	\$137,100	\$130,000	\$0	-100%	38%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		224%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		56%
\$318,103	\$13,560,000	\$3,850,000	\$3,912,521	\$11,410,000	3487%	41%
\$8,448,983	\$7,982,293	\$7,516,322	\$7,025,358	\$6,545,000	-23%	18%
	\$1,073,915,186	\$949,440,609	\$893,004,775	\$971,200,839		
\$2,116,775	\$2,331,586	\$2,210,669	\$2,080,477	\$1,975,628	-7%	38%
\$283,388	\$5,528,669	\$5,049,615	\$4,150,848	\$3,932,846	1288%	8%
\$0	\$105,918	\$0	\$70,000	\$28,401		72%
\$4,921,124	\$4,160,858	\$4,357,411	\$3,621,041	\$3,269,312	-34%	22%
	\$0	\$104,442	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$162,500	\$0	\$43,820	\$0		14%
	\$485,000	\$375,518	\$787,252	\$1,318,532		
\$5,328,615	\$7,893,764	\$8,426,869	\$7,907,202	\$6,579,625	23%	111%
	\$17,505					
\$37,980	\$25,141	\$9,067	\$0	\$0	-100%	-3%
\$0	\$0	\$39,951	\$30,250	\$20,377		27%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$328,817	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,235	-96%	10%
\$63,384,546	\$58,314,157	\$56,275,437	\$103,668,498	\$122,732,619	94%	21%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		15%
				\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000		13%
	\$3,779,000	\$3,282,700	\$3,092,994	\$475,000		
\$0	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$0		31%
\$3,284,877	\$1,939,877	\$0	\$1,365,000	\$2,563,629	-22%	27%
\$34,349	\$17,644	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	20%
\$1,455,000	\$1,420,000	\$1,374,232	\$1,734,750	\$1,608,290	11%	50%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		5%
	\$4,626,092	\$5,653,497	\$2,743,221	\$3,850,474		
\$4,615,438	\$4,419,002	\$4,043,910	\$583,500	\$1,218,490	-74%	9%
\$66,614,000	\$93,755,000	\$139,044,868	\$128,434,089	\$230,980,000	247%	36%
\$0	\$0		\$0			

Yakima

Medium-Valuation Cluster




PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Tenino, City of	18%	14%	12%	10%	7%
Tieton, Town of		0%		0%	7%
Toledo, City of	0%	0%	0%		
Tonasket, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Toppenish, City of	20%	8%	6%	11%	4%
Tukwila, City of	19%	19%	31%	23%	22%
Tumwater, City of			42%	36%	24%
Twisp, Town of	120%			0%	64%
Union Gap, City of	8%	35%	12%		0%
Uniontown, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
University Place, City of	21%	21%	36%	36%	28%
Vader, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Vancouver, City of	35%	33%	37%	34%	33%
Waitsburg, City of	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Walla Walla, City of	16%	14%	12%	45%	24%
Wapato, City of		0%		0%	0%
Warden, City of		0%	0%	1%	1%
Washougal, City of		16%	13%	11%	9%
Washucna, Town of	28%	20%	13%	0%	0%
Waterville, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Waverly, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wenatchee, City of	36%	32%	30%	27%	25%
West Richland, City of	20%	20%	20%	21%	26%
Westport, City of		17%	12%	9%	12%
White Salmon, City of	27%	25%	24%	37%	15%
Wilbur, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wilkeson, Town of		0%			
Wilson Creek, Town of			0%	0%	0%
Winlock, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Winthrop, Town of	0%	0%	20%	5%	4%
Woodinville, City of	15%	14%	12%	11%	10%
Woodland, City of	3%	2%	2%	1%	12%
Woodway, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Yacolt, Town of	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
Yakima, City of	17%	16%	21%	20%	19%
Yarrow Point, Town of	0%	0%		5%	5%
Yelm, City of	15%	16%	12%	0%	8%
Zillah, City of	4%	3%	2%	1%	0%


TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '01-'05
2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change '01-'05	
\$275,000	\$220,000	\$200,000	\$180,000	\$160,000	-42%	45%
	\$0		\$0	\$84,838		
\$0	\$0	\$0				
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-18%
\$1,091,833	\$435,298	\$348,310	\$635,000	\$208,178	-81%	9%
\$16,154,156	\$16,907,678	\$26,358,988	\$19,484,138	\$20,252,192	25%	8%
		\$12,276,814	\$11,289,195	\$8,950,061		
\$1,230,746			\$0	\$755,696	-39%	15%
\$688,251	\$3,140,675	\$1,152,123		\$0	-100%	33%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		0%
\$9,842,787	\$9,698,103	\$18,054,307	\$19,160,000	\$17,774,743	81%	40%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		11%
\$79,449,757	\$79,290,664	\$94,340,000	\$91,170,000	\$98,650,617	24%	31%
\$0	\$9,508	\$0	\$0	\$0		26%
\$4,389,932	\$4,120,129	\$3,639,222	\$13,945,593	\$7,635,213	74%	17%
	\$0		\$0	\$0		
	\$0	\$0	\$35,063	\$31,134		
	\$2,581,754	\$2,462,240	\$2,348,839	\$2,217,671		
\$37,839	\$28,366	\$18,451	\$0	\$0	-100%	-3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		41%
\$12,176,576	\$11,544,312	\$10,874,906	\$10,185,950	\$9,753,637	-20%	17%
\$1,754,727	\$1,850,083	\$2,196,443	\$2,614,023	\$3,444,012	96%	53%
	\$591,203	\$434,596	\$360,745	\$587,679		
\$770,107	\$745,108	\$715,451	\$1,503,967	\$647,055	-16%	46%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		2%
	\$0					
		\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		9%
\$0	\$0	\$244,893	\$59,044	\$49,194		22%
\$5,956,825	\$5,725,574	\$5,493,012	\$5,254,228	\$5,184,223	-13%	31%
\$194,828	\$186,745	\$145,043	\$128,658	\$1,181,164	506%	36%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		99%
\$70,000	\$60,000	\$52,500	\$45,000	\$37,500	-46%	46%
\$14,164,531	\$14,459,553	\$20,361,879	\$19,983,396	\$20,012,996	41%	28%
\$57,140	\$33,616		\$662,419	\$755,401	1222%	33%
\$830,680	\$928,299	\$814,335	\$0	\$759,231	-9%	68%
\$105,000	\$80,000	\$55,000	\$30,000	\$0	-100%	13%

SCHOOL DISTRICTS, 2005

* Group average rather than total.
Non-reporting districts not included in *General Comparisons* list and overall averages.



Assessed Valuation Total: \$616,927,418,593




Population figures were not available for school districts.


GENERAL COMPARISONS Ranked by assessed valuation and clustered in three groups										
School Dist. Name / Valuation Cluster	Nonvoted Debt	Nonvoted % Cap.	Voted Debt	Voted % Cap.	Total GO Debt	% GO Cap.	Assessed Valuation	Debt / Valuation	Debt % of Total	Valuation % of Total
Seattle SD 1	\$55,693,764	16%	\$0	0%	\$55,693,764	1%	\$94,947,928,551	0.06%	0.87%	15.39%
Lake Washington SD 414	\$0	0%	\$141,384,103	10%	\$141,384,103	10%	\$28,667,900,943	0.49%	2.22%	4.65%
Bellevue SD 405	\$0	0%	\$246,908,798	17%	\$246,908,798	17%	\$28,493,983,274	0.87%	3.87%	4.62%
Tacoma SD 10	\$45,068,628	72%	\$314,495,247	38%	\$359,563,875	43%	\$16,736,729,965	2.15%	5.64%	2.71%
Northshore SD 417	\$0	0%	\$224,464,396	27%	\$224,464,396	27%	\$16,530,053,843	1.36%	3.52%	2.68%
Edmonds SD 15	\$0	0%	\$171,830,613	21%	\$171,830,613	21%	\$16,484,388,705	1.04%	2.70%	2.67%
Kent SD 415	\$4,377,517	8%	\$0	0%	\$4,377,517	1%	\$14,980,703,314	0.03%	0.07%	2.43%
Issaquah SD 411	\$3,143,080	6%	\$230,662,930	32%	\$233,806,010	32%	\$14,598,160,695	1.60%	3.67%	2.37%
Renton SD 403	\$0	0%	\$204,577,818	32%	\$204,577,818	32%	\$12,774,048,883	1.60%	3.21%	2.07%
Everett SD 2	\$0	0%	\$154,661,139	27%	\$154,661,139	27%	\$11,635,816,943	1.33%	2.43%	1.89%
Highline SD 401	\$8,021,371	18%	\$198,589,913	34%	\$206,611,284	36%	\$11,620,072,855	1.78%	3.24%	1.88%
Spokane SD 81	\$3,233,239	7%	\$200,494,566	35%	\$203,727,805	35%	\$11,576,487,325	1.76%	3.20%	1.88%
High Valuation	\$119,537,599	*12%	\$2,088,069,523	*15%	\$2,207,607,122	*16%	\$279,046,275,296	*0.79%	34.64%	45.23%
Vancouver SD 37	\$0	0%	\$199,190,116	37%	\$199,190,116	37%	\$10,860,457,296	1.83%	3.13%	1.76%
Federal Way SD 210	\$13,134,296	34%	\$121,435,128	24%	\$134,569,424	26%	\$10,266,532,349	1.31%	2.11%	1.66%
Evergreen SD 114	\$0	0%	\$230,663,338	46%	\$230,663,338	46%	\$10,025,020,690	2.30%	3.62%	1.62%
Mukilteo SD 6	\$1,974,711	5%	\$115,348,701	23%	\$117,323,412	24%	\$9,918,738,092	1.18%	1.84%	1.61%
Puyallup SD 3	\$1,207,185	3%	\$167,908,957	35%	\$169,116,142	35%	\$9,596,202,267	1.76%	2.65%	1.56%
Bellingham SD 501	\$0	0%	\$55,931,899	13%	\$55,931,899	13%	\$8,778,779,069	0.64%	0.88%	1.42%
Peninsula SD 401	\$1,475,000	5%	\$57,963,408	15%	\$59,438,408	15%	\$7,716,747,802	0.77%	0.93%	1.25%
Shoreline SD 412	\$11,937,175	42%	\$83,819,623	22%	\$95,756,799	25%	\$7,648,753,844	1.25%	1.50%	1.24%
Auburn SD 408	\$0	0%	\$130,706,293	38%	\$130,706,293	38%	\$6,803,201,054	1.92%	2.05%	1.10%
North Thurston SD 3	\$0	0%	\$70,549,168	21%	\$70,549,168	21%	\$6,798,210,199	1.04%	1.11%	1.10%
Bethel SD 403	\$0	0%	\$83,788,541	25%	\$83,788,541	25%	\$6,728,013,978	1.25%	1.31%	1.09%
Olympia SD 111	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$5,713,345,284	0.00%	0.00%	0.93%
Central Kitsap SD 401	\$0	0%	\$43,186,687	16%	\$43,186,687	16%	\$5,435,961,348	0.79%	0.68%	0.88%
Snohomish SD 201	\$428,426	2%	\$109,354,281	42%	\$109,782,707	42%	\$5,252,201,351	2.09%	1.72%	0.85%
Battle Ground SD 119	\$3,209,131	17%	\$62,864,887	25%	\$66,074,018	26%	\$5,102,765,888	1.29%	1.04%	0.83%
North Kitsap SD 400	\$0	0%	\$71,498,601	28%	\$71,498,601	28%	\$5,028,016,695	1.42%	1.12%	0.82%
Marysville SD 25	\$1	0%	\$0	0%	\$1	0%	\$5,010,808,118	0.00%	0.00%	0.81%
Snoqualmie Valley SD 410	\$566,148	3%	\$77,577,122	33%	\$78,143,270	33%	\$4,772,340,420	1.64%	1.23%	0.77%
Bainbridge Island SD 303	\$3,245,000	18%	\$29,698,775	13%	\$32,943,775	14%	\$4,722,618,738	0.70%	0.52%	0.77%
Clover Park SD 400	\$5,354,280	31%	\$4,364,868	2%	\$9,719,148	4%	\$4,648,471,695	0.21%	0.15%	0.75%
Kennewick SD 17	\$0	0%	\$43,708,996	19%	\$43,708,996	19%	\$4,561,335,889	0.96%	0.69%	0.74%
Central Valley SD 356	\$0	0%	\$90,196,661	41%	\$90,196,661	41%	\$4,386,361,592	2.06%	1.42%	0.71%
Summer SD 320	\$2,825,000	19%	\$63,939,085	32%	\$66,764,085	34%	\$3,951,157,778	1.69%	1.05%	0.64%
Richland SD 400	\$0	0%	\$87,838,906	45%	\$87,838,906	45%	\$3,928,783,256	2.24%	1.38%	0.64%
Medium Valuation	\$45,356,353	*8%	\$2,001,534,042	*25%	\$2,046,890,395	*26%	\$157,654,824,692	*1.30%	32.12%	25.55%
Low Valuation	\$60,841,290	*10%	\$2,029,059,463	*23%	\$2,089,900,753	*23%	\$180,226,318,605	*1.16%	32.94%	29.21%
Total	\$255,735,242	*12%	\$6,118,663,027	*20%	\$6,344,398,269	*21%	\$616,927,418,593	*1.03%	100.00%	100.00%

SCHOOL DISTRICTS, 2005 *Non-voted, voted and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$255,735,242



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$6,118,663,027



Total GO Debt
Total: \$6,344,398,269



NONVOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS				
Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Nonvoted GO Debt	% of Nonvoted Cap.
Deer Park #414	Low	Spokane	\$1,650,000	90%
Wapato #207	Low	Yakima	\$1,539,447	89%
Oroville #410	Low	Okanogan	\$735,723	76%
Toppenish #202	Low	Yakima	\$1,233,000	76%
Valley #70	Low	Stevens	\$200,000	74%
Tacoma #10	High	Pierce	\$45,068,628	72%
Onalaska #300	Low	Lewis	\$658,699	65%
Medical Lake #326	Low	Spokane	\$804,992	61%
West Valley (Spok) #363	Low	Spokane	\$2,933,280	61%
Raymond #116	Low	Pacific	\$353,169	56%
Hoquiam #28	Low	Grays Harbor	\$846,283	51%
Rainier #307	Low	Thurston	\$509,018	42%
Shoreline #412	Med	King	\$11,937,175	42%
Aberdeen #5	Low	Grays Harbor	\$1,627,070	41%
Yelm Community #2	Low	Thurston	\$2,206,640	39%
Castle Rock #401	Low	Cowlitz	\$611,580	38%
Naselle-Grays Riv Vly #155	Low	Pacific	\$200,000	38%
Burlington-Edison #100	Low	Skagit	\$3,008,603	37%
Odessa #105	Low	Lincoln	\$127,542	36%
Federal Way #210	Med	King	\$13,134,296	34%

VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS				
Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Voted Debt	% of Voted Cap.
Valley #70	Low	Stevens	\$3,120,000	86%
Raymond #116	Low	Pacific	\$6,790,368	81%
Granite Falls #332	Low	Snohomish	\$39,991,064	77%
Okanogan #105	Low	Okanogan	\$7,106,530	73%
Zillah #205	Low	Yakima	\$9,531,259	70%
Colfax #300	Low	Whitman	\$8,413,790	68%
Wahluke #73	Low	Grant	\$11,132,341	64%
Waitsburg #401	Low	Walla Walla	\$2,577,951	63%
Yelm Community #2	Low	Thurston	\$46,737,277	62%
Aberdeen #5	Low	Grays Harbor	\$31,725,732	60%
Nine Mile Falls #325	Low	Spokane	\$6,018,504	58%
Winlock #232	Low	Lewis	\$6,279,214	58%
Columbia (Walla) #400	Low	Walla Walla	\$13,218,606	57%
Grandview #200	Low	Yakima	\$15,736,208	55%
Shelton #309	Low	Mason	\$30,698,236	53%
Southside #42	Low	Mason	\$3,440,477	53%
Davenport #207	Low	Lincoln	\$4,725,000	52%
Stanwood-Camano #401	Low	Snohomish	\$42,043,610	52%
Kiona-Benton #52	Low	Benton	\$8,582,077	51%
White River #416	Low	Pierce	\$46,638,365	50%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS				
Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Total GO Debt	% of Total Cap.
Valley #70	Low	Stevens	\$3,320,000	92%
Raymond #116	Low	Pacific	\$7,143,537	85%
Granite Falls #332	Low	Snohomish	\$40,842,581	78%
Okanogan #105	Low	Okanogan	\$7,106,530	73%
Zillah #205	Low	Yakima	\$9,817,059	72%
Colfax #300	Low	Whitman	\$8,675,381	70%
Yelm Community #2	Low	Thurston	\$48,943,917	65%
Wahluke #73	Low	Grant	\$11,132,341	64%
Waitsburg #401	Low	Walla Walla	\$2,577,951	63%
Aberdeen #5	Low	Grays Harbor	\$33,352,802	63%
Winlock #232	Low	Lewis	\$6,508,464	60%
Nine Mile Falls #325	Low	Spokane	\$6,098,504	59%
Columbia (Walla) #400	Low	Walla Walla	\$13,218,606	57%
Grandview #200	Low	Yakima	\$15,736,208	55%
Southside #42	Low	Mason	\$3,466,345	54%
Shelton #309	Low	Mason	\$30,698,236	53%
Davenport #207	Low	Lincoln	\$4,725,000	52%
Stanwood-Camano #401	Low	Snohomish	\$42,238,100	52%
White River #416	Low	Pierce	\$48,313,784	52%
Kiona-Benton #52	Low	Benton	\$8,582,077	51%

SCHOOL DISTRICTS, 2005 GO DEBT CAPACITY DETAIL *Sorted alphabetically by county*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Capital	J. Voted GO Svc Funds	K. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	L. % of Cap.	M. TOTAL Nonvoted + Voted GO	N. % of Cap.
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .00375); Voted GO (K) = (H+I)+J; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. L) = K / (A * .05); Total GO debt (Col. M) = F+K; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. N) = M / (A * .05)</i>														
Adams														
Benge SD 122	\$14,338,818	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lind SD 158	\$172,115,862	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,480,000	\$378,575	\$1,101,425	13%	\$1,101,425	13%
Othello SD 147	\$675,144,450	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,470,000	\$778,584	\$2,691,416	8%	\$2,691,416	8%
Ritzville SD 160	\$231,357,202	\$0	\$0	\$219,426	\$219,426	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,245,000	\$243,189	\$3,001,811	26%	\$3,001,811	26%
Washtucna SD 109	\$31,974,569	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Asotin														
Asotin-Anatone SD 420	\$202,247,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Clarkston SD 250	\$756,523,635	\$114,141	\$0	\$227,819	\$32,316	\$309,644	11%	\$0	\$10,840,000	\$1,537,654	\$9,302,346	25%	\$9,611,990	25%
Benton														
Finley SD 53	\$318,305,342	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,150,000	\$0	\$793,741	\$4,356,259	27%	\$4,356,259	27%
Kennewick SD 17	\$4,561,335,889	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$48,304,298	\$0	\$4,595,302	\$43,708,996	19%	\$43,708,996	19%
Kiona-Benton SD 52	\$335,255,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,535,000	\$952,923	\$8,582,077	51%	\$8,582,077	51%
Paterson SD 50	\$270,102,183	\$0	\$0	\$56,675	\$0	\$56,675	6%	\$0	\$1,650,000	\$85,632	\$1,564,368	12%	\$1,621,044	12%
Prosser SD 116	\$754,409,960	\$0	\$0	\$229,259	\$0	\$229,259	8%	\$0	\$8,410,000	\$2,010,613	\$6,399,387	17%	\$6,628,646	18%
Richland SD 400	\$3,928,783,256	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$92,315,000	\$4,476,094	\$87,838,906	45%	\$87,838,906	45%
Chelan														
Cascade SD 228	\$1,135,166,799	\$1,004,997	\$793,105	\$0	\$0	\$211,892	5%	\$0	\$5,587,000	\$793,105	\$4,793,895	8%	\$5,005,787	9%
Cashmere SD 222	\$418,738,111	\$8,939	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,939	1%	\$0	\$9,337,000	\$29,004	\$9,307,996	44%	\$9,316,935	45%
Entiat SD 127	\$120,279,949	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,775,000	\$188,700	\$1,586,300	26%	\$1,586,300	26%
Lake Chelan SD 129	\$909,760,765	\$0	\$0	\$229,779	\$229,779	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,740,000	\$638,170	\$3,101,830	7%	\$3,101,830	7%
Manson SD 19	\$318,912,930	\$0	\$0	\$140,909	\$140,909	\$0	0%	\$0	\$4,560,000	\$0	\$4,888,939	31%	\$4,888,939	31%
Stehekin SD 69	\$14,718,382	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Wenatchee SD 246	\$2,477,391,294	\$0	\$0	\$32,005	\$32,005	\$0	0%	\$0	\$38,043,000	\$2,431,626	\$35,611,374	29%	\$35,611,374	29%
Clallam														
Cape Flattery SD 401	\$77,721,430	\$390,023	\$0	\$69,683	\$0	\$459,706	158%	\$0	\$195,000	\$195,000	\$0	0%	\$459,706	12%
Crescent SD 313	\$185,730,551	\$0	\$0	\$119,093	\$0	\$119,093	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$119,093	1%
Port Angeles SD 121	\$2,272,022,205	\$0	\$0	\$854,264	\$0	\$854,264	10%	\$0	\$11,605,000	\$1,529,892	\$10,075,108	9%	\$10,929,373	10%
Quillayute Valley SD 402	\$267,014,077	\$0	\$0	\$352,151	\$146,858	\$205,292	21%	\$0	\$6,050,000	\$74,555,856	\$0	0%	\$205,292	2%
Sequim SD 323	\$3,177,147,996	\$0	\$0	\$460,882	\$0	\$460,882	4%	\$0	\$19,145,000	\$1,791,231	\$17,353,769	11%	\$17,814,651	11%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Nonvoted GO Debt	C. Nonvoted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Nonvoted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Capital	J. Voted GO Svc Funds	K. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	L. % of Cap.	M. TOTAL Nonvoted + Voted GO	N. % of Cap.
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Nonvoted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .00375); Voted GO (K) = (H+I)+J; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. L) = K / (A * .05); Total GO debt (Col. M) = F+K; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. N) = M / (A * .05)</i>														
Clark														
Battle Ground SD 119	\$5,102,765,888	\$3,209,131	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,209,131	17%	\$0	\$67,030,000	\$4,165,113	\$62,864,887	25%	\$66,074,018	26%
Camas SD 117	\$3,385,589,262	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	12%	\$0	\$75,375,000	\$3,789,405	\$71,585,595	42%	\$73,085,595	43%
Evergreen SD 114	\$10,025,020,690	\$990,000	\$990,000	\$4,426,665	\$4,426,665	\$0	0%	\$0	\$246,345,000	\$15,681,662	\$230,663,338	46%	\$230,663,338	46%
Green Mountain SD 103	\$91,683,281	\$152,000	\$152,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$470,000	\$116,933	\$353,067	8%	\$353,067	8%
Hockinson SD 98	\$875,669,999	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	3%	\$0	\$17,440,000	\$2,076,009	\$15,363,991	35%	\$15,463,991	35%
La Center SD 101	\$704,817,233	\$581,507	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$581,507	22%	\$0	\$14,925,000	\$991,640	\$13,933,360	40%	\$14,514,867	41%
Ridgefield SD 122	\$1,404,357,411	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$910,000	\$103,583	\$806,417	1%	\$806,417	1%
Vancouver SD 37	\$10,860,457,296	\$0	\$0	\$5,759,051	\$5,759,051	\$0	0%	\$0	\$201,732,216	\$2,542,100	\$199,190,116	37%	\$199,190,116	37%
Washougal SD 112	\$1,394,318,843	\$1,595,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,514,162	\$0	0%	\$0	\$36,385,000	\$2,514,162	\$33,870,838	49%	\$33,870,838	49%
Columbia														
Dayton SD 2	\$237,901,476	\$293,798	\$23,275	\$0	\$0	\$270,523	30%	\$0	\$0	\$1,299	\$0	0%	\$270,523	2%
Starbuck SD 35	\$990,030	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Cowlitz														
Castle Rock SD 401	\$425,062,181	\$700,323	\$88,743	\$0	\$0	\$611,580	38%	\$0	\$4,165,000	\$1,071,100	\$3,093,900	15%	\$3,705,479	17%
Kalama SD 402	\$650,614,451	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$43,000	\$0	\$430,000	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Kelso SD 458	\$1,356,975,524	\$739,077	\$0	\$844,087	\$0	\$1,583,164	31%	\$0	\$29,980,000	\$1,107,329	\$28,872,671	43%	\$30,455,835	45%
Longview SD 122	\$3,346,315,153	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$52,860,000	\$3,862,073	\$48,997,927	29%	\$48,997,927	29%
Toutle Lake SD 130	\$217,692,549	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,685,000	\$192,235	\$1,492,765	14%	\$1,492,765	14%
Woodland SD 404	\$809,382,007	\$0	\$0	\$160,484	\$160,484	\$0	0%	\$0	\$11,165,000	\$2,777,349	\$8,387,651	21%	\$8,387,651	21%
Douglas														
Bridgeport SD 75	\$77,703,856	\$0	\$0	\$43,000	\$12,500	\$30,500	10%	\$0	\$545,000	\$114,786	\$430,214	11%	\$460,714	12%
Eastmont SD 206	\$1,532,880,526	\$0	\$0	\$879,306	\$201,000	\$678,306	12%	\$38,385,000	\$0	\$3,264,185	\$35,120,815	46%	\$35,799,121	47%
Mansfield SD 207	\$41,899,324	\$29,657	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,657	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$29,657	1%
Orondo SD 13	\$192,678,034	\$0	\$0	\$46,252	\$0	\$46,252	6%	\$0	\$245,000	\$76,219	\$168,781	2%	\$215,033	2%
Palisades SD 102	\$27,815,504	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Waterville SD 209	\$114,571,523	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ferry														
Curlew SD 50	\$73,992,622	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$160,000	\$46,178	\$113,822	3%	\$113,822	3%
Inchelium SD 70	\$45,114,680	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Keller SD 3	\$12,551,162	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Orient SD 65	\$22,158,224	\$0	\$0	\$16,051	\$16,051	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Republic SD 309	\$171,252,913	\$0	\$0	\$300,296	\$202,128	\$98,168	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$98,168	1%

5 WAYS TO LEARN MORE ABOUT **PUBLIC DEBT** IN WASHINGTON STATE

■ **PUBLIC DEBT BIMONTHLY UPDATE**

This newsletter provides information on bonds and other types of debt issued by local and state government. Print and electronic editions are available every other month, or six times per year. Back issues are posted on our web page, www.cted.wa.gov/buc.

■ **MONTHLY SPREADSHEET OF DEBT ISSUE DATA**

Available as an Excel 2000 file and distributed as an e-mail attachment *every* month. Now posted on our web page.

■ **ARCHIVES OF DEBT ISSUE INFORMATION**

To receive a copy of an official statement or a "Bond 101" disclosure report form, please fill out a "BUC Information Request Form" on our web site.

■ **THE PUBLIC DEBT REPORT: AN ANALYSIS OF BOND AND OTHER DEBT ISSUES BY LOCAL AND STATE GOVT.**

Our annual wrap-up of debt-issue activity by local and state government is offered in electronic and print editions each year.

■ **THE GO REPORT: AN ANALYSIS OF OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION MUNICIPAL DEBT**

The results of our annual survey of local government debt capacity are offered in electronic and print editions each year.

ALL OF THESE SERVICES ARE FREE. TO GET ON A DISTRIBUTION LIST, FILL OUT THE SUBSCRIPTION FORM ON OUR WEB PAGE.

CTED Bond Users Clearinghouse