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I. INTRODUCTION

Plan Approval

The state of Washington has developed a new four-year State Implementation Plan and the format follows that of the STOP Implementation Plan Checklist.

On October 13, 2016, the Washington State Office of Crime Victims Advocacy (OCVA) assembled the Violence Against Women Coordinating Committee, which serves as our planning team, with representatives from many different areas including victim services, statewide coalitions, prosecution, law enforcement, courts, Tribes, faith community, culturally specific and underserved populations. This committee meets to inform OCVA in matters related to violence against youth and adults and the priorities for spending these federal funds. From this meeting, a multi-year plan was developed.

In accordance with the overarching goal of the STOP Grant to support a coordinated, multidisciplinary approach to enhancing advocacy and improving the criminal justice system’s response to violent crimes against youth and adults, for the next four-year implementation plan covering federal awards 2017 through 2020, the state of Washington’s priority will continue to be focused on directing resources to the local community level, where most victim services and criminal justice activities are planned and carried out including providing funding for culturally specific services and underserved communities. Washington State will disburse its funding on a year-to-year basis in a manner that is reflective of the needs and gaps in local communities. This Plan will provide flexibility across all function areas in addressing service needs. The Court allocation will continue to be managed by the Administrative Office of the Court’s Gender and Justice Commission.

The Washington State Implementation Plan was approved by the state on April 6, 2017.
Time Period Covered by Plan

The Implementation Plan will cover four distinct grant periods on a calendar year beginning January 1, 2018 and ending December 31, 2021.

II. DESCRIPTION OF PLANNING PROCESS

Description of Planning Process

Washington State’s Violence Against Women Coordinating Committee serves as a multidisciplinary planning team. Members include representatives from non-profit, non-governmental domestic violence and sexual assault agencies, prosecutors, courts, Tribes, faith community, law enforcement, agencies established to provide services by and for marginalized populations, the statewide domestic violence coalition, sexual assault coalition, Tribal coalition, crime victim advocates coalition, statewide associations of prosecuting attorneys and sheriff and police chiefs, hospital based SANE program, and staff from the state departments of Health, Corrections, and Social & Health Services.

[Attachment A: Violence Against Women Coordinating Committee]

The planning team includes representation from 17 community-based victim services organizations to ensure meaningful participation and input into the plan. Approximately 48% of the team members are directors and program managers from non-profit non-governmental domestic violence and/or sexual assault agencies.

Representatives from thirteen community-based domestic violence and sexual assault organizations along with staff from the four statewide coalitions contributed significantly to guiding the development of Washington State’s four-year Implementation Plan. Additionally, seven of the community-based agencies represented rural areas of the state.
Members from many diverse communities are part of the planning team and provide a great deal of input into the process. The State’s Implementation Plan reflects priorities in services to diverse and underserved communities. The committee continues to seek membership from both underserved and culturally specific communities. The planning team has representatives from communities of color and Tribes.

In preparation of creating a new four-year plan, a Tribal gathering was convened prior to the statewide planning meeting to help inform the planning process. The recommendations from the Tribal gathering were presented at the statewide planning meeting. The statewide planning meeting was facilitated to allow for open and respectful dialogue across disciplines and decisions were reached by consensus. Additionally, a statewide survey was distributed for input regarding the funding distribution process. Results from the survey were discussed at the statewide planning meeting. [Attachment B: Survey Results]

Much of the planning meeting discussion centered on the funding allocation and priorities. [Attachment C: Planning Meeting Framework] A portion of the meeting included a presentation related to Tribal gathering recommendations. The recommendations from the Tribal gathering supplemented information presented by Tribal representatives that identified both the challenges and barriers Native American victims must overcome to secure assistance. Committee members were encouraged to consider Tribal sovereignty when addressing the needs of Tribal communities. The final phase of the planning process includes:

- Distribution of a summary of input provided at meeting for committee review and comment;
Draft Implementation Plan distributed by email for review and comment to all Tribes and the statewide planning members;

2nd Draft Implementation Plan distributed (if needed) by email for review and comment; and

Final Implementation Plan distributed by email along with the Documentation of Collaboration form to all Tribes and statewide planning members.

Documentation from Planning Members

Included as an attachment to the Plan are the signed Documentation of Collaboration forms from the planning team members and a summary of the concerns raised during the planning process. [Attachment D: Documentation of Collaboration]

Collaboration Efforts with Victim Service Providers not included in the Planning Committee

While the planning committee includes a wide spectrum of victim service providers supporting services to survivors of sexual assault and domestic violence, we broadened our efforts and distributed the draft plan to 42 additional organizations for input and feedback. [Attachment E: Plan Collaboration with Victim Service Providers Not on the Planning Committee]. These organizations included domestic violence and sexual assault service providers that are population specific agencies as well as providers serving underserved and culturally specific communities. It was determined that the most efficient and effective way of gathering such feedback would be to circulate the draft plan by email to domestic violence and sexual assault organizations in every region of the state. The decision to seek input into the plan from as many victim service organizations as possible demonstrates the importance of collaboration fully. This wide of a distribution
encompassed various demographics including communities that historically experience barriers that limit access to services. Absent any additional comments or concerns related to the draft plan, the plan as developed by the coordinating committee moved forward.

**Collaboration Efforts with Tribal Governments**

As previously stated above, a Tribal gathering was held at the Little Creek Casino Resort, Squaxin Island Tribe, prior to the statewide planning meeting. All of Washington State’s 29 federally recognized Tribes were invited. The 27 participants represented 19 of the 29 federally recognized tribes. The meeting was facilitated in a manner that yielded valuable information related to tribal needs and concerns regarding the health and safety of Native American victims.

Participants identified priorities that resulted in recommendations presented to the statewide planning committee. A key recommendation from the Tribal gathering included a request for a Tribal set-aside within the STOP Grant allocation. A tribal set-aside would strengthen the services and capacity of Tribal programs and organizations. The development of a Tribal set-aside fund within the STOP Grant Program is important in that it will provide much needed access to funding resources while also acknowledging Tribal sovereignty and the Government-to-Government relationship. [Attachment F: Tribal Gathering Materials]
Coordination of Plan with FVPSA, VOCA and RPE State Plans

Coordination with Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA) Plan – The state administrator for the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act is a member of our planning team and participated in the development of Washington State’s Implementation Plan. Our distribution for the STOP Formula Grant aligns with the priority of Washington’s FVPSA funding to provide consistent and stable resources statewide using a formula based method to support emergency domestic violence shelters. The STOP funding will complement advocacy services at most of the domestic violence shelter programs across the state.

Coordination with Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) and Rape Prevention Education (RPE) – In addition to administering the STOP Formula Grant, the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy is also responsible for managing the victim assistance portion of VOCA and through an interagency agreement with the Washington State Department of Health, provides management of the RPE funding. Our STOP Implementation Plan aligns with the VOCA Plan by sharing a philosophy of ensuring quality services are provided to victims in every county. The base allocation for VOCA is also distributed through a non-competitive formula-based method. STOP Grant funding will complement services supported with the VOCA Victim Assistance allocation. While our RPE funding has shifted focus from statewide non-competitive distribution, the emphasis is now on specific prevention strategies in underserved, rural, urban, and non-urban regions of the state. RPE is distributed competitively with at least 44% of the allocation supporting culturally specific agencies.
III. NEEDS AND CONTEXT

State Demographic Information

As the official partner of the U. S. Census Bureau for Washington state, the Population Unit in the Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) helps disseminate information about the characteristics of Washington’s population, housing, and economy and provides guidance to a variety of stakeholders in accessing and using demographic information. The Washington State Office of Financial Management provides the data to the U. S. Census Bureau. Unless otherwise noted, data in this Plan is compiled from OFM. According to the Washington State Office of Financial Management, Washington State’s estimated population as of April 1, 2016 was 7,061,410. Washington State is the 13th most populous state in the country.

The ten most populated cities in our state are reflected in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>686,800</td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>124,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>214,500</td>
<td>Everett</td>
<td>108,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacoma</td>
<td>206,100</td>
<td>Renton</td>
<td>101,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>173,500</td>
<td>Spokane Valley</td>
<td>94,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue</td>
<td>139,400</td>
<td>Yakima</td>
<td>93,410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The map below shows seven of the ten most populous cities are located on or near the Interstate-5 corridor in Western Washington. The four cities in King County account for more than half the total population in the top ten cities.
Twenty-nine of Washington’s 39 counties have a population density of less than 100 persons per square mile. Barriers to services are greater in rural areas due to reduced, or lack of, transportation; shortage of safe, affordable housing; limited language translation services; and not enough staffing resources. The chart below shows several rural counties have a total population of less than 10,000.

**Chart 2: Rural Areas**

*Source: Washington State Office of Financial Management*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Garfield</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skamania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wahkiakum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pend Oreille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>San Juan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approximately 75% of Washington State’s counties are rural and continue to face unique challenges.

![Chart 3: Rural Counties](image)

Of the 39 counties in WA State, 74.4% are rural (less than 100 persons per square mile)

### Methods Used for Demographic Information

The Washington State Office of Financial Management compiles data using the Small Area Demographic Estimates (SADE). The SADE estimates include both intercensal (2000–2009) and postcensal (2011–2015) estimates. The SADE model uses an iterative proportional fitting procedure to produce estimates of age, sex, race and ethnicity by small geographic area and year. SADE estimates comply with the U.S. Office of Management and Budget 1997 revised standards for the collection, tabulation and presentation of federal data on race and ethnicity.

According to the Washington State Office of Financial Management, approximately half of the State’s population is female and 72.8% of the population is age 15 or older. Washington State’s population includes:

- American Indian and Alaskan Native (1.3%)
- Asian (7.8%)
Black or African American (3.6%)
Hispanic or Latino origin (12.5%)
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (0.6%)
Two or more races (4.1%)
White (70.1%)

In August 2016, the U.S. Department of State Refugee Processing Center reported that since 2010, Washington State has brought in 16,504 refugees from 46 countries, ranking it 10th of all states in the number of refugees. In January 2015, the American Immigration Council reported Washington is home to 943,664 immigrants and 13.5% of Washingtonians are foreign-born. The City of Seattle, Office of Immigrant and Refugee Affairs, has reported between 2000 and 2014, Seattle's immigrant population grew 20% compared to 14% for the overall population; 18% of Seattle residents are foreign-born, and in King County, 20% of residents are foreign-born. In the map below, four of the ten cities are in King County.

**Chart 4: Refugees in Washington State**

Source: U.S. Dept. of State, Refugee Processing Center, 1/1/10 – 8/4/16; KUOW Graphic/Kara McDermott
Washington State’s Crime Statistics:

The 2015 Crime in Washington Annual Report is prepared by the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs. In this report, the collection of domestic violence-related person and property offenses is mandated by State of Washington statute, RCW 10.99.030. Domestic Violence is defined by the relationship of the victim to the offender or by shared living space. These numbers are derived from monthly domestic violence forms or National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) submissions by Washington State law enforcement agencies.

**Chart 5: 2015 Domestic Violence Comparison**

*Source: WA Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs; 2015 Crime In Washington Annual Report*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Actual Offenses Reported</th>
<th>Domestic Violence Offenses</th>
<th>Percent of Domestic Violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murder</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manslaughter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodomy*</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault w/Object*</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondling*</td>
<td>2,347</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>11,963</td>
<td>4311</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Assault</td>
<td>54,758</td>
<td>30,496</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimidation*</td>
<td>7,527</td>
<td>1,914</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping*</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incest*</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Rape*</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Trafficking*</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viol No Contact/Protect Order*</td>
<td>13,003</td>
<td>9,883</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>5,405</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>50,646</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny</td>
<td>174,871</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>27,485</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>353,129</strong></td>
<td><strong>51,582</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.6%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All DV-related offenses except those annotated with an asterisk were submitted by agencies via both NIBRS and Summary Reporting. The annotated offenses include NIBRS submission only.*
IV. PLAN PRIORITIES AND APPROACHES

Identified Goal

Washington State has an overarching STOP Grant goal of *increasing the safety of youth and adults by supporting communities in developing comprehensive and collaborative strategies to address domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking; and to prioritize the needs and safety of victims while holding offenders accountable for their crimes.* This goal will be supported by the following funding strategies:

- fund community based culturally specific agencies and Tribes to provide services primarily directed toward racial and ethnic minority communities;
- fund community driven initiatives that are responsive in addressing the needs of underserved populations;
- fund law enforcement and prosecutorial agencies to actively engage in a coordinated response to violence against youth and adult victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence or stalking;
- fund quarterly Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries;
- fund judicial training and the development of appropriate policies and protocols that hold offenders accountable while ensuring sensitivity to victim needs;
- fund services that assist and provide support to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking;
- fund the planning and implementation of activities that meaningfully address sexual assault; and
fund local community activities aimed at reducing domestic violence related homicides.

**Strategy for Reducing Domestic Violence-related Homicides**

This Implementation Plan includes the goal of reducing domestic violence-related homicides by encouraging local Coordinated Community Response (CCR) teams to identify activities to be addressed at the local level that will focus on the risk, or reducing the risk of, domestic violence-related homicides. This goal will be supported using the following strategies:

- utilize lethality risk assessment as a screening tool within law enforcement and criminal/family court systems;
- identify and address language barriers experienced by victims when accessing community and system-based services;
- establish procedures and protocols regarding firearm seizure and storage;
- support efforts to reduce or eliminate firearm accessibility for domestic violence offenders; and
- partner with faith and medical communities in providing resources for victims/survivors.

The following two charts are comprised from the *2015 Crime in Washington Annual Report* that is prepared by the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs. OCVA staff, when attending CCR team meetings, will discuss the gaps and solutions to reduce the risk of domestic violence-related homicides.
The chart below indicates the weapons used in domestic violence-related homicides in our state. Personal weapons are defined as "hands, fists, feet etc." Firearms were used more than all the other weapons combined.

**Priority Areas**

Based on the recommendations from the State’s planning team, it was determined that continuing with a formula-based distribution, along with some new efforts, supports the priority and commitment that victims have access to services in every community while
also fostering a coordinated response. The following priorities will support victim services and enhance the criminal justice system’s response to youth and adult victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking and dating violence in Washington State:

1) A non-competitive formula-based application process to local communities will continue by using a large portion of the allocations for law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services function areas with an emphasis on reducing domestic violence-related homicides, where appropriate;

2) At least 10% of the funds for victim services will be distributed to culturally specific community-based and Tribal programs;

3) Through an interagency agreement with the Administrative Office of the Courts, Gender and Justice Commission, the 5% Court allocation will fund projects selected by the Commission and could include a competitive process as well as special court improvement projects;

4) Allocate at least 20% of the award to support planning and implementation of activities that meaningfully address sexual assault;

5) A portion of discretionary funds will support regional Tribal gatherings; and

6) Unspent allocations will be directed to support Tribal criminal justice efforts as well as special projects as prioritized by the planning team.

“Crystal Judson” Purpose Area

Washington State’s Implementation Plan will not address the “Crystal Judson” purpose area. Washington State has passed legislation specific to law enforcement involved domestic violence and already requires departments to have training, policies and procedures in place.
General Description of Projects

Victim Services, Law Enforcement, Prosecution, Courts and Discretionary:

Washington State continues to grant with more than 90 agencies using STOP Grant funds. [Attachment G: STOP Grant Agencies] The following provides a brief description of projects funded with the STOP Grant:

- Law enforcement projects that focus on providing continuing education for officers including how to enforce applicable laws for victim safety and offender accountability, evidence collection, and procedures to promote victim safety.

- Prosecutorial projects that focus on providing special investigators and victim assistance staff. Projects that expand hours of current staff and enhance support to victims on cases involving youth and adults. Other projects that provide multidisciplinary training to city, county, and Tribal prosecutors.

- Victim service organizations that continue to provide direct services to youth and adult victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking and dating violence. Direct services that include therapy and/or support groups, legal advocacy, assistance with immigration issues, information and referral, crisis intervention, support for shelter coordinators and advocates. Additionally, funds will support advocacy services provided by culturally specific agencies and Tribes.

- Court funding that supports statewide projects or initiatives, as determined by the Washington State Gender and Justice Commission that will improve the judicial response to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking. Projects could include training of judicial personnel on utilizing lethality risk assessment
tools during protection order hearings and updating of court procedures related to victim safety.

- Discretionary allocation that continues to support 5% of the law enforcement and 5% of the prosecution activities listed above. Washington State uses 10% of discretionary funds to supplement the formula allocation to law enforcement and prosecutors, bringing them each to 30%. A portion of the discretionary allocation will support Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries.

**Chart 8: Distribution of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim Services</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- culturally specific non-profit, non-governmental and Tribal organizations</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- victim service organizations</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>30%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecution</td>
<td>30%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please Note: Each year, the allocations will fulfill the statutory requirements.

*Through an application process, 20% of the STOP Grant award each year, will support planning and implementation of activities in two or more allocations that meaningfully address sexual assault.

A non-competitive formula-based application process to local communities will continue for the law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services function areas. Through an interagency agreement with the Washington State Administrative Office of the Courts, funding will be distributed by the Washington State Gender and Justice Commission, to support projects that may be selected through a competitive process as well as statewide initiatives.
Unspent funds will be combined with a portion of discretionary funds to create a set aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts. Unspent funds may also be directed to special projects as prioritized by the planning team, which could include culturally specific services, legal services for immigrant victims, assisting Tribal governments, and training to faith communities.

**Documentation of Need**

Included as an attachment to the Plan are the letters documenting need from prosecution, law enforcement, courts, and victim service providers, including culturally specific agencies. [Attachment H: Letters Documenting Need]

**Progress toward Sexual Assault Set-aside**

Through a competitive application process, we will continue to allocate at least 20% of the State’s STOP Grant award to support projects in at least two allocations that meaningfully address sexual assault. Review of competitive proposals and selection of sub-grant projects will include a sub-group of planning team members.

**Grant-Making Strategy**

**Addressing the Needs of Victims and Holding Offenders Accountable**

Washington State’s grant-making process was determined based on the feedback received from the Violence Against Women Coordinating Committee whose members include law enforcement, prosecution, victim services, and courts. There was support from committee members to continue the original formula structure along with some new efforts. Continuing with this structure maintains support for services in all counties that assist victims and survivors of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking and dating violence. Maintaining this level of support at the local level also ensures the criminal justice system
work in coordination with community partners to hold the offender accountable by enforcing state laws designed to improve safety of communities.

**Areas of Varying Geographic Size and Distribution**

In keeping with the State’s support of services to all counties, communities will not be required to compete against each other for the larger portion of the victim service, law enforcement and prosecution pass-through funds, but will be guaranteed access to a known number of dollars based on a distribution formula. The base funding for each county will continue to be $45,000 and add-ons are done by the following formula: population + square miles. Each county will be eligible to receive the base amount, which will be equal for all counties.

The base amount is established so that every county, regardless of size or population, will be able to create or enhance activities that will have a discernible impact in their communities. This formula-based funding ensures that a diverse group of urban, non-urban, and rural communities all benefit from the resources provided through the STOP Grant.

As the state’s STOP allocation increases, an additional amount will be added to the formula based upon the percentage of the State’s total population residing within that county’s borders and the percentage of the State’s total land area within that county’s borders. Without an increase in the state’s STOP allocation, we maintain current level utilizing unspent amounts that include adjustments that reduce an agency’s allocation based on historic under spending.

In Washington State, the STOP Grant promotes collaborative relationships among key players in an effort to support comprehensive strategies that are sensitive to the immediate and long-term needs and safety of victims/survivors of sexual assault, domestic violence,
stalking and dating violence. Planning team members continue to be concerned that these relationships might be impaired if an equal split in the allocations is not maintained. Therefore, Washington State uses 10% of discretionary funds to supplement the formula allocation to law enforcement and prosecutors, bringing them each to 30%.

**Not Using Pass-through Administration for Sub-grants**

The formula distribution increases the number of grants awarded and the amount of monitoring required. As such, all of the pass-thru administration is needed to support grant management and therefore will not be used for sub-grants.

**Timeline and Funding Period for Sub-grants**

The STOP sub-grants to victim services, law enforcement, prosecutors, and courts will be funded on a single-year basis, with a grant period of January 1 through December 31.

**Consultation with Victim Services**

In order to ensure that proposed activities are designed to promote the safety, confidentiality, and economic independence of victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence, a requirement of applications for funding will continue to include a form that documents the consultation of criminal justice partners with community-based victim service providers. This form documents the review and approval by victim services of all proposed activities.

Please note that the manner in which Washington State distributes the STOP Grant funding would make it almost impossible, as well as cumbersome on sub-grantees to require a letter or other documentation from each organization prior to the release of the application for funding. [Attachment I: Consultation with Victim Services Form]
Eligible Entities Aware of Funding Opportunities

Approximately 90% of the funds will be allocated to local communities to implement plans they develop to strengthen their community’s response to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking. These local plans must identify activities to reduce, or reduce the risk of, domestic violence related homicides. Every other funding cycle requires local plans to be developed through a collaborative process that at a minimum includes representatives from law enforcement, prosecution and victim services but also encourages participation from courts, Tribes, culturally specific communities, underserved populations, medical, and faith communities as well as other social services organizations. During alternate funding cycles, communities are also required to post notice of the planning meeting to the public so that interested persons or organizations may provide input into how the county utilizes its allocation. This is the point at which other eligible entities are aware of funding opportunities, particularly underserved populations.

Information on Projects

Many of the Washington State victim service providers receiving STOP Grant funds also receive Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funding. In recognition of the influx of VOCA funding to direct service providers, the planning team suggested a yearly review of the grant-making strategies in an effort to leverage STOP Grant funding to support underserved, culturally specific, and Tribal communities. As such, this Implementation Plan will reflect a four-year grant-making plan that takes into consideration the formula distribution to sustain services, and increase efforts to support culturally specific organizations, Tribal governments, and underserved communities.
Year One - Grant Period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018

- Continue formula-based distribution for law enforcement, prosecutors and victim services;
- Continue to support by and for organizations with 10% culturally specific set aside distributed through a streamlined application process;
- Use a portion of FFY 17 discretionary funds, combined with unspent allocations from FFY 15 and FFY 16 awards, to create a set-aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts;
- Use a portion of discretionary allocation to support regional Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries (Quarterly);
- Continue to support projects that meaningfully respond to victims of sexual assault from at least two allocations through a competitive application process. Review of competitive proposals and selection of sub-grant projects will include a sub-group of planning team members;
- Continue distribution of court allocation to Administrative Office of the Courts with a required designation of at least 30% allocated to support Tribal court judges and/or personnel; and
- Continue to address the reduction in domestic violence-related homicides at the CCR level by supporting implementation of activities such as lethality risk assessments; improving language access; increasing capacity in culturally specific services; improving access to the justice system in marginalized communities; and implementing procedures that comply with firearm seizure laws.
Year Two - Grant Period January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019

- Continue formula-based distribution for law enforcement, prosecutors and victim services unless:
  - Survey of victim service providers indicates adequate funding from VOCA increase and victim service allocation would be more effective if targeted projects such as increasing support to culturally specific service organizations were considered instead of the formula distribution; OR
  - Survey of law enforcement indicates a better use of allocation would be to support statewide or regional training or Tribal law enforcement efforts [prosecutor allocation would remain at current level and awarded as continuation/renewal funding]

- Continue to support by and for organizations with 10% culturally specific set aside distributed through a streamlined application process;

- Use a portion of FFY 18 discretionary funds, combined with unspent allocations from FFY 16 and FFY 17 awards, to create a set-aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts;

- Use portion of discretionary allocation to support regional Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries (Quarterly);

- Continue to support projects that meaningfully respond to victims of sexual assault through the renewal of projects funded in year one;
- Continue distribution of court allocation to Administrative Office of the Courts with a required designation of at least 40% allocated to support Tribal court judges and/or personnel; and

- Continue to address the reduction in domestic violence-related homicides at the CCR level by supporting implementation of activities such as lethality risk assessments; improving language access; increasing capacity in culturally specific services; improving access to the justice system in marginalized communities; and implementing procedures that comply with firearm seizure laws.

**Year Three - Grant Period January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020**

- Continue formula-based distribution unless a change is approved in year two of Plan and successful implementation is completed in support of:
  - renewal of targeted projects such as increasing support to culturally specific service organizations; OR
  - continuation of statewide or regional law enforcement training and/or Tribal law enforcement efforts [prosecutor allocation would remain at current level and awarded as continuation/renewal funding];

- Continue to support by and for organizations with 10% culturally specific set aside distributed through a streamlined application process;

- Use a portion of FFY 19 discretionary funds, combined with unspent allocations from FFY 17 and FFY 18 awards, to create a set aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts;
Use portion of discretionary allocation to support regional Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries (Quarterly);

Continue to support projects that meaningfully respond to victims of sexual assault through the renewal of projects funded in year one;

Continue distribution of court allocation to Administrative Office of the Courts with a required designation of at least 50% allocated to support Tribal court judges and/or personnel; and

Continue to address the reduction in domestic violence-related homicides at the CCR level by supporting implementation of activities such as lethality risk assessments; improving language access; increasing capacity in culturally specific services; improving access to the justice system in marginalized communities; and implementing procedures that comply with firearm seizure laws.

**Year Four - Grant Period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021**

Continue formula-based distribution unless a change is approved in year two of plan and successful implementation completed in year three in support of:

- renewal of targeted projects such as increasing support to culturally specific service organizations; OR
- continuation of statewide or regional law enforcement training and/or Tribal law enforcement efforts [prosecutor allocation would remain at current level and awarded as continuation/renewal funding];

Continue to support by and for organizations with 10% culturally specific set aside distributed through a streamlined application process;
- Use a portion of FFY 20 discretionary funds, combined with unspent allocations from FFY 18 and FFY 19 awards, to create a set aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts;
- Use portion of discretionary allocation to support regional Tribal gatherings to facilitate improved coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries (Quarterly);
- Continue to support projects that meaningfully respond to victims of sexual assault through the renewal of projects funded in year one;
- Continue distribution of court allocation to Administrative Office of the Courts with a required designation of at least 50% allocated to support Tribal court judges and/or personnel; and
- Continue to address the reduction in domestic violence-related homicides at the CCR level by supporting implementation of activities such as lethality risk assessments; improving language access; increasing capacity in culturally specific services; improving access to the justice system in marginalized communities; and implementing procedures that comply with firearm seizure laws.

**Addressing the Needs of Underserved Victims**

Approximately 75% of Washington State’s counties are rural and continue to face unique challenges. While limited services exist statewide, rural areas are most affected with fewer resources than urban parts of the state. Barriers to services are greater in rural areas due to reduced, or lack of, transportation; shortage of safe, affordable housing; limited language translation services; not enough staffing resources; and the availability of local, culturally specific services. There are fewer medical facilities and SANE services and
response times are longer for law enforcement in rural areas. Victims can be isolated and unable to discreetly access services. Washington State’s formula distribution ensures a base amount for each county to provide stable funding for staff, criminal justice training, transportation costs, and other locally identified needs such as services for immigrant victims and law enforcement well checks.

**Culturally Specific Organizations**

Washington State’s Plan includes at least 10% of the victim service funding allocation for community-based culturally specific agencies and Tribes. Outreach to community-based culturally specific organizations and Tribes is ongoing. We collaborate with the Washington State Native American Coalition Against Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault to encourage tribal service providers to apply for funding through the STOP Grant process. We also attend the tribal coalition’s meetings and trainings as appropriate to network and build partnerships with tribal victim services. Sub-grantees may include: API Chaya, Consejo Counseling and Referral Services, Korean Women’s Association, Lummi Nation, Refugee Women’s Alliance, and Tulalip Tribes. These funds will be distributed equally through a streamlined application process to culturally specific victim service providers meeting the following criteria:

**Applicants/Recipients must:**

- be a culturally specific victim service agency or be a federally recognized Tribe with an existing Domestic Violence Code;
- maintain a program whose primary focus includes providing emergency services, advocacy, or counseling for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, or dating violence;
ensure that crisis intervention is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week;

provide **direct** advocacy services for their clients;

understand that while some services may be delivered over the telephone, it is an expectation that during regular hours of operation in-person services are available; and

use these funds to employ an advocate whose **primary** focus includes culturally relevant and linguistically specific services for youth and adult victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking or dating violence.

**Monitoring and Evaluation**

Success of project activities will be evaluated as follows:

- **Victim services:** increased services available through community driven initiatives that are culturally responsive to victims; increased services provided to victims of sexual assault, stalking, domestic violence, and dating violence; and enhanced collaboration between mainstream organizations and culturally specific agencies. Success will be measured using annual report forms and through monitoring of participation in quarterly CCR team meetings.

- **Law enforcement:** calls for assistance in cases of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking, dating violence and violations of protection orders compared to number of investigations of these calls and the number of cases referred to the prosecutor; increased number of officers receiving specialized training on topics such as services to victims of sexual assault, stalking issues, and evidence collection. Success will be measured using annual report forms and through monitoring of participation in quarterly CCR team meetings.
- Prosecution: tracking of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking, dating violence and protection order violation cases received compared to number of charges filed for these cases; comparison of how many of these cases were dismissed, convicted or acquitted; an increased number of prosecutors receiving specialized training, such as, sexual assault or stalking; and an increase in the number of services or number of clients served by victim/witness coordinators. Success will be measured using annual report forms and through monitoring of participation in quarterly CCR team meetings.

- Courts: increased education and training around the issues of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking to all courts. The Gender and Justice Commission administers the court funds and success will be measured using annual report forms.

Program staff will monitor the progress of projects through an on-site visits and data progress reports. OCVA’s grant monitoring consists of reviewing back-up documentation for all goods and services purchased with STOP Grant funds. [Attachment J: Monitoring Documents]

As in prior years, counties must have a coordinated community response team and those receiving funds are required to participate in the team’s meetings. All teams are required to meet at least quarterly. OCVA will continue to offer and provide technical support to the teams and monitor their work. In addition, OCVA encourages teams to identify unmet needs in their county and involve Tribes and agencies serving victims from marginalized and underserved communities to participate.
V. **CONCLUSION**

Using the strategies listed earlier, an application will be developed and distributed to each community with a requirement that all local plans continue to promote the goal of victim safety. In summary:

- Approximately 90% of the pass-thru funding will continue on a non-competitive formula based application. Funds will be allocated in an equal percentage to law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services.

- Twenty percent of the state’s award will support projects in at least two allocations that meaningfully address sexual assault.

- Court projects will be administered by the Administrative Office of the Courts to enhance the role of judicial and other court personnel in securing victim safety while holding offenders accountable through judicial education and court related projects.

- Funding will support projects enhancing advocacy services provided by culturally specific agencies and Tribes.

- Funding will also support Tribal gatherings to enhance coordination both within Tribal communities as well as outside Tribal boundaries.

- Unspent funding will support Tribal criminal justice efforts. Unspent funds may also be available for special projects as prioritized by planning team members.

It continues to be our belief that when there is increased collaboration between law enforcement, prosecution, judiciary, and victim services, the criminal justice system works more effectively for women which in turn increases the safety of women in every
community. *Regardless of the project, all activities will be required to support the goal of victim safety.*
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Phone: (360) 754-7583 Ext. 111
Fax: (360) 786-8707
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Phone: (360) 538-4411
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Refugee Women’s Alliance
PO Box 28112
Seattle, WA 98108
Phone: (206) 721-3846 Ext. 23
Fax: (206) 721-3967
Email: carlin@rewa.org
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Turning Pointe Domestic Violence Services
PO Box 2014
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Phone: (360) 426-1216
Fax: (360) 426-2922
Email: ccathcart@qwestoffice.net
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Phone: (360) 456-3858
Fax: (360) 584-9582
Email: cody@wccva.org
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PO Box 190
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-0190
Phone: (360) 675-7057
Fax: (360) 675-7168
Email: Director@cadacanhelp.org

Cynthia Delostrinos
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504-1170
Phone: (360) 705-5327
Fax: (360) 956-5700
Email: Cynthia.Delostrinos@courts.wa.gov
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Cowlitz Indian Tribe
PO Box 5158
Vancouver, WA 98668
Phone: (360) 397-8228
Fax: (360)
Email: dhattler@cowlitz.org
Dee Koester
WomenSpirit Coalition
Post Office Box 3937
Sequim WA 98382
Phone: (360) 683-2254
Fax: (360) 683-2200
Email: dkoester@womenspirit.net

Dolly Hunt
Pend Oreille County Prosecutor’s Office
Post Office Box 5070
Newport, WA 99156-5070
Phone: (509) 447-4414
Fax: (509) 447-0235
Email: DHunt@pendoreille.org
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Jefferson County District Court
Post Office Box 1220
Port Townsend, WA 98368
Phone: (360) 385-9135
Fax: (360) 385-9367
Email: jlandes@co.jefferson.wa.us

Jorge Baron
Northwest Immigrant Rights Project
615 Second Avenue, Suite 400
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: (206) 957-8609
Fax: (206) 587-4009
Email: jorge@nwirp.org

Laurie Lewis
YWCA of Lewiston/Clarkston
300 Main Street
Lewiston, ID 83501-1820
Phone: (208) 743-1535
Fax: (208) 746-1510
Email: ljlewis@lewiston.com

Lydia Guy-Ortiz
Department of Health
PO Box 47841
Olympia WA 98504-7841
Phone: (360) 236-3480
Fax: (360) 664-2216
Email: lydia.guy-ortiz@dohealth.wa.gov

Lynne Kuchenbuch
Family Resource Center of Lincoln County
PO Box 1130
Davenport, WA 99122-1130
Phone: (509) 725-4358
Fax: (509) 725-4360
Email: lynne@frcoflincolncounty.org

Mario Paredes
Consejo Counseling & Referral Services
3808 S Angeline Street
Seattle, WA 98118
Phone: (206) 461-4880
Fax: (206) 461-6989
Email: marioparedes@consejocounseling.org

Martin Bohl
Department of Social and Health Services
821 Kaiser Road NW, Apt. 3D
Olympia, WA 98502
Home Phone: (360) 866-3796
Work Phone: (360) 725-4656
Email: mtncbohl@msn.com

Mike Davis
Vancouver Police Department
605 East Evergreen
Vancouver, WA 98661
Phone: (360) 487-8535
Fax: (360) 487-8535
Email: mike.davis@ci.vancouver.wa.us

Mitch Barker
WA Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs
3060 Willamette Drive NE #200
Lacey, WA 98516
Phone: (360) 486-2380
Fax: (360) 486-2381
Email: mbarker@waspc.org

Mi-Yeoung Lee
Korean Women’s Association
123 E 96th Street
Tacoma, WA 98445
Phone: (253) 535-4202
Fax: (253) 535-4827
Email: MLee@kwacares.org
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Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence  
500 Union Street  
Seattle, WA 98101-2118  
Phone: (206) 389-2515 Ext. 208  
Fax: (206) 389-2520  
Email: nan@wscadv.org

Nikki Finkbonner  
Lummi Victims of Crime Program  
2616 Kwina Road  
Bellingham, WA 98226-9291  
Phone: (360) 384-2285  
Fax: (360) 312-9204  
Email: nikkif@lummi-nsn.gov

Pam Loginsky  
Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys  
206 10th Avenue SE  
Olympia, WA 98501-1311  
Phone: (360) 753-2175 Ext. 120  
Fax: (360) 753-3943  
Email: pamloginsky@waprosecutors.org

Sherri Bennett  
YWCA of Clark County  
3609 Main Street  
Vancouver, WA 98663-2225  
Phone: (360) 696-0167  
Fax: (360) 693-1864  
Email: sbennett@ywcaclarkcounty.org

Steve Eckstrom  
Department of Corrections  
PO Box 41119  
Olympia, WA 98504-1119  
Phone: (360) 725-8678  
Fax: (360) 586.9055  
Email: seckstrom@doc1.wa.gov

Susan Hannibal  
Department of Social & Health Services (DSHS)  
4045 Delridge Way SW  
Seattle, WA 98106-1248  
Phone: (206) 923-4910 or (360) 902-8493  
Fax: (206) 923-4899  
Email: hsus300@dshs.wa.gov

Tamara Fulwyler  
South Puget Intertribal Planning Agency  
3104 SE Old Olympia Hwy  
Shelton, WA 98584  
Phone: (360) 426-3990 (Work)  
Phone: (360) 490-9083 (home/cell)  
Email: tfulwyler@spipa.org

Tom Tremaine  
Spokane Tribal Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 96  
Usk, WA 99180-0096  
Phone: 509-499-9332  
Email: tomtspokane@gmail.com
Attachment B – Survey Results

When the STOP Grant survey (results listed below) was distributed, the impact from the WA State Victims of Crime Act funding had not been realized. Therefore, at the planning meeting, committee members suggested a yearly review of the grant-making strategies in an effort to leverage STOP Grant funding to support underserved, culturally specific, and Tribal communities.

1. Should the state of Washington continue formula grant or other options for victim services, prosecution, and law enforcement allocations?

### Victim Services Allocation

- **Continue Formula Grants**: 83.5%
- **Competitive Grants**: 3.8%
- **Tribal Set-Aside**: 3.8%
- **Culturally Specific Set-Aside**: 1.3%
- **Combination**: 7.6%

### Prosecution Allocation

- **Continue Formula Grants**: 88.2%
- **Competitive Grants**: 5.3%
- **Tribal Set-Aside**: 3.9%
- **Culturally Specific Set-Aside**: 2.6%
- **Combination**:
2. Should the state of Washington continue to supplement Prosecution and Law Enforcement allocations with the STOP Grant Discretionary dollars?

Law Enforcement Allocation

- **Continue Formula Grants**: 88.9%
- **Competitive Grants**: 4.9%
- **Tribal Set-Aside**: 4.9%
- **Combination**: 1.2%

Prosecution Funding

- **Yes**: 86.3%
- **No**: 13.7%

Law Enforcement Funding

- **Yes**: 85.3%
- **No**: 14.7%
3. Should the state of Washington continue to allocate an equal percentage from the four function areas in support of projects that address sexual assault?

**Continue to allocation equal percentages to allocations to address sexual assaults?**

- Yes: 93.7%
- No: 6.3%
Welcome and Introductions

Purpose of Coordinating Committee

Goal for the Meeting – 4 year Implementation Plan: FFY Awards 2017 thru 2020*

In addition to the Plan’s requirement to bring the Coordinating Committee together when drafting the Plan, there is also a requirement the state collaborate with every Tribe in our state to provide input in the development of the Plan. The OCVA Violence Against Women Program held a Tribal Gathering last week for Tribes and Tribal Organizations to discuss gaps in services to Native American victims of sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking; age 11 and older. Refer to recommendations and notes from October 4th Tribal Gathering.

At today’s meeting, we need to address the following questions, which are crucial to the Plan:

- What are the priorities regarding how STOP funds will be used?
- How can the state provide culturally-specific services?
- How can the state address the needs of underserved victims?
- How should the state meet the 20% sexual assault set-aside?
- How should the funds be distributed across the law enforcement, prosecution, courts, victim services, and discretionary allocation categories?
- How can the state reduce, or reduce the risk of, domestic violence-related homicides?

*(covers the grant periods January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2021)
ATTACHMENT D
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Organization List

State Sexual Assault Coalition
☒ Washington Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs

State Domestic Violence Coalition
☒ Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence

Law Enforcement
☒ Washington Association of Sheriff and Police Chiefs
☒ City of Aberdeen Police Department
☒ Pend Oreille County Sheriff’s Office
☒ Vancouver Police Department

Prosecution
☒ Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys
☒ Skamania County Prosecuting Attorney’s Office
☒ Pend Oreille County Prosecuting Attorney’s Office

Courts
☒ WA State Administrative Office of the Courts – Gender and Justice Commission
☒ Jefferson County District Court

Representatives from Tribes, Tribal Organizations, or Tribal Coalition
☒ WomenSpirit Coalition
☒ Cowlitz Indian Tribe
☒ Lummi Nation – Victims of Crime Program
☒ South Puget Intertribal Planning Agency
☒ Spokane Tribal Court of Appeals – Semi-Retired Tribal Judge
☒ Former Presiding Tribal Judge

Representatives from Population Specific Organization – Culturally Specific
☒ Consejo Counseling & Referral Services
☒ Korean Women’s Association
☒ Refugee Women’s Alliance

Population Specific Organizations (underserved population)
☒ Family Resource Center of Lincoln County (rural)
☒ Northwest Immigrant Rights Project (culturally specific)
☒ Turning Pointe Domestic Violence Services (rural)
☒ New Life Baptist Church (faith)
☒ YWCA of Lewiston, ID – Clarkston, WA (rural)
☒ YWCA of Walla Walla (rural)
**Victim Services**
- Citizens Against Domestic and Sexual Abuse
- YWCA of Clark County

**Other Organizations**
- Washington Coalition of Crime Victim Advocates
- Washington State Department of Corrections
- Washington State Department of Health
- Washington State Department of Social and Health Services
## Summary of Issues Raised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Needs/Issues Discussed</th>
<th>How were these addressed in the Implementation Plan?</th>
<th>If not addressed in the plan, why not?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domestic Violence Related Homicides</strong></td>
<td>The Plan includes the goal of reducing domestic violence-related homicides by encouraging local CCR teams to identify activities to be addressed at the local level that will focus on the risk, or reducing the risk of, domestic violence-related homicides. This goal will be supported using the following strategies: utilize lethality risk assessment; address language barriers experienced by victims when accessing community and system-based services; establish procedures and protocols regarding firearm seizure and storage; support efforts to reduce or eliminate firearm accessibility for domestic violence offenders; and partner with faith and medical communities in providing resources for victims/survivors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distribution of STOP Formula Funds in Washington</strong></td>
<td>Our state has historically used a 30/30/30 split for law enforcement, prosecution and victim services with the remaining funds going to courts (5%) and the other 5% to discretionary which is used for special projects. Prior to the planning meeting, a statewide survey was distributed for input regarding the funding distribution process. The State’s planning team discussed the feedback from the survey and supported continuation of the formula-based non-competitive distribution, along with some new efforts. New efforts include addressing the needs of Tribal communities.</td>
<td>The State’s planning team discussed the need for training across all disciplines. It was determined there are insufficient resources for a training set-aside. Additionally, training is an eligible activity in all our STOP Grants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20% Sexual Assault Funding</strong></td>
<td>Through a competitive application process, we will continue to allocate at least 20% of the State’s STOP Grant award to support projects in at least two allocations that meaningfully address sexual assault. The State’s planning team discussed the need to address processing rape kits and victim information. A portion of the sexual assault set-aside supports assistance in handling rape kits and distribution of a brochure to inform victims they cannot be charged for a forensic exam.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culturally Specific and Underserved Populations Prioritization</td>
<td>At least 10% of the victim services funds will be distributed to culturally specific organizations and Tribal programs. In the past, agencies eligible to receive this allocation have included: API Chaya, Consejo Counseling and Referral Services, Korean Women’s Association, Lummi Nation, Native Women in Need, and Refugee Women’s Alliance. This allocation will be distributed equally through a streamlined application process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspent Distribution Options</td>
<td>The State’s planning team suggested a yearly review of unspent funds and reallocating them to a different purpose area, when possible. As such, this Plan reflects a four-year grant-making plan that takes into consideration the formula distribution to sustain services, and increased efforts to support culturally specific organizations, Tribal governments, and underserved communities. Unspent funds will be combined with a portion of discretionary funds to create a set aside to support Tribal criminal justice efforts. Unspent funds may also be directed to special projects as prioritized by the planning team, which could include culturally specific services, legal services for immigrant victims, assisting Tribal governments, and training to faith communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Washington Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs

Category of collaborating agency: State Sexual Assault Coalition

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

______________________________
Collaborating Agency: Andrea Piper-Wentland

Signature 3-30-17
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DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence

Category of collaborating agency: State Domestic Violence Coalition

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):  Yes ☑  No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance  ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?  Yes ☑  No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?  Yes ☐  No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?  Yes ☑  No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?  Yes ☑  No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: WA State Coalition Against Domestic Violence

Signature  3/11/2017

Date
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DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs

Category of collaborating agency: State Law Enforcement Organization

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

If so, did you participate:
- ☑ In-person attendance
- ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?
- Yes ☑
- No ☐

If no, please explain________________________________________

________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

Signature

Date 3-10-17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Aberdeen Police Department

Category of collaborating agency: Law Enforcement Entity

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain


Collaborating Agency:

Signature

Date: 03/10/2017

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program
Implementation Planning Process
DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Pend Oreille County Sheriff’s Office

Category of collaborating agency: Law Enforcement Entity

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☐
If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐
Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐
Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please explain

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

________________________________________________________

Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Vancouver Police Department

Category of collaborating agency: Law Enforcement Entity

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain ________________________________

Collaborating Agency: VPD

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 3-14-17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys

Category of collaborating agency: State Prosecution Organization

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):

Yes ☐ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☒ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If no, please explain

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: WASHINGTON ASSOCIATION OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS

Signature _________________________ Date 23 March 2017

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Skamania County Prosecutor’s Office

Category of collaborating agency: Prosecution Entity

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑  No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance  ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑  No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑  No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________

__________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

Signature _______________________________ Date 3/27/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators

Page 1
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Pend Oreille County Prosecutor’s Office

Category of collaborating agency: Prosecutor Entity

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑  No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance  ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑  No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑  No ☐

If no, please explain __________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: 

Signature ___________________________  Date 3/10/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Administrative Office of the Courts

Category of collaborating agency: State Administrative Office of the Courts

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

______________________________  3/23/17
Signature                  Date

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Jefferson County District Court

Category of collaborating agency: Court

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

Form Not Returned

Signature_______________________________________ Date_________________________
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: WomenSpirit Coalition - WA State Native American Coalition Ag. DV and SA

Category of collaborating agency: Tribal Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalition

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☑ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns of issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain ____________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

Dee Koester MS

Signature _____________________________ Date _____________________________

March 13, 2017
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program
Implementation Planning Process
DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington
Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy
Collaborating Agency: Cowlitz Indian Tribe
Category of collaborating agency: Tribe
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☒
  If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing?

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?

Did you review the draft plan?

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?

If no, please explain

Collaborating Agency:

Signature

Date 3.10.17
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Lummi Victims of Crime Program

Category of collaborating agency: Tribe

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑️ No ☐

If so, did you participate: ☑️ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked to be included?: Yes ☑️ No ☐

If no, please explain: Addressed at the meeting & my feedback was noted in the plan.

Collaborating Agency: [Signature]

Date: 3/24/17
# Implementation Planning Process

**DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION**

**State/Territory:** State of Washington

**Administering Agency:** Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

**Collaborating Agency:** South Puget Intertribal Planning Agency

**Category of collaborating agency:** Tribal Organization

**Planning Team Meeting Date(s):** October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If so, did you participate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ In-person attendance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Via conference call</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you review the draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you offer feedback on draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If no, please explain________________________________________________________

______________________________

Collaborating Agency: South Puget Intertribal Planning Agency

______________________________

Signature Planning Director

______________________________

Date 3/13/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Spokane Tribal Court of Appeals

Category of collaborating agency: Court

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☒ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☒ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐ No ☒

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☐ No ☒

If no, please explain

__________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

FORM NOT RETURNED

Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Former Presiding Tribal Judge

Category of collaborating agency: Other – Tribal Representative

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain______________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: __________________________________________________________

/s/Martin Bohl
Signature

3/23/17
Date
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Consejo Counseling & Referral Services

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization-Culturally Specific

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐  No ✗

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance  ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☐  No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☐  No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☐  No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐  No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☐  No ☐

If no, please explain:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

[Signature]

3/29/2016

Date
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Korean Women’s Association

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization-Culturally Specific

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☑ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

[Signature]

Date: 4/3/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Northwest Immigrant Rights Project

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization-Culturally Specific

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain ________________________________

____________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: Northwest Immigrant Rights Project

Signature: J. E. T. Date: 3/24/2017

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Refugee Women’s Alliance

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization-Culturally Specific

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐
If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain________________________________________________________

____________________________
Collaborating Agency: Refugee Women’s Alliance (RWA)

Signature __________________ Date 3/10/2017
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Family Resource Center of Lincoln County

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization—Underserved Rural

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you review the draft plan?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If no, please explain

Collaborating Agency: Family Resource Center of Lincoln County

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 3-14-2017

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Corrections, Office of Crime Victims’ Advocates

Collaborating Agency: Turning Points Domestic Violence Services

Category of collaborating agency: Domestic Violence Victim Service Provider

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting(s)? Please check one: Yes ☐ No ☐

Also, did you participate: ☐ In person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns, issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing: Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?: Yes ☐ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?: Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?: Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to DVWS?: Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?: Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, please explain

________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency:

[Signature] [Date]
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Life Development Resource Center

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization-Underserved (Faith)

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):
  Yes ☑  No ☐

  If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance  ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you review the draft plan?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?

  Yes ☑  No ☐

If no, please explain

________________________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: Life Development Resource Center

Signature 3/13/2017

Date

Form Created by: Association of YAWA Administrators

Page 1
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: YWCA of Lewiston/Clarkston

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization—Underserved Rural

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☒ sent representative

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing?

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?

Did you review the draft plan?

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?

Did you offer feedback on draft plan?

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW?

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked to be included?

If no, please explain

________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: YWCA Lewiston, ID - Clarkston, WA

Signature: Lewis Lewis  Date: 03-23-17
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: YWCA of Walla Walla

Category of collaborating agency: Population Specific Organization—Underserved Rural

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☑

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☒

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: YWCA - Walla Walla

Anne Marie Zell Shivers
Signature Executive Director

3/31/2017 Date
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Citizens Against Domestic and Sexual Abuse

Category of collaborating agency: Dual (DV/SA) Victim Service Provider

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: CADA

_____________________________ Date 3-10-17

Signature

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program
Implementation Planning Process
DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: YWCA of Clark County

Category of collaborating agency: Dual (DV/SA) Victim Service Provider

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☒ No ☐

If no, please explain

Collaborating Agency: YWCA CLARK COUNTY

Signature ___________________________ Date 03-24-17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Washington Coalition of Crime Victim Advocates

Category of collaborating agency: Other – Statewide Coalition

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☒ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☒ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☒ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☒ No ☐

If no, please explain

__________________________________________________________

Collaborating Agency: WCCVA

Signature ___________________________ Date 3/22/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Department of Corrections

Category of collaborating agency: Other – Victim Services Program

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☑ No ☐

If so, did you participate ☑ In-person attendance ☐ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☑ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☐ No ☑

Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☑ No ☐

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☑ No ☐

If no, please explain ________________________________

______________________________
Collaborating Agency: WA Dept. of Corrections, Victim Services Program

______________________________
Signature

______________________________
Date 3/10/2017
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program

Implementation Planning Process

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION

State/Territory: State of Washington

Administering Agency: Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

Collaborating Agency: Department of Health

Category of collaborating agency: Other – State Department of Health

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): October 13, 2016

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one): Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, did you participate ☐ In-person attendance ☒ Via conference call

Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the the population(s) you were representing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you review the draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes ☒ No ☐

Did you receive a copy of the state’s final plan prior to submission to OVW? Yes ☐ No ☒

Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes ☐ No ☒

If no, please explain ________________________________

______________________________

Collaborating Agency:

Signature ________________________________ Date 3/10/17

Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators
STOP Violence Against Formula Grant Program
Implementation Planning Process

**DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Territory:</th>
<th>State of Washington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administering Agency:</td>
<td>Department of Commerce/Office of Crime Victims Advocacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborating Agency:</td>
<td>Department of Social and Health Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category of collaborating agency:</td>
<td>Other – FVPSA Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Team Meeting Date(s):</td>
<td>October 13, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following questions should be answered by the Collaborating Agency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did you participate in planning meeting (please check one):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If so, did you participate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person attendance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via conference call</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the population(s) you were representing?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you review the draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you offer feedback on draft plan?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVW?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be included?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If no, please explain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaborating Agency:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Susan Hannibal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/22/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Form Created by: Association of VAWA Administrators*
## ATTACHEMENT E

Plan Collaboration with Victim Service Providers
not on the Planning Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beyond Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Healthcare – Aspen of Yakima Sexual Assault Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Healthcare – Aspen Victim Advocacy Services of Kittitas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis Support Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence &amp; Sexual Assault Services of Whatcom County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Center of Grays Harbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Services of Benton/Franklin Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Services of Snohomish County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dove House Advocacy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Support Shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Crisis Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forks Abuse Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Families of Clallam County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Response Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitsap Sexual Assault Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Valley Crisis &amp; Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Community Services Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Women in Need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hope Domestic Violence &amp; Sexual Assault Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence Intervention Center for Assault &amp; Abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Resources Community Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFE San Juans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SafePlace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Indian Health Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagit Domestic Violence &amp; Sexual Assault Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skamania County Council on Domestic Violence &amp; Sexual Assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. James Family Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support, Advocacy Resource Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacoma Community House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Support Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulalip Tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Gorge Action Programs: Programs for Peaceful Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Kitsap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Pierce County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Seattle, East Cherry Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Spokane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Yakima</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT F – TRIBAL GATHERING INVITE

DATE: September 12, 2016

TO: Washington State Tribal Leaders and Tribal Organizations

FROM: Violence Against Women Program, Office of Crime Victims Advocacy

SUBJECT: WA State STOP Formula Grant Implementation Plan and Culturally Specific Responses to Native American Victims of Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence or Stalking

The Office of Crime Victims Advocacy (OCVA) Violence Against Women Program staff is pleased to invite you or your designees to a meeting for Washington State Tribes and Tribal organizations. The meeting will open with lunch at noon on Tuesday, October 4, 2016 and will be held at the:

Little Creek Casino Resort
91 West State Route 108
Shelton, WA 98584

Please see the attached Save the Date and RSVP Form provided with this mailing.

The Purpose of this Tribal gathering is:

• Partner with Tribal Governments to support Tribes in developing and strengthening culturally specific responses to Native American victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking; age 11 and older; and
• Provide opportunities for Tribal Governments to participate in the development of the Washington State STOP Formula Grant Implementation Plan.

OCVA Violence Against Women Program:
The OCVA Violence Against Women Program manages the Services* Training* Officers* Prosecutors (STOP) Formula Grant Program for Washington State. The STOP Formula Grant Program is authorized by the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and administered by the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), U.S. Department of Justice.

WA State STOP Formula Grant Implementation Plan:
Every three years, the OCVA Violence Against Women Program submits an Implementation Plan to OVW on how WA State STOP Formula Grant funds will be distributed and the activities to be accomplished. The planning process, when developing the Implementation Plan, requires consultation with all Tribes in our state. In the past, we have provided a draft copy of the Plan, along with a Documentation of Collaboration form, to Tribes for review and comment. At this October 4th gathering, we will seek input from Tribes to assist OCVA in drafting the next Implementation Plan to reflect culturally specific services for Native American victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence or stalking; age 11 and older.
STOP Formula Grant Activities:
The Washington State STOP Formula Grant Program supports communities in their efforts to develop and strengthen effective responses to sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking; age of victim 11 and older. Eligible activities include, but are not limited to:

- Advocacy (general, medical and/or legal);
- Emergency shelter;
- Therapy;
- Crisis intervention and assistance in the development of individual safety plans;
- Assistance with obtaining protection orders or other court documents;
- Outreach to inform victims of existing services;
- Assistance in accessing Crime Victims Compensation benefits;
- Referrals to other agencies which can provide information for a client;
- Training for advocates, law enforcement officers, prosecutors, judges on the crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking; age of victim 11 and older;
- Prosecution on STOP Formula Grant eligible cases;
- Law enforcement investigations of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking; age of victim 11 and older;
- Equipment, brochures, supplies, and mileage reimbursement to implement the STOP Formula Grant Program;
- Collaboration with law enforcement and prosecution to ensure a supportive and strong coordinated response for victims of domestic violence; sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking.

Collaboration with OCVA Victims of Crime Program:
On the morning of October 4th, the OCVA Victims of Crime Program will hold a Tribal gathering at the Little Creek Casino Resort to discuss the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funding in Washington State. If you have questions regarding the Victims of Crime Program morning meeting, please don’t hesitate to contact Mary Soderquist, OCVA Victims of Crime Program Manager, at mary.soderquist@commerce.wa.gov, her direct line at 360-725-2869 or 866-857-9889.

The OCVA Violence Against Women Program has reserved the afternoon, beginning with a working lunch, for our gathering to minimize participants travel time and expenses. While we have tried to distribute this notification to many Tribal stakeholders, we hope you will share it with anyone who would benefit or would like to contribute to this conversation. We look forward to seeing you and/or your designees at the afternoon gathering on October 4th.

RSVP:
Please complete the attached RSVP by Thursday, September 22, 2016 and return it to Amy Thome, OCVA, at amy.thome@commerce.wa.gov so that we can give a meal count to the Little Creek Casino Resort.

Travel:
Travel costs to participate in this Tribal gathering are eligible for reimbursement through our office at State per diem rates. Airfare and lodging must be pre-approved by OCVA. The State per diem rates can be found at http://www.ofm.wa.gov/resources/travel/colormap1016.pdf.

If you have any questions about the OCVA Violence Against Women Program lunch or afternoon meeting, please feel free to contact Anita Granbois, OCVA Violence Against Woman Program Manager at anita.granbois@commerce.wa.gov or her direct line 360-725-2892 or 866-857-9889.
ATTACHMENT F - TRIBAL GATHERING NOTES
Violence Against Women Program
Office of Crime Victims Advocacy
Little Creek Casino Resort
October 4, 2016

RECOMMENDATION
The Department of Commerce should continue to pursue avenues to facilitate collaboration with Tribes and to listen to Tribe’s self-assessment of their needs and gaps in services. In some communities, the current structure of STOP Grant coordinated community response teams and other planning processes are generally not open to consideration of funding distributions that would strengthen the services and capacity of Tribal programs and organizations. The development of a Tribal set-aside fund within the STOP Grant program is important in that it will provide much needed access to funding resources while also acknowledging Tribal sovereignty and the Government to Government relationship.

COLLABORATION
The OCVA Violence Against Women Program staff has noted the term “collaboration” should be used instead of the term “consultation”.

How has the OCVA Violence Against Women Program collaborated with Tribes in the past?
Representatives from Tribes and the Tribal Coalition participate in our Statewide Coordinating Committee.

The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA 2013) ensures all Tribes in the state are provided an opportunity to review and provide input into the STOP Implementation Plan.

Additionally, the OCVA Violence Against Women Program recognizes input from Tribes improves services for Native American victims, strengthens our statewide Implementation Plan, and is reflective of true collaboration.

FUNDING QUESTIONS
1. Can the STOP Grant allocation percentages be changed?
   a. No, not with the initial allocation. Percentages are statutorily set at the Federal level. However, with the exception of the sexual assault and culturally specific set asides, unspent/returned allocations, are no longer restricted to the statutorily set percentages within the law enforcement, victim services, prosecution, and court allocations.
2. **What percentage is allowable for administrative costs?**  
   a. The state can use up to 10% of the award for administrative expenses.

3. **How much of the allocation in victim services is “by and for?”**  
   a. There is a 10% (culturally specific) set-aside used for “by and for” communities.

4. **If Tribes apply for STOP Grant funds, or if a Tribal set-aside is implemented, will this take money away from the mainstream programs?**  
   a. Yes, there would likely be a reduction to the mainstream programs. However, the increase in VOCA funding statewide should be taken into consideration.
   b. The need for culturally specific services should be equally important as services in mainstream programs.
   c. A Tribal set-aside is important. Not only does it help acknowledge the importance of Government to Government relationships, but it also ensures money is available for Tribes. A small number of applications for Tribal set-aside dollars, outside of existing allocations, would have a larger impact.
   d. A Tribal set-aside also does not make Tribes ineligible to apply for STOP Grant funding that mainstream programs have historically received.
   e. With the increase in VOCA funding it is important that we leverage the STOP Grant to fill gaps not met with VOCA funds.

**GAPS**

- There is a huge need in some communities for money that will follow kids as they reach adulthood and continue to need services. Generational trauma complicates things and there just isn’t funding to address this - especially in rural areas.
- Therapy for victims, particularly children, in rural areas is really important and difficult to access.
- Dollars for prevention would be helpful to break cycles of familial trauma.
- There isn’t enough money for law enforcement, prosecution and courts in Tribes. This money could help fill those gaps.
- Slots at the Law Enforcement Academy for Tribes.
- There aren’t enough dollars for Law Enforcement overtime to do investigations and training.
- Training for Tribal law enforcement is a gap.
- Shelters for men are a huge need in some communities.
- Services for trans-gender individuals is a gap.
STRATEGIES

- Having multi-year grants is important. It helps create equity. We need to approach this differently than we have historically.
- Stories with heart are really important. We need to look at what victims need, not just “pieces of pie” in a chart.
- Tribes need a set-aside that embraces holistic services.
- If mainstream providers are proposing to provide services to Tribes, OCVA should check in with Tribes to see if they have an interest and/or capacity to provide those services themselves.

COMMENTS

- Tribes have been invited to local coordinated community response team planning meetings but their needs are not prioritized or heard.
- It would be helpful to have a longer meeting. Break people into small groups and have them talk about some of this, then come together again as a large group.
- Participants said they appreciate being asked what their unmet needs are.
- During the discussion regarding the best way to disseminate information, people acknowledged the importance of sending information to the Tribal Chair, but also recognized that in some cases information gets held up there.
- OCVA and/or Commerce need a full-time Tribal Liaison.
- Commerce has hired “Community Engagement Officers” and that has been helpful in building relationships with our Tribal Planning Department.
- Tribes should consider applying for the Department of Justice Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation (CTAS). CTAS is a single application for most of the Justice Department’s tribal grant programs.
- CTAS is competitive and may not be sustainable.
- Commerce and/or the State should explore some method for consolidating funds and/or applications for Tribes.
ATTACHMENT G
STOP Grant Agencies

Aberdeen Police Department
Contact: C.J. Chastain
Address: 210 East Market Street
Aberdeen, WA 98520-5210
Phone: (360) 538-4415  Fax: (360) 538-4786  Email: cjchastain@aberdeenwa.gov

Adams County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Brooke Hays
Title: Victim Witness Coordinator
Address: 210 W Broadway, Suite 100
Ritzville, WA 99169-
Phone: (509) 659-3219  Fax: (509) 659-3224  Email: brookeh@co.adams.wa.us

Administrative Office of the Courts
Contact: Cynthia Delostrinos
Title: Supreme Court Commissions Manager
Address: PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504-1170
Phone: (360) 705-5327  Fax: (360) 956-5700  Email: cynthia.delostrinos@courts.wa.gov

Alternatives to Violence of the Palouse
Contact: Christine Wall
Title: Executive Director
Address: PO Box 37
Pullman, WA 99163-0037
Phone: (509) 332-0552  Fax: (509) 332-3314  Email: exec.director@atvp.org

API Chaya
Contact: Joanne Alcantara
Title: Executive Director
Address: PO Box 14047
Seattle, WA 98114-0047
Phone: (206) 467-9976  Fax: (206) 467-1072  Email: joanne@apichaya.org

Asotin County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Holly Tietz
Title: Victim Witness Advocate
Address: PO Box 220
Asotin, WA 99402-0220
Phone: (509) 243-2061  Fax: (509) 243-2090  Email: htiets@co.asotin.wa.us

Bingen/White Salmon Police Department
Contact: Lisa George
Title: Police Clerk
Address: PO Box 2139
White Salmon, WA 98672-2139
Phone: (509) 493-1177  Fax: (509) 493-1007  Email: lisa@bwspolice.com
Centralia Police Department

**Contact:** Patricia Finch  
**Title:** Detective  
**Address:** PO Box 609  
Centralia, WA 98531-0609  
**Phone:** (360) 623-8341  
**Fax:** (360) 807-6210  
**Email:** pfinch@cityofcentralia.com

Chelan County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Charissa Cruickshank  
**Title:** Victim/Witness Coordinator  
**Address:** PO Box 2596  
Wenatchee, WA 98807-2596  
**Phone:** (509) 667-6206  
**Fax:** (509) 667-6490  
**Email:** charissa.cruickshank@co.chelan

Chelan County Sheriff's Office

**Contact:** Valerie Secrist  
**Title:** Chief Civil Deputy  
**Address:** PO Box 36  
Wenatchee, WA 98807-0036  
**Phone:** (509) 667-6835  
**Fax:** (509) 667-6859  
**Email:** valerie.secrsit@co.chelan.wa.us

Citizens Against Domestic & Sexual Abuse

**Contact:** Constance Mollerstuen  
**Title:** Finance Manager  
**Address:** PO Box 190  
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-0190  
**Phone:** (360) 675-7057  
**Fax:** (360) 675-7168  
**Email:** constance@cadacanhelp.org

City of Port Townsend Police Department

**Contact:** Michael Evans  
**Title:** Grant Administrator  
**Address:** 1925 Blaine Street, Suite 100  
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6330  
**Phone:** (360) 531-1112  
**Fax:** (360) 379-4438  
**Email:** mevans@cityofpt.us

Clallam County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Molly Hassel  
**Title:** Victim Witness Coordinator  
**Address:** 223 East 4th Street, Suite 11  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-3098  
**Phone:** (360) 417-2587  
**Fax:** (360) 417-2462  
**Email:** mhassel@co.clallam.wa.us

Clallam County Sheriff’s Office

**Contact:** Alice Hoffman  
**Title:** Chief Civil Deputy  
**Address:** 223 East 4th Street, Suite 12  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-3098  
**Phone:** (360) 417-2257  
**Fax:** (360) 417-2498  
**Email:** ahoffman@co.clallam.wa.us

Clark County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Jeannie Bryant  
**Title:** Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney  
**Address:** PO Box 5000  
Vancouver, WA 98666-5000  
**Phone:** (360) 487-8554  
**Fax:** (360) 693-1864  
**Email:** jeannie.bryant@co.clark.wa.us
Clark County Prosecutor's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant

Contact: Shari Jensen  
Title: Administrator  
Address: PO Box 5000  
Vancouver, WA 98666-5000  

Phone: (360) 397-2261  
Fax: (360) 397-5577  
Email: shari.jensen@clark.wa.gov; 

OCVA Staff: Cheryl Rasch

Clarkston Police Department

Contact: Joel Hastings  
Title: Chief of Police  
Address: 830 Fifth Street  
Clarkston, WA 99403-2634  

Phone: (509) 758-1680  
Fax: (509) 758-1670  
Email: jhastings@clarkstonpolice.org

Columbia County Sheriff's Office

Contact: Tim Quigg  
Title: Civil Deputy/Admin. Asst.  
Address: 341 E Main Street  
Dayton, WA 99328-1361  

Phone: (509) 382-3982  
Fax: (509) 382-1191  
Email: tim_quigg@co.columbia.wa.us

Comprehensive Healthcare ASPEN - Kittitas

Contact: Dawn Brumfield  
Title: Program Manager  
Address: 220 West 4th Avenue  
Ellensburg, WA 98926-  

Phone: (509) 925-9384  
Fax: (509) 925-9405  
Email: dawn.brumfield@comphc.org

Consejo Counseling & Referral Services

Contact: Norma Guzman  
Title: Domestic Violence and Housing Manager  
Address: 3808 S Angeline Street  
Seattle, WA 98118-1712  

Phone: (206) 461-4880  
Fax: (206) 461-6989  
Email: nguzman@consejocounseling.org

Cowlitz County Prosecutor's Office

Contact: Julie Miller  
Title: Administrative Assistance  
Address: 312 SW 1st Avenue  
Kelso, WA 98626-1739  

Phone: (360) 577-3080  
Fax: (360) 414-9121  
Email: millerj@co.cowlitz.wa.us

Crisis Support Network

Contact: Kathryn Burr  
Title: Executive Director  
Address: PO Box 311  
Raymond, WA 98577-0311  

Phone: (360) 875-6702  
Fax: (360) 875-6701  
Email: csn1@centurytel.net
Domestic Violence Services of Benton & Franklin Counties
Contact: Daniel Aspiri
Title: Executive Director
Address: 3311 West Clearwater Avenue, Suite C140
Kennewick, WA 99336-2776
Phone: (509) 736-1295    Fax: (509) 736-0649    Email: dan.a@dvsbf.org

Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault Services of Whatcom County
Contact: Karen Burke
Title: Executive Director
Address: 1407 Commercial Street
Bellingham, WA 98225-4309
Phone: (360) 671-5714    Fax: (360) 647-6015    Email: kburke@dvsas.org

Domestic Violence Center of Grays Harbor
Contact: Gloria Callaghan
Title: Executive Director
Address: 2306 Sumner Avenue
Hoquiam, WA 98550-3927
Phone: (360) 538-0733    Fax: (360) 537-9495    Email: gordon@comcast.net;

Douglas County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Gabriela Sanchez
Title: Victim-Witness Coordinator
Address: PO Box 360
Waterville, WA 98858-0360
Phone: (509) 745-8535    Fax: (509) 745-8670    Email: gsanchez@co.douglas.wa.us

Douglas County Sheriff's Office
Contact: Kevin Morris
Title: Undersheriff
Address: 110 NE 2nd Street, Suite 200
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-4853
Phone: (509) 884-0941    Fax: (509) 886-1045    Email: kmorris@co.douglas.wa.us

Dove House Advocacy Services
Contact: Beulah Kingsolver
Title: Executive Director
Address: 1045 10th Street
Port Townsend, WA 98368-2933
Phone: (360) 385-5292    Fax: (360) 385-2338    Email: beulahk@dovehousejc.org

Emergency Support Shelter
Contact: Sherrie Tinoco
Title: Executive Director
Address: 1330 11th Avenue
Longview, WA 98632-3817
Phone: (360) 425-1176    Fax: (360) 425-3960    Email: sherriet@esshelter.com
Everett Police Department

**Contact:** Jerry Strieck  
**Title:** Lieutenant  
**Address:** 3002 Wetmore Avenue  
Everett, WA 98201-4018  
**Phone:** (425) 257-8447  
**Fax:** (425) 257-6512  
**Email:** jstrieck@ci.everett.wa.us

Family Crisis Network

**Contact:** Cody Francis  
**Title:** Assistant Director  
**Address:** PO Box 944  
Newport, WA 99156-0944  
**Phone:** (509) 447-2274  
**Fax:**  
**Email:** cody@pofcn.org

Family Resource Center of Lincoln County

**Contact:** Kristy Blauert  
**Title:** Director of Victim Programs  
**Address:** PO Box 1130  
Davenport, WA 99122-1130  
**Phone:** (509) 725-4358  
**Fax:** (509) 725-4360  
**Email:** kristen@frcoflincolncounty.org

Ferry County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Tanya Margerison-Wolt  
**Title:** Office Manager  
**Address:** 180 North Jefferson Avenue  
Republic, WA 99166-9747  
**Phone:** (509) 775-5225  
**Fax:** (509) 775-5212  
**Email:** tcwolt@wapa-sep.wa.gov

Ferry County Sheriff's Office

**Contact:** Amy Rooker  
**Title:** Chief Civil Deputy/HLS/EM  
**Address:** PO Box 1099  
Republic, WA 99166-8761  
**Phone:** (509) 775-3132  
**Fax:** (509) 775-0145  
**Email:** fccivil2@co.ferry.wa.us

Forks Abuse Program

**Contact:** Ann Simpson  
**Title:** Executive Director  
**Address:** PO Box 1775  
Forks, WA 98331-1775  
**Phone:** (360) 374-6411  
**Fax:** (360) 374-9885  
**Email:** ann.simpson@forksabuseprogram.org

Franklin County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Kelly Schadler  
**Title:** Office Administrator  
**Address:** 1016 N 4th Avenue  
Pasco, WA 99301-3706  
**Phone:** (509) 545-3543  
**Fax:** (509) 545-2135  
**Email:** kschadler@co.franklin.wa.us
Garfield County Prosecutor's Office

Contact: Tina Warren  
Title: Office Manager  
Address: PO Box 820  
Pomeroy, WA 99347-0820  
Phone: (509) 843-3082  
Fax: (509) 843-2337  
Email: twarren@co.garfield.wa.us

Garfield County Sheriff's Office

Contact: Drew Hyer  
Title: Undersheriff  
Address: PO Box 338  
Pomeroy, WA 99347-0338  
Phone: (509) 843-3494  
Fax: (509) 843-1347  
Email: dhyer@co.garfield.wa.us

Garfield County Sheriff's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant

Contact: Roger Pedersen  
Title: Senior Chaplain  
Address: PO Box 338  
Pomeroy, WA 99347-0338  
Phone: (509) 843-3493  
Fax: (509) 843-1347  
Email: rpedersen@co.garfield.wa.us

Grant County Sheriff's Office

Contact: Dave Ponozzo  
Title: Undersheriff  
Address: PO Box 37  
Ephrata, WA 98823-0037  
Phone: (509) 754-2011  
Fax: (509) 754-2058  
Email: dponozzo@co.grant.wa.us

Human Response Network

Contact: David Eatwell  
Title: CEO  
Address: PO Box 337  
Chehalis, WA 98532-0337  
Phone: (360) 520-9776  
Fax:  
Email: ExDir@HRNLC.org

Jefferson County Prosecutor's Office

Contact: Mary Schoenfelder  
Title: Crime Victim/Witness Coordinator  
Address: PO Box 1220  
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0920  
Phone: (360) 385-9386  
Fax:  
Email: mschoenfelder@co.jefferson.wa

Kelso Police Department

Contact: Becky Rakoz  
Title: Records Supervisor/Executive Assistant  
Address: 201 S Pacific Avenue  
Kelso, WA 98626-1617  
Phone: (360) 423-1270  
Fax: (362) 423-0577  
Email: kpdbr@kelso.gov
King County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Leesa Manion  
**Title:** Chief of Staff  
**Address:** 516 Third Avenue, Room W-544  
Seattle, WA 98104-2305  
**Phone:** (206) 296-0426  
**Fax:** (206) 296-0913  
**Email:** leesa.manion@kingcounty.gov

King County Prosecutor's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant

**Contact:** Tracy Orcutt  
**Title:** VAWA STOP Grant Coordinator  
**Address:** 516 Third Avenue, Room W-544  
Seattle, WA 98104-2305  
**Phone:** (206) 296-4825  
**Fax:** (206) 205-6104  
**Email:** tracy.orcutt@kingcounty.gov

King County Sheriff's Office

**Contact:** Joe Lewis  
**Title:** Grant Administrator  
**Address:** 516 3rd Avenue, Room W-150  
Seattle, WA 98104-2392  
**Phone:** (206) 205-7900  
**Fax:** (206) 296-0164  
**Email:** joe.lewis@kingcounty.gov

King County Sheriff's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant

**Contact:** Joe Lewis  
**Title:** Grant Administrator  
**Address:** 516 3rd Avenue, Room W-150  
Seattle, WA 98104-2392  
**Phone:** (206) 205-7900  
**Fax:** (206) 296-0164  
**Email:** joe.lewis@kingcounty.gov

Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Kelly Pelland  
**Title:** Victim Witness Coordinator  
**Address:** 614 Division Street, MS-35  
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4681  
**Phone:** (360) 337-4840  
**Fax:** (360) 337-4949  
**Email:** Kpelland@co.kitsap.wa.us

Kitsap County Sheriff's Office

**Contact:** Earl Smith  
**Title:** Lieutenant  
**Address:** 614 Division Street  
Port Orchard, WA 98366-  
**Phone:** (360) 337-5610  
**Fax:** (360) 337-4480  
**Email:** esmith@co.kitsap.wa.us

Kitsap Sexual Assault Center

**Contact:** Sandra Carlton  
**Title:** Executive Director  
**Address:** PO Box 1936  
Port Orchard, WA 98366-0805  
**Phone:** (360) 479-1788  
**Fax:** (360) 895-8696  
**Email:** scarlton@ksacservices.com
Klickitat County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Susan DePasquale
Title: Victim Witness Coordinator
Address: 205 S Columbus Avenue, MS-18
         Goldendale, WA 98620-9054
Phone: (509) 773-5838  Fax: (509) 773-6696  Email: susand@klickitatcounty.org

Korean Women's Association
Contact: Jerry Shore
Title: DV Shelter Manager
Address: 123 E 96th Street
         Tacoma, WA 98445-2001
Phone: (253) 460-5045  Fax: (253) 460-5804  Email: jshore@kwacares.org

Lakewood City Attorney's Office
Contact: Kimberly Cox
Title: Assistant City Attorney, City Prosecutor
Address: 6000 Main Street SW
         Lakewood, WA 98499-5027
Phone: (253) 983-7755  Fax: (253) 589-3774  Email: kcox@cityoflakewood.us

Lewis County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Janelle Kambich
Title: Office Administrator
Address: 345 W Main St
         Room, Second Floor
         Chehalis, WA 98532-4802
Phone: (360) 740-1423  Fax: (360) 740-1497  Email: janelle.kambich@lewiscountyw

Life Development Resource Center
Contact: David Isom
Title: Project Manager
Address: Post Office Box 3730
         Lacey, WA 98503-
Phone: (253) 241-1538  Fax: (707) 759-3012  Email: davidcisom@gmail.com

Lincoln County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Jeffrey Barkdull
Title: Lincoln County Prosecuting Attorney
Address: PO Box 874
         Davenport, WA 99122-0874
Phone: (509) 725-4040  Fax:                Email: jbarkdull@co.lincoln.wa.us

Lincoln County Sheriff's Office
Contact: Kathy Wilcox
Title: Chief Civil Deputy
Address: PO Box 367
         Davenport, WA 99122-0367
Phone: (509) 725-9261  Fax: (509) 725-3533  Email: kwilcox@co.lincoln.wa.us
Lummi Victims of Crime Department
Contact: Lorayne Dennis
Title: Coordinator
Address: 2616 Kwina Road
Bellingham, WA 98226-9298
Phone: (360) 312-2015   Fax: (360) 312-9204   Email: lorayned@lummi-nsn.gov

Native Women in Need
Contact: Norine Hill
Title: Executive Director
Address: 16422 Meridian Ave S
Bothell, WA 98012-4922
Phone: (206) 715-7514   Fax:      Email: nhill@nativewomen.net

New Hope Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault Services
Contact: Suzi Fode
Title: Interim Executive Director
Address: 604 West Third Avenue, Suite B
Moses Lake, WA 98837-0160
Phone: (509) 764-8402   Fax: (509) 766-6574   Email: sfode@grantcountywa.gov

Pacific County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Mark McClain
Title: Prosecuting Attorney
Address: PO Box 45
South Bend, WA 98586-0045
Phone: (360) 875-9361   Fax: (360) 875-9362   Email: mmclain@co.pacific.wa.us

Pacific County Sheriff's Office
Contact: Pat Matlock
Title: Chief Criminal Deputy
Address: PO Box 27
South Bend, WA 98586-0027
Phone: (360) 875-9398   Fax: (360) 875-9393   Email: pmatlock@co.pacific.wa.us

Pasco Police Department
Contact: Brent Cook
Title: Captain
Address: 525 N 3rd Avenue
Pasco, WA 99301-5320
Phone: (509) 545-3475   Fax: (509) 545-3423   Email: cookb@pasco-wa.gov

Pierce County Sheriff's Department
Contact: Roland Bautista
Title: Sergeant
Address: 930 Tacoma Avenue
Tacoma, WA 98402-2171
Phone: (253) 798-3278   Fax: (253) 798-6712   Email: rbautis@co.pierce.wa.us
Providence Health & Services - Washington DBA Providence Regional Medical Center Everett, DBA Providence Intervention Center for Assault and Abuse

**Contact:** Melissa Mertz  
**Title:** Manager  
**Address:** 1509 California Street  
Everett, WA 98201-3540  
**Phone:** (425) 297-5780  
**Fax:** (425) 259-5830  
**Email:** melissa.mertz@providence.org

Refugee Women's Alliance

**Contact:** Carlin Yoophum  
**Title:** Domestic Violence Program Director  
**Address:** 4008 Martin Luther King Jr Wy S  
Seattle, WA 98108-1623  
**Phone:** (206) 721-3846  
**Fax:** (206) 721-0282  
**Email:** carlin@rewa.org

SAFE San Juans

**Contact:** Kim Bryan  
**Title:** Executive Director  
**Address:** PO Box 1516  
Eastsound, WA 98245-1516  
**Phone:** (360) 376-5979  
**Fax:** (360) 376-6742  
**Email:** kim@safesj.org

SafePlace

**Contact:** Kellie Patton  
**Title:** Development Officer  
**Address:** PO Box 2002  
Olympia, WA 98507-2002  
**Phone:** (360) 786-8754  
**Fax:** (360) 786-6377  
**Email:** kelliep@safeplaceolympia.org

San Juan County Prosecutor's Office

**Contact:** Randall Gaylord  
**Title:** Prosecuting Attorney  
**Address:** PO Box 760  
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-0760  
**Phone:** (360) 378-4101  
**Fax:** (360) 378-3180  
**Email:** randallg@sanjuanco.com

Sedro-Woolley Police Department

**Contact:** William Tucker  
**Title:** Chief of Police  
**Address:** 325 Metcalf Street  
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-1007  
**Phone:** (360) 855-0111  
**Fax:** (360) 855-0196  
**Email:** ltucker@ci.sedro-woolley.wa.us  
**OCVA Staff:** Jodi Honeysett

Shelton Police Department

**Contact:** Diana Hupp  
**Title:** Confidential Assistant  
**Address:** PO Box 1610  
Shelton, WA 98584-0990  
**Phone:** (360) 426-9517  
**Fax:** (360) 432-0537  
**Email:** dhupp@ci.shelton.wa.us
**Skagit County Prosecutor's Office**
- **Contact:** Vickie Maurer
- **Title:** Office Supervisor
- **Address:** 605 S Third Street
  Mount Vernon, WA 98273-4299
- **Phone:** (360) 336-9460  
  **Fax:** (360) 336-9347  
  **Email:** vickiem@co.skagit.wa.us

**Skagit Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault Services**
- **Contact:** Heidi Roy
- **Title:** Executive Director
- **Address:** PO Box 301
  Mount Vernon, WA 98273-0301
- **Phone:** (360) 336-9591  
  **Fax:** (360) 336-9593  
  **Email:** heidir@skagitdvsas.org

**Skamania County Council on Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault**
- **Contact:** Lisa Alexander
- **Title:** Executive Director
- **Address:** PO Box 477
  Stevenson, WA 98648-0477
- **Phone:** (509) 427-4210  
  **Fax:** (509) 427-4194  
  **Email:** lb butcher@skamaniaadvsa.org

**Skamania County Prosecutor's Office**
- **Contact:** Charlene Hartley
- **Title:** Chief Civil Deputy
- **Address:** PO Box 790
  Stevenson, WA 98648-0790
- **Phone:** (509) 427-3790  
  **Fax:** (509) 427-3798  
  **Email:** charh@co.skamania.wa.us

**Skamania County Sheriff's Office**
- **Contact:** Pat Bond
- **Title:** Chief of Patrol
- **Address:** PO Box 790
  Stevenson, WA 98648-0790
- **Phone:** (509) 427-9490  
  **Fax:** (509) 427-4369  
  **Email:** patb@co.skamania.wa.us

**OCVA Staff:** Ashley Wancha

**Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office**
- **Contact:** Joan Cavagnaro
- **Title:** Chief Criminal Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
- **Address:** 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, MS: 504
  Everett, WA 98201-4046
- **Phone:** (425) 388-3506  
  **Fax:** (425) 388-3572  
  **Email:** jcavagnaro@snoco.org

**Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant**
- **Contact:** Kameon Quillen
- **Title:** Victim Advocate Program Coordinator
- **Address:** 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, MS: 504
  Everett, WA 98201-4046
- **Phone:** (425) 388-3333  
  **Fax:** (425) 388-3572  
  **Email:** kameon.quillen@snoco.org
Spokane County Prosecutor's Office

Contact: Annette Ingham
Title: Victim Witness Program Manager
Address: 1100 W Mallon Avenue
Spokane, WA 99260-0270
Phone: (509) 477-3640  Fax: (509) 477-3409  Email: aingham@spokanecounty.org

Spokane County Sheriff's Office

Contact: Esther Larsen
Title: Grants and Contracts Coordinator
Address: 1100 W Mallon Avenue
Spokane, WA 99260-0300
Phone: (509) 477-5709  Fax: (509) 477-2576  Email: elarsen@spokanecounty.org

The Support Center

Contact: Margo Amelong
Title: Executive Director
Address: PO Box 3639
Omak, WA 98841-3639
Phone: (509) 826-3221  Fax: (509) 422-1742  Email: mamelong@thesupportcenter.org

Thurston County Prosecutor's Office

Contact: Christen Peters
Title: Administration Chief
Address: 2000 Lakeridge Drive SW, Bldg 2
Olympia, WA 98502-6001
Phone: (360) 786-5540  Fax: (360) 754-3358  Email: petersc@co.thurston.wa.us

Thurston County Prosecutor's Office – Sexual Assault Services Grant

Contact: Christen Peters
Title: Administration Chief
Address: 2000 Lakeridge Drive SW, Bldg 2
Olympia, WA 98502-6001
Phone: (360) 786-5540  Fax: (360) 754-3358  Email: petersc@co.thurston.wa.us

Thurston County Sheriff's Office

Contact: Dave Pearsall
Title: Chief Deputy
Address: 2000 Lakeridge Drive SW, Building 2
Olympia, WA 98502-6045
Phone: (360) 786-5503  Fax: (360) 786-5275  Email: pearsad@co.thurston.wa.us

Tulalip Tribes of Washington

Contact: Leora Jones
Title: Legacy of Healing Interim Manager
Address: 2828 Mission Hill Road
Tulalip, WA 98271-9706
Phone: (360) 716-4078  Fax: (360) 651-4742  Email: leorajones@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov
Turning Pointe Domestic Violence Services
Contact: Rachel Elam
Title: Program Manager
Address: PO Box 2014
Shelton, WA 98584-5033
Phone: (360) 426-1216  Fax: (360) 426-2922  Email: relam@qwestoffice.net

Wahkiakum County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Geri Rooklidge
Title: Administrative Assistant / Advocacy Director
Address: PO Box 397
Cathlamet, WA 98612-0397
Phone: (360) 795-3652  Fax: (360) 795-6506  Email: grooklidge@wapa-sep.wa.gov

Walla Walla County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Geneva Smeltzer
Title: Executive Assistant
Address: 240 W Alder, Suite 201
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2807
Phone: (509) 524-5453  Fax: (509) 524-5485  Email: gsmeltzer@co.walla-walla.wa.us

Walla Walla Police Department
Contact: Chalese Rabidue
Title: Domestic Violence Services Officer
Address: 15 N Third Street
Walla Walla, WA 99362-1859
Phone: (509) 524-4400  Fax: (509) 525-5057  Email: crabidue@ci.walla-walla.wa.us

Washington Gorge Action Programs: Programs for Peaceful Living
Contact: Kirsten Poole
Title: Program Director
Address: PO Box 805
Bingen, WA 98605-9087
Phone: (509) 493-1533  Fax: (509) 493-4430  Email: kirsten@wgap.ws

Washington State Patrol
Contact: Rebecca Kirby
Title: Contracts Specialist
Address: PO Box 42602
Olympia, WA 98504-2602
Phone: (360) 596-4071  Fax:  Email: rebecca.kirby@wsp.wa.gov

Whitman County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Kristina Cooper
Title: Victim/Witness Coordinator
Address: PO Box 30
Colfax, WA 99111-0030
Phone: (509) 397-6250  Fax: (509) 397-5659  Email: KristinaC@co.whitman.wa.us
Yakima County Prosecutor's Office
Contact: Aaron Buchanan  
Title: Victim Witness Manager  
Address: 128 N 2nd Street, Room 329  
Yakima, WA 98901-2766  
Phone: (509) 574-1327  
Fax: (509) 574-1301  
Email: aaron.buchanan@co.yakima.wa

Yakima County Sheriff's Office
Contact: Dan Cypher  
Title: Detective  
Address: Post Office Box 1388  
Yakima, WA 98907-  
Phone: (509) 574-2566

YWCA of Clark County
Contact: Laurie Schacht  
Title: Sexual Assault Program Manager  
Address: 3609 Main Street  
Vancouver, WA 98663-2225  
Phone: (360) 906-9116  
Fax: (360) 693-1864  
Email: lschacht@ywcaclarkcounty.org

YWCA of Lewiston, ID – Clarkston, WA
Contact: Laurie Lewis  
Title: Executive Director  
Address: 300 Main Street  
Lewiston, ID 83501-1820  
Phone: (208) 743-1535  
Fax: (208) 746-1510  
Email: ljlewis@lewiston.com

YWCA of Pierce County
Contact: Drew Carrigan  
Title: Program Assistant  
Address: 405 Broadway  
Tacoma, WA 98402-3904  
Phone: (253) 272-4181  
Fax: (253) 272-1415  
Email: dcarrigan@ywcapiercecounty.org

YWCA of Seattle, East Cherry Branch
Contact: Michael Wong  
Title: Special Projects Manager  
Address: 2820 E Cherry Street  
Seattle, WA 98122-5032  
Phone: (206) 568-7845  
Fax: (206) 568-7851  
Email: mwong@ywcaworks.org

YWCA of Spokane
Contact: Crissy Anderson  
Title: Associate Director of Legal Services  
Address: 930 North Monroe Street  
Spokane, WA 99201-2112  
Phone: (509) 789-9318  
Fax: (509) 326-1597  
Email: crissya@ywcaspokane.org
YWCA of Yakima

Contact: Amy Flynn
Title: Executive Director
Address: 818 W Yakima Avenue
        Yakima, WA 98902-3049
Phone: (509) 248-7796        Fax: (509) 575-5398        Email: aflynn@ywcayakima.org
ATTACHMENT H – LETTERS DOCUMENTING NEED

List of Organizations

**Prosecutor**

- Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys
- Pend Oreille County Prosecuting Attorney
- Skamania County Prosecuting Attorney

**Law Enforcement**

- Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs
- City of Aberdeen Police Department
- Pend Oreille County Sheriff’s Office

**Court**

- Administrative Office of the Courts – Gender and Justice Commission
- State of Washington Supreme Court

**Victim Services**

- Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence
- Washington Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Refugee Women’s Alliance
- Lummi Nation – Victims of Crime Program
January 26, 2017

Ms. Nadine M. Neufville
Acting Director
Office On Violence Against Women
145 N. Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville:

I am the Staff Attorney for the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys, and have I serve as a representative on the Washington State's Violence Against Women Coordinating Committee.

VAWA funds continue to support full time victim-witness coordinators within procurator's offices, new police and prosecutor policies for investigating, arresting, and charging domestic violence, stalking, and human trafficking cases, and safe waiting areas for victims and their children.

However, there is still room for improvement in our services to women who have been victimized by violence through increased training and idea sharing among law enforcement, prosecution and victim services. Many officers, prosecutors and victim advocates would benefit from participation in multi-disciplinary trainings.

Society's current attitude towards violence against women is unacceptable. A more comprehensive, consistent approach to cases involving violence against women that integrates law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services, can lead to an increase in the number of victims initiating and following through on criminal justice cases. Women over the age of eighteen, from all racial, ethnic, language and disability backgrounds, would reap the benefits of such an approach.

Sincerely yours,

Pamela B. Loginsky
Staff Attorney
February 22, 2017

Ms. Nadine M. Neufville
Acting Director
Office on Violence against Women
145 N St., NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville:

I am the elected Prosecuting Attorney for Pend Oreille County, who serves a population of 13,000 which is located in rural Northeast Washington. Our communities are some of the most economically depressed in the State of Washington with very limited financial resources. Our office offers assistance to all victims of crime to include sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking and crimes against children. We provide information for understanding the court process, no contact orders and assisting victims with the restitution process. We work collaboratively with our local community based advocates and other community organizations to ensure that victims of crime receive full support and the assistance they need.

We currently utilize part of the STOP grant funding to fund a court security officer who helps to maintain safety and security in our courtrooms. As we are all aware, domestic violence related cases in particular can be very tense and fraught with emotion which at times can lead to disturbances in or around the courthouse. This position helps to ensure that victims of crime are safe in the courtroom/courthouse when they come to participate in various proceedings. Without this funding, we would not have court security but for very select cases.

Additionally, we utilize our STOP grant funding to have a Sheriff’s deputy conduct welfare checks of our victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. During these follow-up contacts, the deputy asks about the victim’s well-being and reiterates the community services available to them.

Our office is grateful to receive the support from the Washington State Stop Violence Against Women Grant Program. We are a rural community with limited resources and this funding continues to assist us in meeting the needs of our victims in a coordinated and supportive manner. Hopefully, our use of this funding helps to lessen the severe and detrimental impact of crime on victims, survivors of victims, and witnesses of crime.

Sincerely,

Dolly N. Hunt
Prosecuting Attorney
Ms. Nadine M. Neufville  
Acting Director  
Office on Violence Against Women  
145 N St., NE, Suite 10W.121  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville:

I am the elected Prosecuting Attorney for Skamania County. Skamania County is located on the southern border of Washington, in the Columbia River Gorge and has a population of approximately 11,000 people. Our office offers assistance to victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, stalking, and to child victims of abuse. Although Skamania County is predominantly a white, rural community, we deal with victims of all ethnic backgrounds, many of whom suffer from mental and or physical disabilities. While English is the primary language of the majority of our victims, we do on occasion also serve Spanish speaking victims.

Our community has suffered from prolonged economic depression and poverty and this has led to our County sometimes struggling to fund basic services. Our office provides information to help victims understand the court process, no contact orders and we assist them with crime victim compensation and the restitution process. We work very closely with our local victim advocacy program, Skamania County Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault to ensure victims of violence receive the best support and care possible. Without sufficient funding we would struggle to have the time or resources to adequately meet the needs of our community.

Over the past years we have worked closely with our community partners to improve the quality of domestic violence prosecution by monitoring results over time and reviewing cases with our partner agencies. We intend to use grant funds for both training of law enforcement and deputy prosecutors, and also to help us collaborate with our partners to track and analyze the quality of our services to make sure we are doing everything we can for victims of violence.

Our office is grateful for the support in which the Washington State’s STOP Violence Against Women Grant Program has provided. We are always looking for ways to both reduce domestic violence in our community and also improve our service to its victims. This funding will help us achieve that goal by training ourselves, our partners and self-consciously monitoring our program’s successes and challenges.

Sincerely,

Adam N. Kick  
Prosecuting Attorney
January 24, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville, Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N Street NE
Suite 10W 121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville:

The Washington Association of Police Chiefs (WASPC) is pleased to write this letter in support of the STOP Violence Against Women Program in the State of Washington.

WASPC is the only association of its kind in the nation combining representatives from local, state, tribal, and federal law enforcement into a single body, working toward a common goal. WASPC's function is to provide specific materials and services to all law enforcement agencies in the state, members and non-members alike. WASPC is recognized in state statute as a legal entity designating the association as a "combination of units of local government." Our unique designation and collaborative background allows WASPC to partner with a variety of organizations and agencies to enhance law enforcement and public safety in Washington State. WASPC has a long history of partnering with the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy.

STOP Grant funding will be helpful to Washington State Law Enforcement Agencies as they respond to adult and teen victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, stalking and other crimes against persons. Funding will permit law enforcement agencies and courts to provide additional training and equipment aiding in the response to domestic violence incidents. It is expected that additional funding resources will assist law enforcement to curb domestic violence across all social, racial, ethnic, national origin, disability and cultural backgrounds in the State of Washington.

WASPC and its member chiefs and sheriffs are dedicated to addressing domestic violence in our communities. We wholeheartedly endorse the STOP grant application submitted by the Office of Crime Victim Advocacy.

Sincerely,

Ken Hohenberg, President
Kennewick Police Department
February 17, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville  
Office on Violence Against Women  
145 N. Street NE  
Suite 10W 121  
Washington, DC 20530  

Dear Ms. Neufville:

I am the Chief of Police for the Aberdeen Police Department located in rural Grays Harbor County, Washington. I am pleased to have the opportunity to express my support for the continued funding of the STOP Violence Against Women Program in the State of Washington. The city of Aberdeen Police Department is unique in that in addition to administering law enforcement STOP allocation, we also administer the STOP funding portion for prosecution.

The approach taken by our county has been very effective. STOP funds have been used to develop, train and support a specialized team of officers to respond to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault or stalking. With STOP funds, we were able to provide training to law enforcement and other disciplines in the area of Human Trafficking, Domestic Violence, and Marginalized Communities. The funding has allowed the city to provide for a witness victim coordinator for the Prosecutor’s Office. We are able to engage the victim and assist them through the local court system. By this enhancement we are further able to hold perpetrators accountable for their actions. In the year 2106 we have had over two hundred and fifty one (251) calls for service regarding domestic violence. Our Domestic Abuse Response Team (DART) has handled over one hundred and thirty six (136) cases, over ninety four (94) protection orders have been issued through our Municipal Court, and ninety eight (98) domestic violence offenders were arrested. Victims were given information on resources available to them. In summary, STOP grant funding has improved the quality of cases for prosecution, and enhanced law enforcement’s primary role in stopping the cycle of violence.

This year, we hope to continue ongoing training for personnel, and continued advocacy efforts. Like most states, counties, and cities, the current economic times will impact our small rural community severely. Continued funding in FFY 2017 is vital for our continued success in meeting the needs of females eighteen and older from all social, racial, ethnic, national origin, disability, and cultural backgrounds in rural Aberdeen.

Sincerely,

Robert H. Torgerson  
Chief of Police
February 22, 2017

Ms. Nadine M. Neufville
Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N. St., NE
Suite 10W.121
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville,

I am the Sheriff of Pend Oreille County, located in the rural northeastern area of Washington State. I am pleased to have the opportunity to express my support for the continued funding of the STOP Violence Against Women Program in the State of Washington.

Pend Oreille County's use of STOP funds have been very effective in providing services to victims of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence and Stalking. We use our STOP Grant to fund a court security officer position to provide enhanced security and victim safety during court cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence or stalking. Prior to the STOP Grant, we were unable to provide that service. We also use STOP funding to pay for a deputy to make welfare contacts to victims. During that specific contact with the victim, the deputy not only inquires about their well-being but also notifies them of the victim services we have in our community.

As mentioned above, our main use for funding has been to provide positions of service but we also set coordinated goals and recently put into place the Domestic Violence Lethality Assessment Tool. This tool provides direction for law enforcement and domestic violence services to initiate appropriate action based on the results of the screening process.

Because of the STOP Grant there is active collaboration in Pend Oreille County with victim services, prosecution and law enforcement to ensure a coordinated response for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking.

Like many rural communities, our budget is thin and we depend upon the STOP Grant to fund the positions of well-checks officer and court security officer; we intend to continue using the funds to fill those two positions. We expect those positions to continue filling the vital needs of victim protection while in court, well-check contact and to provide information on services in the community to victims.

Continued funding of the STOP Grant is vital for our success in meeting the needs of victims eighteen years and older from all social, racial, ethnic origin, disability and cultural backgrounds in Pend Oreille County.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Alan Botzheim
Pend Oreille County Sheriff
Washington State Supreme Court
Gender and Justice Commission

January 30, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville, Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 “N” Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

Subject: Support for the FFY2015 STOP Grant Funds to the Courts

Dear Ms. Neufville:

Sixteen years ago, the Washington State Supreme Court Gender and Justice Commission assumed responsibility for administering the STOP grant allocation to Washington Courts. Since then, the Commission has used funds to develop education sessions for judicial officers and court staff; provided scholarships to over 195 judicial officers and court staff to attend state and national training on domestic and sexual violence issues; trained 200 court interpreters in how to interpret in domestic and sexual violence cases; linked domestic violence advocates to female offenders returning to communities; and has addressed legislation on protection orders to name a few efforts. These funds continue to be vital in addressing these issues.

The U.S. Census Bureau estimates the population of Washington to be approximately 7.3 million as of July 1, 2016, a 10.8 percent increase since the 2010 U.S. Census. Female persons represent an estimated 50 percent of this population. The ethnic breakdown for Washington State is 80.3 percent White, 4.1 percent Black, 1.9 percent American Indian/Alaska Native, 8.4 percent Asian, 12.4 percent Hispanic or Latino, and 4.6 percent of persons reporting two or more races.\(^1\) Additionally, the state has 29 federally recognized tribes and two distinct geographic regions (Eastern and Western Washington) with over half the state considered to be in rural areas, which means available victim services are limited.

Furthermore, Washington State is a non-unified court system with two levels of trial courts. Superior courts are general jurisdiction courts funded primarily by the county governments and district and municipal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (CLJs) and funded by both counties and cities.

\(^1\) U.S. Census Bureau, 2016, http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/53,00
Ms. Nadine Neufville
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According to the 2015 Washington State Courts Annual Caseload\(^2\) reports, Superior Courts saw 2,315 felony sex crimes cases filed; 6,343 felony assault cases filed; 15,797 domestic violence cases filed; and 2,925 civil protection orders filed. In CLJs, 7,691 civil anti-harassment/stalking protection orders were filed; 1,755 domestic violence protection orders were filed; 73 sexual assault protection orders were filed; 3,388 full orders were granted, 271 were transferred to superior court, and 5,467 were denied or dismissed; 8,148 ex parte hearings were conducted; and 7,425 full order hearings were conducted.

These funds allow for Washington Courts to respond to and prioritize the development of sustainable services, programs, and practices in adjudicating domestic violence and sexual assault cases. During the present grant cycle and into the future grant cycles, the Commission and its partners identified areas to address:

- sentencing and monitoring practices in regards to batterer’s intervention programs;
- the value and use of risk assessments in decision-making during sentencing and reentry;
- collaboration and training related to addressing domestic violence in family law matters such as custody and visitation;
- culturally competent ways to respond to domestic violence and sexual assault in our immigrant and tribal populations;
- improving language access for sexual assault and domestic violence protection order proceedings;
- the need for a more integrated and responsive court in regards to sexual offense cases and civil cases involving sexual assault legal issues;
- the need for training for court line staff, who interact with victims/survivors regularly, on sexual assault basics and the impact of trauma on the brain and behavior;
- the need for coordination and communication in understanding the victim/survivor’s legal needs and where it may overlap between the criminal and civil components of the justice system; and
- multi-disciplinary training opportunities for systems- and community-based providers/entities allowing participants to connect, network, gain knowledge, and provide a common language and understanding on gender-based violence issues.

The continued need to ensure safety of victims of domestic violence and sexual assault requires a systemic solution. Thank you and those you work with for ensuring these funds are provided to the courts as it allows for our courts to make a positive difference.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Delostrinos
Manager, Supreme Court Commissions

\(^2\) [http://www.courts.wa.gov/caseload/](http://www.courts.wa.gov/caseload/)
January 30, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville
Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N. Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

RE: Support for Washington State STOP Grant Funds to the Courts

Dear Ms. Neufville:

The Washington State Supreme Court Gender and Justice Commission, through the Administrative Office of the Courts, assumed responsibility for administering the STOP grant allocation to Washington Courts 16 years ago. The STOP grant funds have enabled our courts to implement domestic violence and sexual assault programs, to make resources available within our courts, and to educate judicial officers and court staff.

Like many courts in the country, Washington courts are struggling during these challenging fiscal times. We know that funds are scarce and that every dollar needs to be used carefully and wisely. We are also aware that ensuring the safety of victims of domestic violence and sexual assault, and the fair adjudication of those cases, requires a systemic solution. We have therefore devoted STOP grant funds to developing statewide model policies and procedures related to these issues and to increasing communication and collaboration among judicial officers, court employees, and those served by the courts. We continue to address statewide needs, while offering assistance to local jurisdictions as they address local issues on domestic violence, stalking, and sexual assault. None of this would have been possible, and little of it could continue, without STOP grant funding.

For those reasons, I want to personally thank you, and those with whom you work, for ensuring that these funds are provided to the courts. Domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and teen violence are probably some of the most difficult cases for our judicial officers and require the most training for our judges and staff. Your commitment to helping the courts has made a positive difference.

Very truly yours,

Mary Fairhurst
Chief Justice
February 6, 2017

Ms. Nadine M. Neufville
Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N St., NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville,

The Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence (WSCADV) is pleased to provide this letter of support for the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy (OCVA) submission of application under the 2017 STOP Grant Program.

WSCADV is comprised of nearly 70 Member Programs who have a primary mission to serve victims of domestic violence in their communities. WSCADV is the leading voice for ending domestic violence in Washington State. We improve how communities respond to domestic violence and work to create a social intolerance for abuse through visionary work, engaging the public, and supporting our members.

We affirm that WSCADV has a long-standing collaborative working relationship with OCVA; both as recipients of state funding from their office as well as partners in statewide projects funded by the Office on Violence Against Women. We are in regular conversation with OCVA staff about trends and needs of domestic and sexual violence survivors and the victim advocacy programs who serve them. These conversations inform our work as well as OCVA’s.

We support OCVA’s application for funding which will support and strengthen critical services in our state, including enhancements for Tribal Programs to address STOP Grant crimes, strengthening culturally specific services, working to reduce the risk of domestic violence related homicides, and ensuring stable support for sexual assault services.

Please be in touch if you have any further questions about our support for OCVA’s application.

Sincerely,

Mette Earlywine
Director of Operations, WSCADV
mette@wscadv.org
(360) 586-1022 x 301
Washington Coalition Of Sexual Assault Programs
STOP Letter of Support

January 31, 2017

Washington Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs (WCSAP) herein provides this letter of support for the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy (OCVA) submission of application under the 2017 STOP Grant Program. We certify that, the coalition has been an involved partner in the development of this application.

WCSAP has a long collaborative working relationship with the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy, our state’s VAWA/VOCA funder. Over the long tenure of our relationship we have collaborated on numerous projects and engaged in a multitude of regular meaningful conversations about quality sexual assault victim service provision in Washington State. WCSAP, established in 1979, is a non-profit coalition that provides technical assistance, training, and support to the work of member sexual assault programs in efforts to improve services and policy response to victims of sexual assault.

We support OCVA’s application for funding which will support crime victim service programming, criminal investigation and other system responses here in our state. The entirety of Washington State will benefit from the application as funds would be distributed statewide to fund critical and lifesaving victim related services across the State.

Sincerely,

Andrea Piper-Wentland
Executive Director
Feb 1st, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville, Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N Street NE, Suite 10W. 121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville,

Refugee Women’s Alliance (ReWA) would like to express our support of the State of Washington’s application for STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program.

ReWA has been serving survivors of domestic violence and sexual assault in the refugee and immigrant communities for the past 32 years. The Domestic Violence Program provides comprehensive and culturally appropriate advocacy and support to immigrant and refugee victims of family violence including:

- Victim advocacy and support services in 18 languages
- Support groups for refugee and immigrant battered women and those at risk of becoming victims of domestic violence
- Community education and outreach to increase awareness of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking in the refugee and immigrant communities
- Consultation and educational training to service providers and law enforcement agencies

Most recently, the STOP grants help funds Cultural Specific Services for Adult Victims of Domestic Violence for the Russian, Romanian, and Ukrainian communities. Without this grant, there would be unmet needs and a large number of our clients would have nowhere else to turn. We hope you will continue to fund the State of Washington in order to support the most vulnerable survivors.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Carlin Yoophum
Domestic Violence Program Director, ReWA
February 16, 2017

Ms. Nadine Neufville, Acting Director
Office on Violence Against Women
145 N. Street, NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Neufville:

I am writing this letter in support of Washington State’s application for FY2017 Stop Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program funds. The Lummi Nation through its Victims of Crime Program addresses the needs and services of tribal people who are victims of intimate partner violence.

The Lummi Nation Victims of Crime Program receive annual funding to provide a half time legal advocate to victims of domestic violence. We support our mission through these STOP grant funds.

The mission of the Lummi Crime Victims Program was developed through recognition that too often the needs and rights of those victimized by crime have gone unrecognized and unmet. With this in mind, Lummi Victims of Crime Program was established to provide healing resources and support to crime victims and to help them uphold their legal rights. The program shall do so by providing culturally appropriate services, which contribute to the empowerment of victims and their families. While upholding victim rights, program staff shall also assist and support justice for the victims through successful prosecution of suspected offenders. Through direct client services, public education and active networking, the program shall contribute to an environment at Lummi which is less conducive to criminal activities.

We strongly support Washington State’s Application for the STOP funding. If this money is not provided the tribe’s risk having to cut funding to direct services provided to victims of domestic violence.

Best regards,

Nikki Finkbonner
Family Services Director
Attachment I - Consultation with Victim Services
(criminal justice agencies only)

State applications to the Office on Violence Against Women are required to show documentation that criminal justice agencies receiving STOP Grant funds have consulted with their local non-profit community-based victim service programs during the course of developing applications. This requirement is to ensure that proposed activities by criminal justice agencies are designed to promote the safety, confidentiality, and economic independence of victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and dating violence.

As verification that applicant has consulted with the local Community Sexual Assault Program (CSAP) and the Department of Social and Health Services Shelter Funded Domestic Violence Agency during the development of this application, this form must be signed by the Executive Director of the appropriate victim service provider(s).

The criminal justice agency must provide the signed form(s) to Amy Thorne at OCVA via fax (360.586.7176) or scanned and emailed to Amy Thorne at amy.thorne@commerce.wa.gov before the application can be approved by OCVA. In counties where the CSAP is separate from the DSHS shelter funded domestic violence agency, applicant can print two copies of this form to enable both Executive Directors to respond and sign.

APPLICATION FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AND/OR PROSECUTOR (as applicable):

Name of Criminal Justice Applicant

Victim Service Provider: Please answer the following questions, sign this form and return it to the applicant. Every effort should be made to resolve concerns prior to the applicant submitting to OCVA.

Did you participate in the development of this application?  ○ Yes  ○ No

Do you agree the proposed criminal justice activities promote the safety, confidentiality, and economic independence of victims?  ○ Yes  ○ No

If you do not agree the proposed activities are in the best interest of victims, have you been given the opportunity to provide feedback to the applicant?  ○ Yes  ○ No

If you provided feedback, are you satisfied that the application addresses issues you may have asked to be included?  ○ Yes  ○ No

If you answered "no" to any of the above, please provide details regarding the process and steps necessary to address concerns with the application.

Community Sexual Assault Program (CSAP) Name

Signature of Executive Director

Department of Social and Health Services Shelter Funded Domestic Violence Agency Name

Signature of Executive Director
Attachment J – Monitoring Documents

Pre-Grant Risk Assessment Form

Goods & Services Documentation Form

Program Risk Assessment Form

Program Monitoring Form
Attachment J - WA State Monitoring  
Pre-Grant Risk Assessment

OCVA Program: VAWA

Organization: 

Determined Risk: (Circle One)

LOW  HIGH

Date Completed: 

If High Risk, does need for services prevail over risk?

Staff: YES  NO

Grant Number: YES  NO

COMMENTS:

1. Does organization have five or more years experience providing the service and working with the specified population? 

   0 pts  10 pts

2. Has organization received funding from OCVA during the past two years? 

   0 pts  10 pts

3. Has organization been subject to formal corrective action, suspension or probation by OCVA during past two years? 

   10 pts  0 pts

4. Is proposed amount of grant $10,000 or more? 

   10 pts  0 pts

5. Has OCVA completed a risk assessment for this organization within the last two years? 

   0 pts  10 pts

6. Does the organization have the appropriate staffing, technical qualifications, and facilities (including subgrantor arrangements)? 

   0 pts  10 pts

7. Is the organization able to comply with the proposed or required performance schedule? 

   0 pts  10 pts

8. Does the organization have adequate administrative and financial capability for effective performance? 

   0 pts  10 pts

9. Is the organization otherwise qualified and eligible to receive a grant under applicable laws and regulations? 

   0 pts  10 pts

Total Points: 

Scale

Low = 0 to 10

High = 20 or more
## Attachment J - WA State Monitoring
### Invoice Documentation: Goods & Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Total bill amount</th>
<th>Total charged to STOP Grant F16-31103-XXX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total amount of charges:**

$ - $ - $ - 

Remember items listed must be **eligible**, proportionally charged, part of the application budget and match the attached invoice.

### APPROVED ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>APPROVED COSTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>$ 504.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies, Copies, Printing</td>
<td>$ 700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainings: registration, lodging, per diem, mileage, airfare, other travel costs</td>
<td>$ 2,200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ 3,404.00
## 2017 VAWA Program Risk Assessment

### AGENCY:

**Date of Program Risk Assessment:**

VAWA Program Staff:

**Monitoring Period:** →

**Programs:** →

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFY17</th>
<th>FFY17 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVLA</td>
<td>STOP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>How many months since last on-site visit or check-in? (program, fiscal or CCR meeting)</td>
<td>12 months or less</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 12 months</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Were concerns raised after the last visit?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>If there were concerns raised, were they addressed by the grantee satisfactorily?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Is data submitted in accordance with grant requirements?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Is data complete and accurate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Does the agency sub-contract for services?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>How many grantees-initiated amendments have been made during the past 12 months?</td>
<td>1 or less</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 or more</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Period: →</td>
<td>Programs: →</td>
<td>SFY17</td>
<td>FFY17 Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVLA</td>
<td>STOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>Have responses to OCVA correspondence and requests for information been timely and complete? (including application deadlines)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Almost Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rarely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th>Has there been prior history of compliance issues? (if the contractor has had any of the following actions, assign the points corresponding to the action)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correspondence (reminding of late vouchers, data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corrective Action (asking for more info, back-up, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspension or Probation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>How often has there been grantee-initiated communication, indicating a lack of understanding of grant requirements?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rarely = 2 or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequently = &gt;3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11</th>
<th>What is the total amount of this grant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000 or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;$25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>How would you rate their voucher history? (complying with voucher requirements including timelines, accuracy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent = no more than one in last 12 months with error or late</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Monitoring Period:

- **SFY17**
- **FFY17 Grant**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programs:</th>
<th>SFY17</th>
<th>FFY17 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVLA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

Good = three or less in last 12 months with error or late

Poor = more than three with errors or late in last 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>SFY17</th>
<th>FFY17 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency bill the grant monthly by 1/12th or quarterly by 1/4th?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any concerns about the quality or quantity of services being provided?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTAL PROGRAM RISK ASSESSMENT

- 11
- 11

### RANGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 16</td>
<td>Low – (1) Review back-up documentation of one budget line item for 25% of the grant invoices; and (2) Review grant deliverables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 - 23</td>
<td>Medium – (1) Review back-up documentation of all line items for 25% of the grant invoices; (2) Review grant deliverables; (3) Request a plan for compliance related to any performance deficiencies; and (4) Verify any action required from corrective action, if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 - 33</td>
<td>High – (1) Conduct on-site monitoring visit during the period of performance; (2) Review back-up documentation of all budget line items for each invoice until at least three consecutive invoices are accurate; (3) Request a plan for compliance related to any performance deficiencies; and (4) Verify any action required from corrective action, if applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum score is 11. Any score above 11 but less than 17, please subtract 1 point.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County:</th>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Date completed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Risk Assessment**
- Score: XX
- Primary areas of concern:

---

**SAM Current**
- Risk Assessment
- Primary areas of concern:

**Insurance Current**
- Risk Assessment
- Primary areas of concern:

**Civil Rights Training**
- Risk Level (Medium-Low)

**EEOP**
- Risk Level (Medium)

**Discrimination Finding**
- Risk Level

**Subgrants**
- (Multi-Discipline)
- Risk Level

**Other known technical assistance needs/performance concerns:**

---

**Monitoring Plan:**

- A complete program monitoring

- [ ] on-site community monitoring/planned date:

- [ ] courtesy visit/planned date:

- [ ] desk monitoring /planned date: ongoing monitoring of goods & services sheet and A-19 expense vouchers monthly

- [ ] other TA Activity /planned date: as needed

---

Supervisor Approval: ____________________________ / Date ____________________________