

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Department of Commerce				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>17-03-065</u> . Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).				
Title of rule and other identifying information:	,			
Chapter 365-196 WAC, Procedural Criteria for Adopting Comprehensive Plans and Development Regulations.				
Hearing location(s): Washington State Department of Commerce 1011 Plum Street SE, Building 5 Large Conference Room 307 Olympia, WA	Submit written comments to: Name: Scott Kuhta Address: 10 N. Post St., Suite 445 Spokane, WA 99201 e-mail: scott.kuhta@commerce.wa.gov by_5pm on August 23, 2017			
Olympia: Date: August 23, 2017 Time: 10 a.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities:  Contact :Scott Kuhta by August 10, 2017			
Date of intended adoption: September 22, 2017 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the effective date)				
amendments to reflect legislative changes to the Growth Manage chapter on the Voluntary Stewardship Program (RCW 36.70A.70 for 'agricultural activities', to describe the responsibility of count conducting a periodic review and update of comprehensive plans the Shoreline Management Program and the Voluntary Stewardsh agricultural activities in critical areas. Additionally, a housekeepi RCW 82.02.090. The rule update also includes a new section (W guidance for implementing the Voluntary Stewardship Program. following five rules were created and amended to reflect new or 365-196-580, WAC 365-196-610, WAC 365-196-830, WAC 365-196-830.	Supreme Court decisions pertaining to development regulations where agricultural activities take place. The proposal also adopts ment Act (GMA), which was amended in 2011 to include a 200). This includes amending rules to add the statutory definition ties enrolled in the Voluntary Stewardship Program when and development regulations, to clarify the relationship between hip Program, and to provide direction on the exemption of ing amendment is proposed to comply with updated definitions in VAC 365-196-832) within Chapter 365-196, to provide specific The proposal amends five rules and creates one new rule. The amended statutes and GMHB cases: WAC 365-196-200, WAC 5-196-832 (new section), and WAC 365-196-850.			
Chapter 36.70A.700, RCW 82.02.090, and to reflect recent GMHB cases and Supreme Court Decisions.  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 36.70A.050 and RCW 36.70A.190  Statute being implemented: Chapter 36.70A RCW and RCW 82.02.090.				
Is rule necessary because of a:  Federal Law?  Federal Court Decision?  State Court Decision?  If yes, CITATION:    Yes   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N	CODE REVISER USE ONLY  OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  DATE: July 17, 2017 TIME: 1:47 PM			
<b>DATE</b> July 17, 2017	WSR 17-15-084			
NAME (type or print) Jaime Rossman				
SIGNATURE				
TITLE Rules Coordinator				

Agency cor matters:	mments or recommenda	tions, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, er	nforcement, and fiscal
No Comment	s or Recommendations		
Name of pro	oponent: Washington State	e Department of Commerce	Private Public Governmental
Name of ag	ency personnel respons	sible for:	
	Name:	Office Location:	Phone:
Drafting	Scott Kuhta	10 N. Post Street, Suite 445, Spokane, WA 99201	(509) 795-6884
Implementation	on Scott Kuhta	10 N. Post Street, Suite 445, Spokane, WA 99201	(509) 795-6884
Enforcement.	No enforcement authorit	ty	( )
		pact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or red under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	or has a school district
☐ Yes.	Attach copy of small busing	ness economic impact statement or school district fiscal impa	ct statement.
A	A copy of the statement m Name: Address: phone fax ( ) e-mail	ay be obtained by contacting:	
Proposed ch guidance to not binding o cities may o	counties and cities regard on counties and cities. In r may not choose to revise	was prepared.  tory requirements that impose no direct obligations. Chapter 3 ding implementation of the GMA. Counties and cities consider choosing how to implement the GMA, using the guidance in the their comprehensive plans, development regulations, and of tive and if the local government does not follow the rules, the	these rules, but they are ne rules, counties and ther local land use
		stablish standards for the issuance of a license.	y are not easyest to any
rules do not and regulation	directly regulate any busi ons. Thus, it can be deter	overnments may result in some impacts to many types and si nesses. Instead, they provide guidance to local governments mined that the rules do not impose more than minor costs on ct statement is not required.	in developing their plans
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required	under RCW 34.05.328?	
☐ Yes	A preliminary cost-bener Name: Address:	fit analysis may be obtained by contacting:	
	phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail		
⊠ No: F	Please explain:		
		listed as one of the agencies to which this section applies and	

The Department of Commerce is not listed as one of the agencies to which this section applies and does not wish to make this section voluntarily applicable to the rule per subsection (5)(a)(ii). Therefore, unless subsection (5)(a)(ii) is invoked by the joint administrative rules review committee after the filing of the CR-102, no cost benefit analysis needs to be prepared for this rule.