



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY,
TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The
GO
REPORT 2006:
*An Analysis of Local Government
Outstanding General Obligation Debt*

DECEMBER 2007

If you need this publication in an alternate format, please call the Bond Users Clearinghouse at 360-725-5021. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341 for TTY.

THE GO REPORT 2006:

An Analysis of Local Government Outstanding General Obligation Debt

By Liz Green-Taylor
Bond Users Clearinghouse
Community Development Programs
December 2007

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development
Juli Wilkerson, Director
Marijo Olson, Acting Assistant Director, Local Government Division

906 Columbia Street SW – Post Office Box 42525
Olympia, Washington 98504-2525
www.cted.wa.gov/buc

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTES & CAVEATS	
Reading the Data	1
COUNTIES	
2006 General Comparisons	2
2006 Debt-to-Population & Debt-to-Valuation Ratios – Top 10 Counties	2
2006 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 20 Counties	3
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail	4
GO Debt Trends 2002-2006	6
CITIES/TOWNS	
2006 General Comparisons	8
2006 Debt-to-Population & Debt-to-Valuation Ratios – Top 10 Cities/Towns	8
2006 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 25 Cities/Towns	9
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail	10
GO Debt Trends 2002-2006	19
SCHOOL DISTRICTS	
2006 General Comparisons	26
2006 Debt-to-Valuation – Top 10 School Districts & Assessed Valuation Distribution	26
2006 Non-voted, Voted, & Total GO Debt – Top 20 School Districts	27
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail	28
GO Debt Trends 2002-2006	38
JUNIOR TAXING DISTRICTS	
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Port Districts	46
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Hospital Districts	50
2006 GO Debt Capacity Detail – Library Districts	53
BOND USERS CLEARINGHOUSE SERVICES	55

The GO Report 2006 is published by
The Bond Users Clearinghouse
 Washington State Department of
 Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED)
 906 Columbia Street SW, PO Box 42525
 Olympia, WA 98504-2525

Internet: www.cted.wa.gov/buc

Program Manager: Liz Green-Taylor
 360/725-5021 or buc@cted.wa.gov

Administrative Assistant: Vernita Shye
 360/725-3023 or vernitas@cted.wa.gov

Statutory Authority: The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 39.44 was amended in 1990 as follows:

“Each local government that issues any type of bond shall make a report annually to (the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development) that includes a summary of all the outstanding bonds of the local government as of the first day of January in that year. Such report shall distinguish the outstanding bond issues on the basis of the type of bond, as defined in RCW 39.44.200, and shall report the local government’s outstanding indebtedness compared to any applicable limitations on indebtedness, including RCW 35.42.200, 39.30.010, and 39.36.020.”

We make all efforts to ensure the accuracy of the data, but it has not been audited and should be read with caution. CTED assumes no liability for any inaccuracies.

CTED cannot guarantee full compliance with state reporting requirements, so debt listings may not be exhaustive. If data from a jurisdiction is not listed, no assumptions should be made about its debt status.

NOTES & CAVEATS

Questionnaires were mailed in July 2006 to 776 local jurisdictions, and a follow-up letter was later sent to non-respondents. The overall response rate was an acceptable 93 percent.

Counties, school districts, cities, and “other jurisdictions” were asked slightly different questions reflecting the types of debt instruments available to them. However, the following data was requested from everyone:

- › Non-voted (or councilmanic) general obligation (GO) debt. This includes bonds as well as bond- and grant-anticipation notes, but *not* intergovernmental loan agreements, e.g., with the Public Works Trust Fund, Dept. of Ecology, or U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. This category does include certificates of participation issued by the state treasurer on behalf of a jurisdiction.

- › Voter-approved GO debt, such as bonds, anticipation notes, lease-purchase agreements, and installment sales contracts.

- › The total cash, investments and taxes receivable in debt service funds for non-voted and for voter-approved debt.

The surveys differed mainly regarding voter-approved debt categories. School districts distinguished between non-capital and capital outlays; cities itemized general, utilities, and parks debt; and other jurisdictions provided general voter-approved GO debt information.

As in previous years, for 2006 only the principal amount of debt was requested, and jurisdictions were not required to report less than \$10,000 for any debt category. Those jurisdictions that did not have any outstanding debt were asked to return a survey so marked.

The figures are for the 2006 calendar year,

2006 SURVEY RESPONSE RATE			
Jurisdiction Type	No. of Surveys Sent	No. of Responses	Response Rate (%)
Cities/Towns	282	251	89%
Counties	39	39	100%
Library Districts	55	43	78%
Hospital Districts	34	28	82%
Ports	69	63	91%
Schools	297	274	92%
Totals:	776	698	93%

ending on December 31, 2006, for all jurisdictions except school districts. For school districts, the survey covers the 2005-2006 school year, beginning on September 1, 2005 and ending on August 31, 2006. Debt capacity in this report represents the statutory – not constitutional – limitations on local governments.

This year, reports are included on all of the smaller jurisdictions surveyed, in addition to cities, counties, and school districts.

Comparative tables include only 2006 data for jurisdictions that submitted surveys in time for data analysis. Jurisdictions not listed in the 2006 comparative tables did not respond to the survey. Blanks in other tables indicate either no response was received for that year or there was no assessed valuation data available. Junior taxing districts whose data was provided by counties via Schedule 09 forms, which do not list all funds needed to calculate debt capacity figures, are also not included.

We extend a hearty thank you to the jurisdictions who participated in this year’s survey.

READING THE DATA

- › In the tables \$0 means no GO debt was reported in a given category. A blank space indicates a survey was not submitted, was incorrect and unusable, or no assessed valuation data was available with which to calculate debt capacity.

- › The cluster analysis shown in the *General Comparisons* tables (pages 2, 8 and 26) ranks jurisdictions by assessed valuation because it was deemed more useful in analyzing debt than the more typical approach, which is to group by population.

- › Assessed valuation data is from the state Department of Revenue; population data is from the Office of Financial Management.



- › For space reasons, “AV” refers to a jurisdiction’s assessed valuation, and the term “cities” has often been used generically to refer to both cities and towns.

- › This year the *GO Debt Capacity Detail* tables are broken out by jurisdiction type. Note that the content of some columns varies by jurisdiction type because of differing categories of debt. The calculations used to determine GO debt total figures and statutory capacity percentages are listed near the top of each table page. Negative subtotals – which represent positive cashflow – were converted to \$0, since this report only measures debt. Italicized columns represent figures subtracted from debt subtotal columns.

- › Some tables refer to the “% of Cap.” This means the percentage of a jurisdiction’s statutory GO debt capacity being used. These limits may be higher than what is needed to maintain a good credit rating, according to *A Debt Primer for Washington’s Cities and Towns*. This useful report was published in 1994 (No. 30) by the Municipal Research & Services Center of Washington (<http://www.mrsc.org/>).

COUNTIES, 2006

* Group average rather than total.




GENERAL COMPARISONS Ranked by assessed valuation and clustered in three groups


County Name / Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% Cap.	Population	Assessed Valuation (AV)	Debt / Pop.	Debt / AV	Debt % of Total	Pop. % Total	AV % Total
King	\$1,706,504,340	23%	1,861,300	\$297,126,131,614	\$917	0.57%	60.82%	28.69%	40.17%
Snohomish	\$374,780,072	18%	686,300	\$84,124,564,644	\$546	0.45%	13.36%	10.58%	11.37%
Pierce	\$146,592,018	7%	790,500	\$78,973,985,728	\$185	0.19%	5.22%	12.18%	10.68%
Clark	\$129,645,128	12%	415,000	\$42,831,709,397	\$312	0.30%	4.62%	6.40%	5.79%
Spokane	\$0	0%	451,200	\$31,028,465,982	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	6.95%	4.19%
Kitsap	\$113,642,272	16%	244,800	\$28,579,487,595	\$464	0.40%	4.05%	3.77%	3.86%
Thurston	\$40,711,112	7%	238,000	\$23,474,474,955	\$171	0.17%	1.45%	3.67%	3.17%
Whatcom	\$10,777,368	2%	188,300	\$20,279,044,466	\$57	0.05%	0.38%	2.90%	2.74%
Skagit	\$22,265,000	6%	115,300	\$14,004,423,861	\$193	0.16%	0.79%	1.78%	1.89%
High Valuation	\$2,544,917,310	*16%	4,990,700	\$620,422,288,242	*\$510	*0.41%	90.71%	76.92%	83.88%
Yakima	\$41,189,219	13%	234,200	\$12,599,607,728	\$176	0.33%	1.47%	3.61%	1.70%
Island	\$13,346,360	4%	78,400	\$12,452,312,810	\$170	0.11%	0.48%	1.21%	1.68%
Benton	\$33,808,957	13%	162,900	\$10,759,109,422	\$208	0.31%	1.21%	2.51%	1.45%
Cowlitz	\$20,978,439	11%	97,800	\$7,702,986,076	\$215	0.27%	0.75%	1.51%	1.04%
Clallam	\$0	0%	68,500	\$7,477,997,284	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.06%	1.01%
San Juan	\$5,555,676	4%	15,900	\$6,228,460,708	\$349	0.09%	0.20%	0.25%	0.84%
Chelan	\$14,153,958	9%	71,200	\$5,978,934,852	\$199	0.24%	0.50%	1.10%	0.81%
Lewis	\$0	0%	74,100	\$5,795,011,389	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%	0.78%
Mason	\$875,000	1%	54,600	\$5,109,842,735	\$16	0.02%	0.03%	0.84%	0.69%
Grant	\$0	0%	82,500	\$4,955,082,996	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	1.27%	0.67%
Grays Harbor	\$9,660,000	9%	70,800	\$4,541,366,189	\$136	0.21%	0.34%	1.09%	0.61%
Kittitas	\$461,079	0%	38,300	\$3,936,776,085	\$12	0.01%	0.02%	0.59%	0.53%
Jefferson	\$7,339,517	8%	28,600	\$3,892,893,177	\$257	0.19%	0.26%	0.44%	0.53%
Walla Walla	\$4,378,710	5%	58,300	\$3,806,867,108	\$75	0.12%	0.16%	0.90%	0.51%
Medium Valuation	\$151,746,916	*6%	1,136,100	\$95,237,248,559	*\$134	*0.16%	5.41%	17.51%	12.88%
Franklin	\$24,063,894	26%	67,400	\$3,635,500,681	\$357	0.66%	0.86%	1.04%	0.49%
Stevens	\$5,512,971	8%	43,000	\$2,696,096,594	\$128	0.20%	0.20%	0.66%	0.36%
Okanogan	\$9,010,384	13%	39,800	\$2,681,129,587	\$226	0.34%	0.32%	0.61%	0.36%
Douglas	\$9,987,616	16%	36,300	\$2,546,976,737	\$275	0.39%	0.36%	0.56%	0.34%
Whitman	\$18,901,865	33%	42,700	\$2,258,939,073	\$443	0.84%	0.67%	0.66%	0.31%
Pacific	\$4,595,122	9%	21,600	\$1,938,900,492	\$213	0.24%	0.16%	0.33%	0.26%
Klickitat	\$10,782,714	24%	19,900	\$1,788,543,509	\$542	0.60%	0.38%	0.31%	0.24%
Adams	\$2,733,555	9%	17,600	\$1,232,505,450	\$155	0.22%	0.10%	0.27%	0.17%
Skamania	\$0	0%	10,700	\$1,090,330,810	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%	0.15%
Asotin	\$20,729,599	80%	21,300	\$1,041,964,123	\$973	1.99%	0.74%	0.33%	0.14%
Pend Oreille	\$394,482	2%	12,600	\$892,013,460	\$31	0.04%	0.01%	0.19%	0.12%
Lincoln	\$72,149	0%	10,300	\$889,314,438	\$7	0.01%	0.00%	0.16%	0.12%
Ferry	\$72,149	1%	7,550	\$419,751,293	\$10	0.02%	0.00%	0.12%	0.06%
Columbia	\$0	0%	4,100	\$389,870,111	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.05%
Wahkiakum	\$0	0%	4,000	\$352,372,020	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.05%
Garfield	\$2,080,748	48%	2,350	\$173,540,301	\$885	1.20%	0.07%	0.04%	0.02%
Low Valuation	\$108,937,248	*18%	361,200	\$24,027,748,679	*\$302	*0.45%	3.88%	5.57%	3.25%
Totals	\$2,805,601,473	*15%	6,488,000	\$739,687,285,480	*\$432	*0.38%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

COUNTIES, 2006 *Non-voted, voted, and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity.*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$2,464,250,683



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$341,350,790



All GO Debt
Total: \$2,805,601,473



NON-VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Non-voted GO Debt	% of Non-voted Capacity
Klickitat	Low	\$10,782,714	40%
Asotin	Low	\$5,139,806	33%
King	High	\$1,420,371,151	32%
Snohomish	High	\$374,780,072	30%
Kitsap	High	\$113,642,272	27%
Douglas	Low	\$9,987,616	26%
Franklin	Low	\$13,454,365	25%
Okanogan	Low	\$9,010,384	22%
Benton	Medium	\$33,808,957	21%
Clark	High	\$129,645,128	20%
Yakima	Medium	\$37,204,596	20%
Cowlitz	Medium	\$20,978,439	18%
Chelan	Medium	\$14,153,958	16%
Adams	Low	\$2,733,555	15%
Grays Harbor	Medium	\$9,660,000	14%
Stevens	Low	\$5,512,971	14%
Jefferson	Medium	\$7,339,517	13%
Pierce	High	\$146,592,018	12%
Thurston	High	\$40,711,112	12%
Skagit	High	\$22,265,000	11%

VOTED GO DEBT, ALL COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Voted GO Debt	% of Voted Capacity
Asotin	Low	\$15,589,793	60%
Garfield	Low	\$2,080,748	48%
Whitman	Low	\$17,467,445	31%
Franklin	Low	\$10,609,528	12%
Pacific	Low	\$4,309,202	9%
King	High	\$286,133,189	4%
Yakima	Medium	\$3,984,623	1%
Walla Walla	Medium	\$1,176,262	1%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES			
County Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
Asotin	Low	\$20,729,599	80%
Garfield	Low	\$2,080,748	48%
Whitman	Low	\$18,901,865	33%
Franklin	Low	\$24,063,894	26%
Klickitat	Low	\$10,782,714	24%
King	High	\$1,706,504,340	23%
Snohomish	High	\$374,780,072	18%
Kitsap	High	\$113,642,272	16%
Douglas	Low	\$9,987,616	16%
Okanogan	Low	\$9,010,384	13%
Yakima	Medium	\$41,189,219	13%
Benton	Medium	\$33,808,957	13%
Clark	High	\$129,645,128	12%
Cowlitz	Medium	\$20,978,439	11%
Pacific	Low	\$4,595,122	9%
Chelan	Medium	\$14,153,958	9%
Adams	Low	\$2,733,555	9%
Grays Harbor	Medium	\$9,660,000	9%
Stevens	Low	\$5,512,971	8%
Jefferson	Medium	\$7,339,517	8%

COUNTIES, 2006 GO DEBT CAPACITY DETAIL *Sorted alphabetically*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted Svc Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur Svc Funds</i>	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO Debt	I. <i>Voted Svc Funds</i>	J. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	K. % of Cap.	L. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	M. % of Cap.	N. Revenue Debt	O. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015) Voted GO (J) = H-I; Percentage of Voted Capacity (Col. K) = J / (A * .025) Total GO debt (Col. L) = F+J; Percentage of Total Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .025)</i>															
Adams County	\$1,232,505,450	\$2,733,555	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,733,555	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,733,555	9%	\$0	\$0
Asotin County	\$1,041,964,123	\$5,160,276	\$58,689	\$39,181	\$962	\$5,139,806	33%	\$16,165,000	\$575,207	\$15,589,793	60%	\$20,729,599	80%	\$846,099	\$0
Benton County	\$10,759,109,422	\$36,380,000	\$2,571,043	\$0	\$0	\$33,808,957	21%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$33,808,957	13%	\$0	\$1,060,000
Chelan County	\$5,978,934,852	\$14,153,958	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,153,958	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$14,153,958	9%	\$0	\$0
Clallam County	\$7,477,997,284	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Clark County	\$42,831,709,397	\$142,675,000	\$27,934,120	\$14,904,248	\$0	\$129,645,128	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$129,645,128	12%	\$24,950,000	\$228,954
Columbia County	\$389,870,111	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Cowlitz County	\$7,702,986,076	\$21,225,000	\$246,561	\$0	\$0	\$20,978,439	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,978,439	11%	\$41,185,000	\$0
Douglas County	\$2,546,976,737	\$10,211,444	\$680,866	\$457,038	\$0	\$9,987,616	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,987,616	16%	\$0	\$0
Ferry County	\$419,751,293	\$72,149	\$0	\$172,788	\$172,788	\$72,149	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$72,149	1%	\$0	\$0
Franklin County	\$3,635,500,681	\$13,795,000	\$362,783	\$22,148	\$0	\$13,454,365	25%	\$10,740,000	\$130,472	\$10,609,528	12%	\$24,063,894	26%	\$1,561,549	\$51,153
Garfield County	\$173,540,301	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,118,000	\$37,252	\$2,080,748	48%	\$2,080,748	48%	\$0	\$0
Grant County	\$4,955,082,996	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$10,497	\$0
Grays Harbor County	\$4,541,366,189	\$9,660,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,660,000	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,660,000	9%	\$0	\$1,779,857
Island County	\$12,452,312,810	\$13,330,000	\$0	\$16,360	\$0	\$13,346,360	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$13,346,360	4%	\$929,295	\$0
Jefferson County	\$3,892,893,177	\$4,820,000	\$0	\$2,519,517	\$0	\$7,339,517	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,339,517	8%	\$0	\$10,675
King County	\$297,126,131,614	\$1,212,718,008	\$63,186,625	\$270,839,768	\$0	\$1,420,371,151	32%	\$300,110,000	\$13,976,811	\$286,133,189	4%	\$1,706,504,340	23%	\$1,621,338,552	\$15,000
Kitsap County	\$28,579,487,595	\$114,675,000	\$1,032,728	\$5,330,501	\$5,330,501	\$113,642,272	27%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$113,642,272	16%	\$32,806,760	\$65,000
Kittitas County	\$3,936,776,085	\$0	\$0	\$461,079	\$0	\$461,079	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$461,079	0%	\$3,550,472	\$455,000
Klickitat County	\$1,788,543,509	\$2,420,000	\$0	\$8,362,714	\$0	\$10,782,714	40%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,782,714	24%	\$0	\$0
Lewis County	\$5,795,011,389	\$22,940,000	\$22,940,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Lincoln County	\$889,314,438	\$72,149	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$72,149	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$72,149	0%	\$0	\$0







	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted Svc Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur Svc Funds</i>	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO Debt	I. <i>Voted Svc Funds</i>	J. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	K. % of Cap.	L. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	M. % of Cap.	N. Revenue Debt	O. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015) Voted GO (J) = H-I; Percentage of Voted Capacity (Col. K) = J / (A * .025) Total GO debt (Col. L) = F+J; Percentage of Total Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .025)</i>															
Mason County	\$5,109,842,735	\$875,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$875,000	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$875,000	1%	\$0	\$5,101,414
Okanogan County	\$2,681,129,587	\$9,010,384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,010,384	22%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,010,384	13%	\$5,206,966	\$0
Pacific County	\$1,938,900,492	\$285,920	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$285,920	1%	\$4,413,027	\$103,825	\$4,309,202	9%	\$4,595,122	9%	\$0	\$485,527
Pend Oreille County	\$892,013,460	\$394,482	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$394,482	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$394,482	2%	\$0	\$0
Pierce County	\$78,973,985,728	\$129,079,638	\$1,370,620	\$18,883,000	\$0	\$146,592,018	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$146,592,018	7%	\$19,575,386	\$0
San Juan County	\$6,228,460,708	\$6,300,000	\$744,324	\$0	\$0	\$5,555,676	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,555,676	4%	\$0	\$0
Skagit County	\$14,004,423,861	\$22,265,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,265,000	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$22,265,000	6%	\$0	\$0
Skamania County	\$1,090,330,810	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Snohomish County	\$84,124,564,644	\$387,866,735	\$14,806,113	\$1,719,450	\$0	\$374,780,072	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$374,780,072	18%	\$0	\$1,210,000
Spokane County	\$31,028,465,982	\$51,781,444	\$51,781,444	\$7,814,409	\$7,814,409	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,577,756
Stevens County	\$2,696,096,594	\$6,740,231	\$1,227,260	\$248,874	\$248,874	\$5,512,971	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,512,971	8%	\$0	\$0
Thurston County	\$23,474,474,955	\$39,758,807	\$36,833	\$989,138	\$0	\$40,711,112	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$40,711,112	7%	\$2,572,860	\$10,935,000
Wahkiakum County	\$352,372,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Walla Walla County	\$3,806,867,108	\$3,202,448	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,202,448	6%	\$1,225,000	\$48,738	\$1,176,262	1%	\$4,378,710	5%	\$0	\$0
Whatcom County	\$20,279,044,466	\$10,115,000	\$0	\$662,368	\$0	\$10,777,368	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,777,368	2%	\$0	\$0
Whitman County	\$2,258,939,073	\$1,438,811	\$4,391	\$0	\$0	\$1,434,420	4%	\$17,467,445	\$0	\$17,467,445	31%	\$18,901,865	33%	\$16,518,788	\$0
Yakima County	\$12,599,607,728	\$37,330,000	\$125,404	\$1,392,516	\$1,392,516	\$37,204,596	20%	\$4,235,000	\$250,377	\$3,984,623	1%	\$41,189,219	13%	\$13,778,284	\$166,000

COUNTIES, GO DEBT TRENDS 2002-2006 *Representative Counties*

Kitsap County (*High-Valuation Cluster*)



Chelan County (*Medium-Valuation Cluster*)

Douglas County (*Low-Valuation Cluster*)



COUNTIES, GO DEBT TRENDS 2002-2006 *Sorted alphabetically*

King County (High-Valuation Cluster)





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
County Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Adams	3%	3%	3%	9%	9%
Asotin	67%	65%	91%	81%	80%
Benton	15%	15%	13%	12%	13%
Chelan	10%	12%	13%	11%	9%
Clallam	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Clark	15%	14%	21%	18%	12%
Columbia	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cowlitz	36%	12%	13%	14%	11%
Douglas	11%	19%	13%	14%	16%
Ferry	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Franklin	43%	37%	35%	15%	26%
Garfield	0%	73%	67%	60%	48%
Grant		0%	0%	0%	0%
Grays Harbor	0%	11%	12%	9%	9%
Island	39%	4%	6%	11%	4%
Jefferson	3%	12%	11%	9%	8%
King	31%	30%	29%	28%	23%
Kitsap	20%	23%	23%	18%	16%
Kittitas	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Klickitat	1%	1%	0%	6%	24%
Lewis	11%	20%	9%	0%	0%
Lincoln	4%	4%	4%	3%	0%
Mason	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Okanogan	9%	8%	13%	11%	13%
Pacific	16%	17%	14%	12%	9%
Pend Oreille	6%	5%	4%	3%	2%
Pierce	4%	4%	4%	5%	7%
San Juan	8%	7%	8%	7%	4%
Skagit	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%
Skamania	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Snohomish	22%	24%	21%	20%	18%
Spokane	10%	13%	11%	0%	0%
Stevens	19%	10%	7%	6%	8%
Thurston	10%	9%	10%	9%	7%
Wahkiakum	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Walla Walla		2%	2%	2%	5%
Whatcom	5%	4%	4%	3%	2%
Whitman	4%	21%	20%	22%	33%
Yakima	23%	20%	18%	15%	13%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006			
\$794,587	\$759,057	\$754,477	\$2,811,705	\$2,733,555	244%	11%	
\$14,121,890	\$14,113,956	\$20,213,236	\$19,193,336	\$20,729,599	47%	24%	
\$31,282,000	\$32,809,778	\$31,891,725	\$30,735,000	\$33,808,957	8%	33%	
\$11,971,011	\$14,308,078	\$16,969,480	\$15,589,502	\$14,153,958	18%	25%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		76%	
\$95,320,000	\$94,048,523	\$155,940,167	\$154,576,056	\$129,645,128	36%	66%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		47%	
\$60,959,497	\$20,677,449	\$21,020,876	\$23,410,776	\$20,978,439	-66%	15%	
\$5,167,168	\$9,042,257	\$6,679,689	\$7,385,204	\$9,987,616	93%	35%	
\$90,934	\$86,304	\$81,489	\$77,938	\$72,149	-21%	19%	
\$25,645,854	\$23,783,653	\$25,849,402	\$12,608,266	\$24,063,894	-6%	51%	
\$0	\$2,530,000	\$2,380,000	\$2,424,909	\$2,080,748		26%	
\$200,892	\$10,865,000	\$12,260,235	\$10,080,000	\$9,660,000	4709%	21%	
\$69,641,989	\$7,406,358	\$12,617,082	\$26,193,692	\$13,346,360	-81%	76%	
\$2,025,000	\$8,950,371	\$8,430,701	\$7,895,529	\$7,339,517	262%	43%	
\$1,761,828,374	\$1,761,828,374	\$1,790,720,662	\$1,890,427,549	\$1,706,504,340	-3%	33%	
\$83,228,859	\$98,684,411	\$109,641,719	\$103,655,000	\$113,642,272	37%	73%	
\$2,427,182	\$0	\$140,549	\$283,856	\$461,079	-81%	56%	
\$279,536	\$218,939	\$155,621	\$2,585,000	\$10,782,714	3757%	23%	
\$13,005,921	\$25,052,276	\$11,560,000	\$0	\$0	-100%	27%	
\$820,933	\$764,303	\$753,485	\$717,626	\$72,149	-91%	15%	
\$1,330,000	\$1,225,000	\$1,115,000	\$1,000,000	\$875,000	-34%	32%	
\$4,941,611	\$4,496,000	\$6,985,000	\$6,425,000	\$9,010,384	82%	27%	
\$6,212,080	\$6,731,952	\$5,585,174	\$4,898,630	\$4,595,122	-26%	23%	
\$1,098,684	\$905,166	\$705,908	\$628,031	\$394,482	-64%	31%	
\$50,835,620	\$52,246,101	\$52,204,208	\$86,825,955	\$146,592,018	188%	70%	
\$8,366,679	\$7,633,743	\$9,806,560	\$9,127,129	\$5,555,676	-34%	51%	
\$16,965,000	\$18,380,000	\$17,185,000	\$16,105,000	\$22,265,000	31%	54%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		42%	
\$271,573,875	\$325,352,999	\$316,483,137	\$336,512,783	\$374,780,072	38%	71%	
\$53,419,293	\$69,337,415	\$63,302,440	\$0	\$0	-100%	44%	
\$10,192,048	\$5,238,269	\$3,978,728	\$3,252,258	\$5,512,971	-46%	26%	
\$35,259,404	\$34,610,122	\$43,434,499	\$43,451,560	\$40,711,112	15%	66%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		37%	
	\$1,907,709	\$1,662,665	\$1,444,355	\$4,378,710			
\$15,846,403	\$14,069,972	\$13,214,516	\$12,120,494	\$10,777,368	-32%	61%	
\$1,989,617	\$9,863,591	\$9,402,415	\$10,987,567	\$18,901,865	850%	23%	
\$59,464,104	\$52,514,402	\$49,017,230	\$45,132,431	\$41,189,219	-31%	24%	

CITIES/TOWNS, 2006


* Group average rather than total.
 Non-reporting cities and towns not included in *General Comparisons* list and overall averages.




Jurisdiction Name / Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% Cap.	Population	Assessed Valuation (AV)	Debt / Pop.	Debt / AV	Debt % of Total	Pop. % Total	AV % Total
Seattle, City of	\$1,120,846,000	14%	586,200	\$105,567,758,759	\$1,912.05	1.06%	41.99%	16%	23%
Bellevue, City of	\$187,122,939	9%	118,100	\$26,588,886,094	\$1,584.44	0.70%	7.01%	3%	6%
Tacoma, City of	\$150,370,155	11%	201,700	\$18,731,712,628	\$745.51	0.80%	5.63%	5%	4%
Vancouver, City of	\$102,310,858	9%	160,800	\$14,735,048,728	\$636.26	0.69%	3.83%	4%	3%
Spokane, City of	\$108,951,890	12%	202,900	\$12,629,678,715	\$536.97	0.86%	4.08%	5%	3%
High Valuation	\$1,669,601,842	*14%	1,269,700	\$178,253,084,924	*\$1,314.96	*0.94%	62.55%	34%	39%
Everett, City of	\$26,353,424	3%	101,800	\$11,719,014,102	\$258.87	0.22%	0.99%	3%	3%
Redmond, City of	\$42,260,469	5%	50,680	\$11,150,432,138	\$833.87	0.38%	1.58%	1%	2%
Kent, City of	\$95,678,451	12%	86,660	\$10,224,279,148	\$1,104.07	0.94%	3.58%	2%	2%
Kirkland, City of	\$13,555,756	2%	47,890	\$9,865,240,144	\$283.06	0.14%	0.51%	1%	2%
Renton, City of	\$44,379,133	7%	60,290	\$8,332,572,160	\$736.09	0.53%	1.66%	2%	2%
Federal Way, City of	\$22,652,202	4%	87,390	\$7,962,160,910	\$259.21	0.28%	0.85%	2%	2%
Mercer Island, City of	\$5,108,726	1%	22,380	\$7,839,716,491	\$228.27	0.07%	0.19%	1%	2%
Sammamish, City of	\$3,534,228	1%	40,260	\$7,702,780,530	\$87.79	0.05%	0.13%	1%	2%
Bellingham, City of	\$34,170,337	7%	75,220	\$6,868,648,539	\$454.27	0.50%	1.28%	2%	2%
Edmonds, City of	\$28,084,433	6%	40,560	\$6,612,373,915	\$692.42	0.42%	1.05%	1%	1%
Shoreline, City of	\$18,795,000	4%	53,190	\$6,521,333,983	\$353.36	0.29%	0.70%	1%	1%
Auburn, City of	\$14,537,342	3%	50,470	\$5,759,528,241	\$288.04	0.25%	0.54%	1%	1%
Bothell, City of	\$5,851,939	1%	32,400	\$5,659,431,202	\$180.62	0.10%	0.22%	1%	1%
Bainbridge Island, City of	\$23,580,000	6%	23,080	\$5,656,139,011	\$1,021.66	0.42%	0.88%	1%	1%
Lakewood, City of	\$443,000	0%	58,950	\$5,147,340,781	\$7.51	0.01%	0.02%	2%	1%
Olympia, City of	\$5,351,571	1%	44,460	\$4,882,792,324	\$120.37	0.11%	0.20%	1%	1%
Lynnwood, City of	\$7,371,446	2%	35,490	\$4,625,214,437	\$207.70	0.16%	0.28%	1%	1%
Yakima, City of	\$17,660,042	5%	82,940	\$4,586,923,853	\$212.93	0.39%	0.66%	2%	1%
Issaquah, City of	\$37,351,601	11%	24,710	\$4,416,997,927	\$1,511.60	0.85%	1.40%	1%	1%
SeaTac, City of	\$6,012,361	2%	25,530	\$4,084,571,118	\$235.50	0.15%	0.23%	1%	1%
Puyallup, City of	\$32,925,595	11%	36,790	\$4,047,400,986	\$894.96	0.81%	1.23%	1%	1%
Tukwila, City of	\$19,947,496	7%	18,000	\$3,931,150,961	\$1,108.19	0.51%	0.75%	0%	1%
Kennewick, City of	\$26,407,021	10%	62,520	\$3,688,842,414	\$422.38	0.72%	0.99%	2%	1%
Lacey, City of	\$17,440,096	7%	35,870	\$3,530,872,203	\$486.20	0.49%	0.65%	1%	1%
Richland, City of	\$21,832,487	8%	45,070	\$3,501,040,508	\$484.41	0.62%	0.82%	1%	1%
Mukilteo, City of	\$0	0%	19,940	\$3,444,579,433	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1%	1%
Burien, City of	\$14,656,255	6%	31,410	\$3,437,094,991	\$466.61	0.43%	0.55%	1%	1%
Marysville, City of	\$13,763,143	6%	36,210	\$3,256,972,155	\$380.09	0.42%	0.52%	1%	1%
University Place, City of	\$18,895,526	8%	31,300	\$3,198,540,115	\$603.69	0.59%	0.71%	1%	1%
Camas, City of	\$9,126,036	4%	16,280	\$2,979,071,028	\$560.57	0.31%	0.34%	0%	1%
Bremerton, City of	\$28,805,230	14%	35,810	\$2,692,388,791	\$804.39	1.07%	1.08%	1%	1%
Mill Creek, City of	\$0	0%	17,620	\$2,596,996,804	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0%	1%
Des Moines, City of	\$6,758,283	3%	29,090	\$2,590,864,729	\$232.32	0.26%	0.25%	1%	1%
Anacortes, City of	\$6,054,814	3%	16,400	\$2,518,441,447	\$369.20	0.24%	0.23%	0%	1%
Medium Valuation	\$669,343,443	*5%	1,476,660	\$185,031,747,519	*\$453.28	*0.36%	25.08%	39%	41%
Low Valuation	\$330,148,621	*5%	1,030,360	\$88,401,711,073	*\$320.42	*0.37%	12.37%	27%	20%
Total Cities/Towns	\$2,669,093,906	*8%	3,776,720	\$451,686,543,516	*\$706.72	*0.59%	100.00%	100%	100%

CITIES/TOWNS, 2006 *Non-voted, voted, and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$2,043,692,240



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$532,090,228



Total GO Debt
Total: \$2,668,188,337



NON-VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES/TOWNS

Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total Non-voted GO Debt	% of Nonvoted Capacity
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$10,128,317	83%
Yelm, City of	Low	\$5,368,666	77%
Kent, City of	Medium	\$94,030,933	61%
Monroe, City of	Low	\$15,072,748	61%
Colfax, City of	Low	\$1,143,839	61%
Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$12,796,937	59%
Moses Lake, City of	Low	\$8,552,938	58%
Battle Ground, City of	Low	\$10,469,936	54%
Rockford, Town of	Low	\$164,456	51%
Spangle, Town of	Low	\$89,643	51%
Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$6,112,334	50%
Twisp, Town of	Low	\$472,098	50%
Lynden, City of	Low	\$6,148,901	49%
Seattle, City of	High	\$766,150,000	48%
Bellevue, City of	High	\$185,848,472	47%
Vancouver, City of	High	\$102,310,858	46%
Othello, City of	Low	\$2,308,954	46%
West Richland, City of	Low	\$3,800,000	44%
Poulsbo, City of	Low	\$7,918,008	44%
Tacoma, City of	High	\$120,603,000	43%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$17,224,580	43%
Port Townsend, City of	Low	\$7,610,226	43%
Kennewick, City of	Medium	\$23,233,572	42%
Fife, City of	Low	\$9,789,094	41%
Arlington, City of	Low	\$11,515,900	41%

VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES

Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Vote GO Debt	% of Voted Capacity
Ocean Shores, City of	Low	\$16,540,000	33%
St John, Town of	Low	\$438,461	23%
Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$2,524,971	16%
Almira, Town of	Low	\$85,664	13%
Coulee City, Town of	Low	\$186,640	12%
Sunnyside, City of	Low	\$2,960,000	7%
Airway Heights, City of	Low	\$1,067,796	7%
Shelton, City of	Low	\$2,090,245	6%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$11,580,650	6%
Lacey, City of	Medium	\$13,943,459	5%
La Conner, Town of	Low	\$634,886	5%
White Salmon, City of	Low	\$625,000	5%
Prosser, City of	Low	\$1,142,739	5%
Seattle, City of	High	\$354,696,000	4%
College Place, City of	Low	\$1,264,488	4%
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$2,708,908	4%
Index, Town of	Low	\$41,400	4%
Spokane, City of	High	\$37,197,013	4%
Shoreline, City of	Medium	\$18,795,000	4%
Walla Walla, City of	Low	\$4,250,000	4%
Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$12,154,352	4%
Stanwood, City of	Low	\$1,650,923	4%
Puyallup, City of	Medium	\$10,406,682	3%
Port Angeles, City of	Low	\$3,845,797	3%
Sedro-Woolley, City of	Low	\$1,661,079	3%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES

Jurisdiction Name	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
Ocean Shores, City of	Low	\$19,705,412	39%
St John, Town of	Low	\$438,461	23%
Aberdeen, City of	Low	\$12,837,225	21%
Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$2,762,324	17%
Yelm, City of	Low	\$5,368,666	15%
Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$16,166,342	15%
Coulee City, Town of	Low	\$224,455	14%
Bremerton, City of	Medium	\$28,805,230	14%
Seattle, City of	High	\$1,120,846,000	14%
Airway Heights, City of	Low	\$2,006,830	13%
Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$7,967,334	13%
Monroe, City of	Low	\$15,846,491	13%
Almira, Town of	Low	\$85,664	13%
Kent, City of	Medium	\$95,678,451	12%
Colfax, City of	Low	\$1,143,839	12%
Shelton, City of	Low	\$4,272,566	12%
Moses Lake, City of	Low	\$8,552,938	12%
Spokane, City of	High	\$108,951,890	12%
Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$37,351,601	11%
Puyallup, City of	Medium	\$32,925,595	11%
Battle Ground, City of	Low	\$10,469,936	11%
Tacoma, City of	High	\$150,370,155	11%
Rockford, Town of	Low	\$164,456	10%
Spangle, Town of	Low	\$89,643	10%
Twisp, Town of	Low	\$472,098	10%

CITIES/TOWNS, 2006 GO CAPACITY DETAIL *Sorted alphabetically by county*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted Svc Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur Svc Funds</i>	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Svc Funds</i>	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Adams																	
Halton, Town of	\$1,991,018	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$31,519	\$0
Lind, Town of	\$18,273,274	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,017,175	\$0
Oihello, City of	\$335,343,839	\$1,649,129	\$0	\$659,825	\$0	\$2,308,954	46%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,308,954	9%	\$0	\$0
Ritzville, City of	\$82,022,142	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,000	\$0	\$0	\$12,671	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,153,209	\$8,000
Washtucna, Town of	\$5,763,225	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$313,860	\$0
Asotin																	
Asotin, City of	\$52,537,131	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Clarkston, City of	\$283,307,611	\$0	\$0	\$135,391	\$135,391	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,109,997	\$0
Benton																	
Kennewick, City of	\$3,688,842,414	\$20,340,000	\$0	\$2,893,572	\$0	\$23,233,572	42%	\$3,205,000	\$0	\$0	\$31,551	\$3,173,449	1%	\$26,407,021	10%	\$28,771,688	\$0
Prosser, City of	\$321,443,030	\$115,000	\$18,162	\$0	\$0	\$96,838	2%	\$1,150,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,261	\$1,142,739	5%	\$1,239,577	5%	\$8,415,552	\$107,046
Richland, City of	\$3,501,040,508	\$19,260,000	\$6,400,019	\$2,481,546	\$0	\$15,341,527	29%	\$6,535,000	\$0	\$0	\$44,040	\$6,490,960	2%	\$21,832,487	8%	\$103,923,988	\$375,000
West Richland, City of	\$573,034,721	\$965,000	\$6,254	\$2,841,254	\$0	\$3,800,000	44%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,800,000	9%	\$8,754,261	\$6,539
Chelan																	
Cashmere, City of	\$163,157,918	\$782,401	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$782,401	32%	\$220,836	\$0	\$0	\$31,999	\$188,837	2%	\$971,238	8%	\$8,533,539	\$0
Chelan, City of	\$404,098,470	\$585,000	\$200,284	\$0	\$0	\$384,716	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$384,716	1%	\$8,604,701	\$0
Entiat, City of	\$65,142,516	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,040,408	\$0
Leavenworth, City of	\$215,007,320	\$247,862	\$10,509	\$0	\$0	\$237,353	7%	\$2,610,000	\$0	\$0	\$85,029	\$2,524,971	16%	\$2,762,324	17%	\$5,007,035	\$15,000
Wenatchee, City of	\$1,848,530,303	\$5,190,000	\$15,581	\$1,904,348	\$1,275,132	\$5,803,635	21%	\$3,915,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,915,000	3%	\$9,718,635	7%	\$16,401,665	\$108,711
Clallam																	
Forks, City of	\$116,853,958	\$0	\$0	\$54,630	\$0	\$54,630	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$54,630	1%	\$95,000	\$0
Port Angeles, City of	\$1,595,493,428	\$4,429,234	\$783,665	\$1,611,458	\$1,611,458	\$3,645,569	15%	\$3,975,000	\$0	\$0	\$129,203	\$3,845,797	3%	\$7,491,366	6%	\$22,122,799	\$0
Sequim, City of	\$739,682,945	\$0	\$0	\$438,163	\$0	\$438,163	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$438,163	1%	\$5,389,795	\$0
Clark																	
Battle Ground, City of	\$1,301,120,512	\$10,470,000	\$64	\$231,200	\$231,200	\$10,469,936	54%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,469,936	11%	\$6,592,019	\$0
Camas, City of	\$2,979,071,028	\$1,295,000	\$4,838	\$915,112	\$0	\$2,205,274	5%	\$6,677,000	\$0	\$295,000	\$51,238	\$6,920,762	3%	\$9,126,036	4%	\$13,664,460	\$0
La Center, City of	\$261,781,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,730,623	\$0
Ridgefield, City of	\$548,229,173	\$3,135,561	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,135,561	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,135,561	8%	\$0	\$0
Vancouver, City of	\$14,735,048,728	\$102,315,000	\$4,142	\$7,904,687	\$7,904,687	\$102,310,858	46%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$102,310,858	9%	\$120,068,627	\$81,492
Washougal, City of	\$1,347,859,876	\$5,723,373	\$27,639	\$0	\$0	\$5,695,734	28%	\$1,440,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,440,000	1%	\$7,135,734	7%	\$7,093,347	\$2,270,000
Yacolt, Town of	\$85,596,268	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$30,000	0%	\$0	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Columbia																	
Dayton, City of	\$114,530,587	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$546,134	\$0
Starbuck, Town of	\$3,952,515	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Cowlitz																	
Castle Rock, City of	\$91,219,286	\$0	\$0	\$11,268	\$11,268	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$5,757,191	\$0
Kalama, City of	\$158,367,029	\$39,592	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$39,592	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$39,592	0%	\$9,834,834	\$0
Kelso, City of	\$606,625,226	\$3,080,000	\$1,695	\$305,897	\$305,897	\$3,078,305	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,078,305	7%	\$4,738,252	\$0
Longview, City of	\$2,296,278,710	\$10,150,000	\$0	\$1,709,741	\$1,007,303	\$10,852,438	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,852,438	6%	\$6,816,964	\$440,000
Douglas																	
Bridgeport, City of	\$28,820,362	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
East Wenatchee, City of	\$814,464,811	\$1,980,000	\$0	\$142,178	\$0	\$2,122,178	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,122,178	3%	\$448,822	\$0
Mansfield, Town of	\$8,946,392	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,954	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$738,539	\$0
Rock Island, City of	\$21,677,679	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$267,742	\$0
Waterville, Town of	\$42,205,453	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$955,189	\$0
Ferry																	
Republic, City of	\$33,602,681	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Franklin																	
Connell, City of	\$107,738,826	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$19,000	\$11,032	\$7,968	0%	\$7,968	0%	\$4,021,444	\$0
Kahlotus, City of	\$3,233,052	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$108,200	\$0
Mesa, City of	\$10,656,801	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$108,179	\$0
Pasco, City of	\$2,313,853,466	\$9,175,000	\$0	\$2,575,781	\$331,520	\$11,419,261	33%	\$3,590,000	\$0	\$0	\$297,811	\$3,292,189	2%	\$14,711,450	8%	\$38,995,171	\$190,000
Garfield																	
Pomeroy, City of	\$47,876,379	\$12,500	\$12,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$16,000	\$0	\$0	\$31,693	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,218,081	\$0
Grant																	
Coulee City, Town of	\$20,883,589	\$208,884	\$171,069	\$0	\$0	\$37,815	12%	\$209,475	\$0	\$0	\$22,835	\$186,640	12%	\$224,455	14%	\$0	\$0
Electric City, Town of	\$33,933,877	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Ephrata, City of	\$294,706,027	\$895,000	\$26,231	\$512,843	\$51,762	\$1,329,850	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,329,850	6%	\$5,524,821	\$0
George, City of	\$11,396,368	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$486,252	\$652,774
Grand Coulee, City of	\$36,883,529	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,445,000	\$0
Hartline, Town of	\$4,166,597	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$56,399	\$0
Mattawa, Town of	\$42,078,330	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,144,880	\$0
Moses Lake, City of	\$988,571,711	\$11,440,000	\$279,315	\$1,991,295	\$4,599,042	\$8,552,938	58%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$8,552,938	12%	\$17,453,103	\$0
Quincy, City of	\$273,160,305	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$14,346,356	\$335,000
Royal City, City of	\$25,057,747	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,160,726	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Soap Lake, City of	\$55,754,942	\$45,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,900	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$45,900	1%	\$0	\$2,161,285
Warden, City of	\$109,865,604	\$0	\$0	\$24,862	\$0	\$24,862	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$24,862	0%	\$3,605,000	\$0
Wilson Creek, Town of	\$6,355,901	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Grays Harbor																	
Aberdeen, City of	\$815,011,667	\$60,000	\$1,683	\$10,288,700	\$218,700	\$10,128,317	83%	\$2,835,000	\$0	\$0	\$126,092	\$2,708,908	4%	\$12,837,225	21%	\$2,805,000	\$0
Cosmopolis, City of	\$100,742,235	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Elma, City of	\$160,730,889	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$78,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$78,034	1%	\$78,034	1%	\$0	\$0
Hoquiam, City of	\$335,422,898	\$510,190	\$225	\$0	\$0	\$509,965	10%	\$340,000	\$0	\$0	\$865	\$339,135	1%	\$849,100	3%	\$70,000	\$0
McCleary, City of	\$81,527,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$6,323,714	\$127,501
Montesano, City of	\$206,951,182	\$135,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$135,000	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$135,000	1%	\$0	\$0
Oakville, City of	\$34,093,720	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$332,384	\$0
Ocean Shores, City of	\$671,461,828	\$2,840,058	\$57,792	\$446,059	\$62,913	\$3,165,412	31%	\$0	\$16,540,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,540,000	33%	\$19,705,412	39%	\$6,313,408	\$11,404,000
Westport, City of	\$303,849,731	\$231,565	\$110,366	\$0	\$0	\$121,199	3%	\$292,571	\$0	\$0	\$18,609	\$273,962	1%	\$395,161	2%	\$4,621,813	\$0
Island																	
Coupeville, Town of	\$242,962,850	\$262,669	\$0	\$198,935	\$0	\$461,604	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$461,604	3%	\$1,994,333	\$0
Langley, City of	\$220,648,831	\$200,000	\$34,610	\$0	\$0	\$165,390	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$165,390	1%	\$525,394	\$0
Oak Harbor, City of	\$1,695,153,087	\$364,566	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$364,566	1%	\$865,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$865,000	1%	\$1,229,566	1%	\$4,630,812	\$0
Jefferson																	
Port Townsend, City of	\$1,193,600,895	\$7,664,201	\$53,975	\$0	\$0	\$7,610,226	43%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,610,226	9%	\$6,738,267	\$0
King																	
Auburn, City of	\$5,759,528,241	\$11,177,437	\$25,372	\$3,385,277	\$0	\$14,537,342	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$14,537,342	3%	\$15,758,410	\$36,827
Beaux Arts Village, Town of	\$97,798,118	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Bellevue, City of	\$26,588,886,094	\$184,809,050	\$0	\$1,039,422	\$0	\$185,848,472	47%	\$306,893	\$0	\$2,448,108	\$1,480,534	\$1,274,467	0%	\$187,122,939	9%	\$4,915,438	\$2,641,193
Black Diamond, City of	\$505,393,337	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$9,577,823	\$0
Bothell, City of	\$5,659,431,202	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,955,000	\$0	\$0	\$103,061	\$5,851,939	1%	\$5,851,939	1%	\$1,306,310	\$0
Burien, City of	\$3,437,094,991	\$12,530,000	\$0	\$2,126,255	\$0	\$14,656,255	28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$14,656,255	6%	\$0	\$844,444
Carnation, City of	\$182,512,259	\$415,000	\$82,209	\$0	\$0	\$332,791	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$332,791	2%	\$7,825,180	\$0
Clyde Hill, City of	\$1,303,791,031	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Covington, City of	\$1,655,992,947	\$0	\$0	\$173,407	\$173,407	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$429,591
Des Moines, City of	\$2,590,864,729	\$5,580,000	\$70,345	\$894,268	\$128,649	\$6,275,274	16%	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,991	\$483,009	0%	\$6,758,283	3%	\$0	\$0
Duvall, City of	\$718,380,849	\$533,022	\$533,022	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$10,989,376	\$0
Enumclaw, City of	\$924,290,931	\$2,241,028	\$441,703	\$0	\$0	\$1,799,325	13%	\$340,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,644	\$336,356	0%	\$2,135,681	3%	\$17,270,949	\$400,492
Federal Way, City of	\$7,962,160,910	\$29,582,085	\$8,129,983	\$1,200,100	\$0	\$22,652,202	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$22,652,202	4%	\$1,990,627	\$0
Hunts Point, Town of	\$699,266,236	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$300,000
Issaquah, City of	\$4,416,997,927	\$23,235,000	\$68,946	\$2,031,195	\$0	\$25,197,249	38%	\$6,085,966	\$0	\$6,349,034	\$280,648	\$12,154,352	4%	\$37,351,601	11%	\$18,720,497	\$50,723

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Kent, City of	\$10,224,279,148	\$75,164,999	\$0	\$18,865,934	\$0	\$94,030,933	61%	\$1,735,000	\$0	\$0	\$87,482	\$1,647,518	0%	\$95,678,451	12%	\$35,075,006	\$17,497,494
Kirkland, City of	\$9,865,240,144	\$11,105,000	\$202,640	\$0	\$7,151,784	\$3,750,576	3%	\$1,540,000	\$0	\$8,590,000	\$324,819	\$9,805,181	1%	\$13,555,756	2%	\$10,177,851	\$5,000
Lake Forest Park, City of	\$1,921,112,698	\$5,379,154	\$121,903	\$0	\$0	\$5,257,251	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,257,251	4%	\$677,608	\$110,000
Maple Valley, City of	\$2,015,689,942	\$5,840,000	\$0	\$1,423,964	\$0	\$7,263,964	24%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,263,964	5%	\$0	\$0
Medina, City of	\$2,458,475,622	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Mercer Island, City of	\$7,839,716,491	\$4,510,000	\$4,474	\$0	\$0	\$4,505,526	4%	\$695,000	\$0	\$0	\$91,800	\$603,200	0%	\$5,108,726	1%	\$914,410	\$0
Newcastle, City of	\$1,788,699,969	\$850,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$850,000	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$850,000	1%	\$0	\$0
Normandy Park, City of	\$1,122,953,329	\$1,160,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,160,000	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,160,000	1%	\$596,364	\$0
North Bend, City of	\$614,364,032	\$1,430,000	\$0	\$67,134	\$0	\$1,497,134	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,497,134	3%	\$9,968,277	\$205,000
Redmond, City of	\$11,150,432,138	\$1,539,602	\$122,067	\$39,230,000	\$0	\$40,647,535	24%	\$1,735,000	\$0	\$0	\$122,066	\$1,612,934	0%	\$42,260,469	5%	\$0	\$0
Renton, City of	\$8,332,572,160	\$45,409,700	\$2,470,567	\$1,440,000	\$0	\$44,379,133	36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$49,813	\$0	0%	\$44,379,133	7%	\$44,532,018	\$0
Sammamish, City of	\$7,702,780,530	\$3,180,000	\$0	\$354,228	\$0	\$3,534,228	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,534,228	1%	\$604,242	\$0
SeaTac, City of	\$4,084,571,118	\$6,015,000	\$2,639	\$0	\$0	\$6,012,361	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,012,361	2%	\$7,345,000	\$1,240,000
Seattle, City of	\$105,567,758,759	\$637,713,000	\$13,554,000	\$141,991,000	\$0	\$766,150,000	48%	\$178,675,000	\$14,262,000	\$164,413,000	\$2,654,000	\$354,696,000	4%	\$1,120,846,000	14%	\$2,646,411,000	\$21,925,000
Shoreline, City of	\$6,521,333,983	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$18,795,000	\$0	\$18,795,000	4%	\$18,795,000	4%	\$5,812,225	\$0
Skykomish, Town of	\$22,398,447	\$0	\$0	\$20,679	\$0	\$20,679	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,679	1%	\$130,500	\$0
Snoqualmie, City of	\$1,481,979,705	\$3,367,378	\$2,809	\$0	\$0	\$3,364,569	15%	\$3,080,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,541	\$3,073,459	3%	\$6,438,028	6%	\$2,093,247	\$0
Tukwila, City of	\$3,931,150,961	\$18,852,500	\$1,075,347	\$2,170,343	\$0	\$19,947,496	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$19,947,496	7%	\$20,473,963	\$0
Woodinville, City of	\$2,273,435,824	\$5,378,624	\$374,816	\$0	\$0	\$5,003,808	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,003,808	3%	\$0	\$0
Kitsap																	
Bainbridge Island, City of	\$5,656,139,011	\$16,210,000	\$0	\$1,619,065	\$1,619,065	\$16,210,000	19%	\$0	\$0	\$7,370,000	\$0	\$7,370,000	2%	\$23,580,000	6%	\$2,186,595	\$5,040,000
Bremerton, City of	\$2,692,388,791	\$18,678,664	\$3,615,006	\$2,160,922	\$0	\$17,224,580	43%	\$11,640,000	\$0	\$0	\$59,350	\$11,580,650	6%	\$28,805,230	14%	\$39,561,347	\$0
Port Orchard, City of	\$726,921,614	\$2,360,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,360,000	22%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,360,000	4%	\$276,050	\$0
Poulsbo, City of	\$1,195,103,182	\$7,110,000	\$670,442	\$1,483,651	\$5,201	\$7,918,008	44%	\$305,000	\$0	\$0	\$187,097	\$117,903	0%	\$8,035,911	9%	\$4,385,000	\$0
Kittitas																	
Cle Elum, City of	\$180,771,821	\$720,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$720,000	27%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$720,000	5%	\$3,842,703	\$0
Ellensburg, City of	\$812,208,120	\$5,560,000	\$185,361	\$737,695	\$0	\$6,112,334	50%	\$1,855,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,855,000	3%	\$7,967,334	13%	\$13,366,207	\$96,356
Kittitas, City of	\$45,117,126	\$99,421	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,421	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$99,421	3%	\$0	\$0
South Cle Elum, Town of	\$34,430,969	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$993,150	\$0
Klickitat																	
Bingen, City of	\$64,308,098	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$999,514	\$0
White Salmon, City of	\$171,492,506	\$0	\$0	\$733,800	\$107,965	\$625,835	24%	\$625,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$625,000	5%	\$1,250,835	10%	\$3,783,048	\$77,881
Lewis																	
Centralia, City of	\$1,018,693,500	\$2,401,721	\$0	\$918,127	\$918,127	\$2,401,721	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,401,721	3%	\$48,416,234	\$0
Chehalis, City of	\$442,010,092	\$2,300,000	\$2,300,000	\$1,215,735	\$260,000	\$955,735	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$955,735	3%	\$0	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Morton, City of	\$72,699,594	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,682,609	\$0
Napavine, City of	\$73,059,421	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,767,035	\$0
Pe Ell, Town of	\$31,117,494	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,210,810	\$0
Vader, City of	\$23,517,520	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$820,257	\$0
Winlock, City of	\$51,413,418	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,020,204	\$0
Lincoln																	
Almira, Town of	\$9,095,276	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$90,951	\$0	\$5,287	\$85,664	13%	\$85,664	13%	\$0	\$0
Davenport, City of	\$68,297,963	\$0	\$0	\$165,981	\$0	\$165,981	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$165,981	3%	\$905,570	\$0
Harrington, City of	\$14,187,125	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$851,031	\$0
Odessa, Town of	\$29,396,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,369,897	\$41,409
Reardan, Town of	\$22,055,005	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$885,959	\$0
Wilbur, Town of	\$33,320,441	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$931,534	\$0
Mason																	
Shelton, City of	\$475,903,181	\$1,405,000	\$0	\$777,321	\$0	\$2,182,321	31%	\$2,105,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,755	\$2,090,245	6%	\$4,272,566	12%	\$5,717,917	\$0
Okanogan																	
Brewster, City of	\$77,034,442	\$212,985	\$16,769	\$0	\$0	\$196,216	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$196,216	3%	\$618,736	\$0
Coulee Dam, Town of	\$34,679,312	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,695,707	\$0
Elmer City, Town of	\$5,313,909	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$258,254	\$0
Nespelem, Town of	\$2,837,859	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Okanogan, City of	\$81,254,253	\$330,000	\$39,775	\$185,894	\$0	\$476,119	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$476,119	8%	\$2,116,020	\$0
Omak, City of	\$203,630,003	\$985,000	\$0	\$167,609	\$0	\$1,152,609	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,152,609	8%	\$3,561,675	\$0
Oroville, City of	\$71,081,443	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$87,500	\$0
Pateros, City of	\$41,933,387	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$586,238	\$0
Riverside, Town of	\$7,253,042	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Tonasket, City of	\$36,667,243	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$104,028	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,085,008	\$0
Twisp, Town of	\$63,124,059	\$250,563	\$0	\$234,308	\$12,773	\$472,098	50%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$472,098	10%	\$467,202	\$0
Winthrop, Town of	\$70,490,433	\$12,917	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,917	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,917	0%	\$267,125	\$0
Pacific																	
Ilwaco, City of	\$111,011,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$282,198	\$0	\$0	\$282,198	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$6,233,873	\$0
Long Beach, City of	\$220,647,821	\$224,602	\$2,231	\$0	\$0	\$222,371	7%	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$75,000	0%	\$297,371	2%	\$5,198,717	\$94,463
South Bend, City of	\$64,509,183	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,968,628	\$0
Pend Oreille																	
Cusick, Town of	\$8,101,087	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,600	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Ione, Town of	\$13,032,782	\$0	\$158,587	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$583,585	\$0
Metaline Falls, Town of	\$8,730,419	\$46,961	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,961	36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$46,961	7%	\$0	\$0

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Metaline, Town of	\$6,015,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Newport, City of	\$78,305,708	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,619,664	\$65,000
Pierce																	
Buckley, City of	\$371,835,811	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,133,536	\$0
Carbonado, Town of	\$41,377,992	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
DuPont, City of	\$1,026,725,962	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$95,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,424	\$88,576	0%	\$88,576	0%	\$0	\$0
Edgewood, City of	\$1,208,634,297	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
File, City of	\$1,595,745,697	\$6,425,574	\$789,116	\$4,152,636	\$0	\$9,789,094	41%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,789,094	8%	\$3,560,000	\$8,678,779
Fircrest, City of	\$690,723,570	\$100,000	\$26,875	\$0	\$0	\$73,125	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$73,125	0%	\$707,487	\$0
Gig Harbor, City of	\$1,456,487,257	\$12,512,898	\$68,461	\$364,366	\$11,866	\$12,796,937	59%	\$0	\$0	\$3,426,000	\$56,595	\$3,369,405	3%	\$16,166,342	15%	\$1,609,904	\$505,643
Lakewood, City of	\$5,147,340,781	\$520,000	\$77,000	\$1,005,000	\$1,005,000	\$443,000	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$443,000	0%	\$0	\$2,824,704
Milton, City of	\$655,692,986	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Orting, City of	\$445,896,318	\$1,370,000	\$2,257,792	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,689,893	\$0
Puyallup, City of	\$4,047,400,986	\$17,331,563	\$197,343	\$5,453,290	\$68,597	\$22,518,913	37%	\$7,765,000	\$0	\$2,705,000	\$63,318	\$10,406,682	3%	\$32,925,595	11%	\$28,560,309	\$320,000
Roy, City of	\$53,910,085	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$428,481	\$0
Ruston, Town of	\$95,898,389	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
South Prairie, Town of	\$31,029,857	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Stellacoom, Town of	\$725,621,646	\$710,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$710,000	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$710,000	1%	\$5,008,677	\$0
Sumner, City of	\$1,654,935,497	\$4,975,000	\$3,131	\$0	\$0	\$4,971,869	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,971,869	4%	\$13,970,000	\$11,440,000
Tacoma, City of	\$18,731,712,628	\$120,603,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,603,000	43%	\$30,565,000	\$0	\$0	\$797,845	\$29,767,155	2%	\$150,370,155	11%	\$1,137,418,000	\$2,403,000
University Place, City of	\$3,198,540,115	\$18,895,526	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,895,526	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$18,895,526	8%	\$0	\$0
San Juan																	
Friday Harbor, Town of	\$518,721,237	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Skagit																	
Anacortes, City of	\$2,518,441,447	\$2,510,000	\$1,120,634	\$13,201	\$0	\$1,402,567	4%	\$5,320,000	\$0	\$0	\$667,753	\$4,652,247	2%	\$6,054,814	3%	\$0	\$0
Burlington, City of	\$1,244,886,195	\$7,640,000	\$230,958	\$0	\$0	\$7,409,042	40%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,409,042	8%	\$6,820,000	\$0
Hamilton, Town of	\$14,676,243	\$83,296	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$83,296	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$83,296	8%	\$0	\$0
La Conner, Town of	\$165,193,288	\$282,850	\$1,755	\$0	\$0	\$281,095	11%	\$640,472	\$0	\$0	\$5,586	\$634,886	5%	\$915,981	7%	\$364,796	\$92,655
Lyman, Town of	\$29,123,494	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$144,609	\$0
Sedro-Woolley, City of	\$711,332,593	\$150,994	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,994	1%	\$1,730,000	\$0	\$0	\$68,921	\$1,661,079	3%	\$1,812,073	3%	\$4,355,000	\$0
Skamania																	
North Bonneville, City of	\$60,750,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$304,844	\$0
Stevenson, City of	\$160,883,254	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$170,719	\$0
Snohomish																	
Arlington, City of	\$1,882,294,666	\$13,210,155	\$1,694,255	\$0	\$0	\$11,515,900	41%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,515,900	8%	\$8,671,249	\$495,000

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Brier, City of	\$809,415,551	\$870,311	\$4,398	\$0	\$0	\$865,913	7%	\$195,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,973	\$180,027	0%	\$1,045,940	2%	\$0	\$0
Darrington, Town of	\$118,239,322	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Edmonds, City of	\$6,612,373,915	\$15,400,693	\$0	\$6,470,021	\$1,221,281	\$20,649,433	21%	\$7,435,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,435,000	1%	\$28,084,433	6%	\$8,321,948	\$290,000
Everett, City of	\$11,719,014,102	\$49,295,487	\$353,008	\$9,525,487	\$33,145,459	\$25,322,507	14%	\$1,095,000	\$0	\$0	\$64,083	\$1,030,917	0%	\$26,353,424	3%	\$90,900,000	\$0
Granite Falls, City of	\$257,332,361	\$0	\$0	\$47,655	\$1,019,166	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$344,235	\$0
Index, Town of	\$14,013,632	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$41,400	\$0	\$0	\$41,400	4%	\$41,400	4%	\$0	\$0
Lake Stevens, City of	\$983,390,709	\$2,900,000	\$0	\$35,000	\$304	\$2,934,697	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,934,697	4%	\$0	\$0
Lynwood, City of	\$4,625,214,437	\$7,703,389	\$331,943	\$0	\$0	\$7,371,446	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,371,446	2%	\$7,317,626	\$5,920
Marysville, City of	\$3,256,972,155	\$11,683,296	\$2,390,481	\$940,972	\$15,481	\$10,218,306	21%	\$3,630,000	\$0	\$0	\$85,163	\$3,544,837	1%	\$13,763,143	6%	\$82,940,721	\$125,000
Mill Creek, City of	\$2,596,996,804	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,518,564	\$0
Monroe, City of	\$1,647,003,901	\$16,715,270	\$1,886,428	\$319,104	\$75,198	\$15,072,748	61%	\$843,376	\$0	\$0	\$69,633	\$773,743	1%	\$15,846,491	13%	\$16,690,514	\$0
Mountlake Terrace, City of	\$2,034,762,715	\$3,580,000	\$0	\$852,654	\$0	\$4,432,654	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,432,654	3%	\$1,258,779	\$0
Mukilteo, City of	\$3,444,579,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Snohomish, City of	\$999,348,141	\$0	\$0	\$328,255	\$53,612	\$274,643	2%	\$1,284,937	\$0	\$0	\$186,368	\$1,098,569	1%	\$1,373,212	2%	\$11,256,159	\$0
Stanwood, City of	\$618,696,889	\$597,994	\$92,528	\$0	\$0	\$505,466	5%	\$2,150,000	\$0	\$0	\$499,077	\$1,650,923	4%	\$2,156,389	5%	\$14,175,326	\$260,000
Sultan, City of	\$402,298,526	\$1,220,000	\$62,081	\$0	\$0	\$1,157,919	19%	\$370,000	\$0	\$0	\$15,500	\$354,500	1%	\$1,512,419	5%	\$4,833,752	\$3,125,000
Woodway, Town of	\$558,468,514	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Spokane																	
Airway Heights, City of	\$200,260,309	\$0	\$0	\$939,034	\$0	\$939,034	31%	\$0	\$1,130,000	\$0	\$62,204	\$1,067,796	7%	\$2,006,830	13%	\$3,648,960	\$0
Cheney, City of	\$383,607,963	\$0	\$0	\$645,969	\$31,746,499	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$3,984,626	\$0
Deer Park, City of	\$199,359,069	\$130,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$130,000	1%	\$3,326,456	\$0
Fairfield, Town of	\$28,013,604	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$829,667	\$0
Latah, Town of	\$7,157,841	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Liberty Lake, City of	\$791,672,020	\$4,825,258	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,825,258	41%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,500,000	\$0	0%	\$4,825,258	8%	\$0	\$0
Medical Lake, City of	\$178,970,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,810,754	\$0
Millwood, Town of	\$208,776,943	\$1,165,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,165,000	37%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,165,000	7%	\$1,245,000	\$0
Rockford, Town of	\$21,319,832	\$164,456	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$164,456	51%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$164,456	10%	\$67,252	\$0
Spangle, Town of	\$11,638,231	\$89,643	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$89,643	51%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$53,114	\$0	0%	\$89,643	10%	\$154,959	\$0
Spokane, City of	\$12,629,678,715	\$53,865,000	\$325,671	\$18,215,548	\$0	\$71,754,877	38%	\$43,315,000	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$8,017,987	\$37,197,013	4%	\$108,951,890	12%	\$100,869,896	\$6,771,090
Waverly, Town of	\$4,755,610	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Stevens																	
Chewelah, City of	\$128,510,906	\$0	\$0	\$167,420	\$0	\$167,420	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$167,420	2%	\$4,198,526	\$0
Cohville, City of	\$276,987,981	\$956,394	\$61,710	\$32,091	\$0	\$926,775	22%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$926,775	4%	\$12,587,554	\$150,000
Kettle Falls, City of	\$58,098,578	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Northport, Town of	\$11,381,392	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Thurston																	

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted Svc Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur Svc Funds	F. TOTAL Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO General	I. Voted GO Utilities	J. Voted GO Parks	K. Voted GO Svc Funds	L. TOTAL Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. TOTAL Non-voted + Voted GO	O. % of Cap.	P. Revenue Debt	Q. Assess. Debt
<i>Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)</i>																	
Bucoda, Town of	\$24,476,281	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Lacey, City of	\$3,530,872,203	\$3,885,000	\$388,363	\$0	\$0	\$3,496,637	7%	\$4,960,000	\$0	\$9,345,000	\$361,541	\$13,943,459	5%	\$17,440,096	7%	\$557,206	\$2,610,000
Olympia, City of	\$4,882,792,324	\$9,385,000	\$1,560	\$7,904,327	\$11,936,196	\$5,351,571	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,351,571	1%	\$6,269,362	\$0
Rainier, City of	\$99,727,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Tenino, City of	\$90,427,717	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$135,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$135,000	2%	\$135,000	2%	\$65,000	\$0
Tumwater, City of	\$1,777,281,820	\$4,245,000	\$0	\$874,507	\$0	\$5,119,507	19%	\$3,065,000	\$0	\$0	\$410,418	\$2,654,582	2%	\$7,774,089	6%	\$3,320,000	\$0
Yelm, City of	\$467,720,721	\$5,155,806	\$0	\$212,860	\$0	\$5,368,666	77%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,368,666	15%	\$8,828,384	\$5,375,000
Wahkiakum																	
Cathlamet, Town of	\$42,546,510	\$48,136	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48,136	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$48,136	2%	\$2,008,097	\$0
Walla Walla																	
College Place, City of	\$376,662,615	\$465,000	\$1,233	\$126,562	\$0	\$590,329	10%	\$1,275,000	\$0	\$0	\$10,512	\$1,264,488	4%	\$1,854,817	7%	\$9,621,310	\$0
Prescott, City of	\$10,510,372	\$19,165	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,165	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$19,165	2%	\$0	\$0
Waitsburg, City of	\$47,379,460	\$0	\$0	\$43,750	\$112,347	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,159,394	\$0
Walla Walla, City of	\$1,523,266,147	\$2,717,303	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,717,303	12%	\$4,250,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,250,000	4%	\$6,967,303	6%	\$49,918,676	\$0
Whatcom																	
Bellingham, City of	\$6,868,648,539	\$32,802,613	\$146,308	\$0	\$0	\$32,656,305	32%	\$1,540,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,968	\$1,514,032	0%	\$34,170,337	7%	\$30,117,531	\$1,295,000
Blaine, City of	\$875,029,056	\$1,485,000	\$88,264	\$1,734,196	\$88,264	\$3,042,668	23%	\$1,460,000	\$0	\$0	\$38,511	\$1,421,489	2%	\$4,464,157	7%	\$13,085,505	\$0
Everson, City of	\$95,884,157	\$11,835	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,835	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,835	0%	\$812,470	\$175,000
Ferndale, City of	\$970,041,818	\$891,207	\$4,200	\$0	\$0	\$887,007	6%	\$110,000	\$0	\$0	\$20,140	\$89,860	0%	\$976,867	1%	\$9,523,213	\$0
Lynden, City of	\$844,787,154	\$6,275,000	\$126,099	\$0	\$0	\$6,148,901	49%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,148,901	10%	\$26,815,505	\$140,000
Nooksack, City of	\$47,194,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$554,764	\$0
Sumas, City of	\$156,359,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$471,018	\$0
Whitman																	
Colfax, City of	\$125,274,166	\$1,220,360	\$76,521	\$0	\$0	\$1,143,839	61%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,143,839	12%	\$1,220,360	\$0
Colton, Town of	\$20,418,730	\$26,000	\$7,381	\$0	\$64,182	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$362,552	\$0
Endicott, Town of	\$9,297,554	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,436	\$18,564	3%	\$18,564	3%	\$292,425	\$0
Farmington, Town of	\$4,235,215	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
Garfield, Town of	\$16,942,284	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$983,876	\$0
LaCrosse, Town of	\$10,223,434	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$116,987	\$0
Lamont, Town of	\$3,094,749	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$136,842	\$0
Oakesdale, Town of	\$13,103,797	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$22,115	\$0
Palouse, City of	\$36,260,753	\$98,973	\$445	\$13,989	\$13,989	\$98,528	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$98,528	4%	\$341,632	\$0
Pullman, City of	\$1,052,917,091	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$450,000	3%	\$265,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$265,000	0%	\$715,000	1%	\$1,671,813	\$0
St John, Town of	\$25,019,305	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$61,539	\$438,461	23%	\$438,461	23%	\$0	\$0
Uniontown, Town of	\$18,299,775	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0

	A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F. TOTAL	G.	H.	I.	J.	K.	L. TOTAL	M.	N. TOTAL	O.	P.	Q.
	Assessed	Non-voted	Non-voted	Lease-	Lease-Pur	Non-voted	% of	Voted GO	Voted GO	Voted GO	Voted GO	Voted GO	% of	Non-voted	% of	Revenue	Assess.
	Valuation	GO Debt	Svc Funds	Purchase	Svc Funds	GO Debt	Cap.	General	Utilities	Parks	Svc Funds	Debt	Cap.	+ Voted GO	Cap.	Debt	Debt



Nonvoted GO (Col. F) = (B-C)+(D-E); Percent of Non-voted Capacity (Col. G) = F / (A * .015); Voted GO (L) = (H+I+J)+K; Percent of Voted Capacity (Col. M) = L / (A * .075); Total GO debt (Col. N) = F+L; Percent of Total Capacity (Col. O) = N / (A * .075)

Yakima																	
Grandview, City of	\$364,879,910	\$0	\$0	\$48,574	\$48,574	\$0	0%	\$445,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,496	\$439,504	2%	\$439,504	2%	\$10,345,419	\$0
Granger, Town of	\$71,557,530	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$358,654	\$0
Harrah, Town of	\$17,022,121	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$156,688	\$0
Moxee, City of	\$168,392,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$1,446,474	\$0
Naches, Town of	\$34,622,148	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$835,053	\$51,383
Selah, City of	\$441,277,221	\$5,108,000	\$1,853,800	\$0	\$1,853,800	\$1,400,400	21%	\$155,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,635	\$150,365	0%	\$1,550,765	5%	\$4,682,050	\$165,000
Sunnyside, City of	\$540,180,220	\$0	\$0	\$1,475,649	\$1,475,649	\$0	0%	\$2,960,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,960,000	7%	\$2,960,000	7%	\$14,085,013	\$0
Tieton, City of	\$49,813,060	\$0	\$0	\$75,810	\$0	\$75,810	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$75,810	2%	\$597,783	\$0
Toppenish, City of	\$256,485,415	\$318,333	\$94,749	\$0	\$0	\$223,584	6%	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$70,104	\$129,896	1%	\$353,480	2%	\$5,558,162	\$0
Wapato, City of	\$132,989,222	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$2,416,589	\$105,000
Yakima, City of	\$4,586,923,853	\$16,030,097	\$540,732	\$9,893,142	\$9,521,879	\$15,860,628	23%	\$2,010,000	\$0	\$0	\$210,586	\$1,799,414	1%	\$17,660,042	5%	\$34,995,745	\$564,500
Zillah, City of	\$121,652,484	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0

CITIES/TOWNS, GO DEBT TRENDS 2002-2006 *Sorted alphabetically, with representative cities*

Bellevue

High-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Aberdeen, City of			20%	70%	63%
Airway Heights, City of		57%	52%	39%	40%
Albion, Town of	0%	1%	8%	6%	
Algona, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Almira, Town of	0%	0%	3%	0%	38%
Anacortes, City of	23%		14%	17%	10%
Arlington, City of	0%	4%	20%	32%	24%
Asotin, City of	2%	5%		0%	0%
Auburn, City of	0%	10%	0%	8%	10%
Bainbridge Island, City of	8%	21%	28%	27%	17%
Battle Ground, City of	0%	22%	18%	14%	32%
Beaux Arts Village, Town	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bellevue, City of	12%	6%	35%	29%	28%
Bellingham, City of	11%	9%	22%	22%	20%
Benton City, City of	0%		122%	117%	
Bingen, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black Diamond, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Blaine, City of	33%	34%	31%	28%	20%
Bonney Lake, City of	11%	8%	7%	5%	
Bothell, City of	11%	18%	8%	5%	4%
Bremerton, City of		75%	71%	61%	43%
Brewster, City of	0%	12%	12%	0%	10%
Bridgeport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Brier, City of	2%	3%	8%	2%	5%
Buckley, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bucoda, Town of	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Burien, City of	6%	5%	4%	4%	17%
Burlington, City of	14%	11%	11%	6%	24%
Camas, City of	19%	18%	17%	15%	12%
Carbonado, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Carnation, City of	27%	11%	10%		7%
Cashmere, City of	49%	41%	31%	31%	24%
Castle Rock, City of					0%
Cathlamet, Town of	102%	0%	2%	0%	5%
Centralia, City of	12%	12%	20%	19%	9%
Chehalis, City of	0%		4%	3%	9%
Chelan, City of	21%	16%	12%	8%	4%
Cheney, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
		\$3,564,060	\$14,121,221	\$12,837,225		
	\$1,854,734	\$1,755,990	\$1,701,558	\$2,006,830		
\$0	\$1,880	\$29,208	\$22,395			
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$0		\$6,500	\$0	\$85,664		6.7%
\$8,377,534		\$6,112,182	\$8,255,000	\$6,054,814	-28%	71.6%
\$40,867	\$1,184,370	\$6,934,338	\$12,574,776	\$11,515,900	28079%	65.4%
\$22,800	\$47,785		\$0	\$0	-100%	30.1%
\$0	\$10,739,963	\$0	\$9,800,203	\$14,537,342		34.8%
\$7,182,522	\$19,699,657	\$27,373,682	\$32,366,723	\$23,580,000	228%	56.2%
\$0	\$4,039,629	\$3,855,746	\$3,740,000	\$10,469,936		102.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		43.1%
\$61,996,338	\$34,078,000	\$192,060,000	\$170,759,382	\$187,122,939	202%	28.5%
\$12,396,661	\$10,760,582	\$29,262,019	\$36,239,090	\$34,170,337	176%	49.4%
\$0		\$2,122,270	\$2,122,269			
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		29.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		30.9%
\$3,550,277	\$3,732,522	\$3,484,152	\$3,321,919	\$4,464,157	26%	103.8%
\$2,476,420	\$1,988,519	\$1,964,000	\$1,720,743		-100%	
\$10,458,663	\$9,813,206	\$9,153,308	\$6,732,933	\$5,851,939	-44%	50.1%
	\$32,122,355	\$32,895,530	\$33,973,133	\$28,805,230		
\$0	\$238,114	\$220,887	\$0	\$196,216		0.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		3.0%
\$255,090	\$372,750	\$1,190,079	\$272,776	\$1,045,940	310%	92.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		54.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,719	\$0		41.8%
\$3,547,199	\$3,192,774	\$2,885,017	\$3,047,934	\$14,656,255	313%	35.1%
\$2,743,661	\$2,290,000	\$2,393,386	\$1,405,000	\$7,409,042	170%	56.4%
\$10,516,698	\$10,059,525	\$9,765,906	\$9,746,627	\$9,126,036	-13%	36.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		68.6%
\$968,600	\$424,142	\$400,862		\$332,791	-66%	25.9%
\$1,723,637	\$1,466,824	\$1,101,906	\$1,199,302	\$971,238	-44%	15.2%
				\$0		
\$745,000	\$0	\$17,620	\$0	\$48,136	-94%	45.1%
\$2,105,000	\$2,030,000	\$3,482,061	\$3,378,263	\$2,401,721	14%	47.0%
\$0		\$370,617	\$370,617	\$955,735		18.6%
\$1,700,070	\$1,261,832	\$1,064,964	\$780,000	\$384,716	-77%	26.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		43.4%

Edmonds

Medium-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Chewelah, City of	0%	0%	9%	7%	5%
Clarkston, City of	7%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Cle Elum, City of	8%			19%	16%
Clyde Hill, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Colfax, City of	0%	19%	57%	43%	37%
College Place, City of	31%	25%	25%	23%	20%
Colton, Town of	7%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Colville, City of	22%	12%	18%	19%	13%
Conconully, Town of		0%	0%	0%	
Concrete, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Connell, City of	3%	2%	18%	12%	0%
Cosmopolis, City of	35%			16%	0%
Coulee City, Town of	0%	0%	42%	38%	43%
Coulee Dam, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Coupeville, Town of	14%	19%	15%	2%	8%
Covington, City of	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Creston, Town of	27%	11%	16%		
Cusick, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Darrington, Town of	4%	3%	2%	1%	0%
Davenport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Dayton, City of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Deer Park, City of	0%	6%	5%	4%	3%
Des Moines, City of	21%	21%	18%	12%	10%
DuPont, City of	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Duval, City of	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%
East Wenatchee, City of	17%	20%	18%	16%	10%
Eatonville, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Edgewood, City of	32%	23%	25%	21%	17%
Edmonds, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Electric City, Town of	43%	47%	44%	40%	39%
Ellensburg, City of		9%	2%	8%	2%
Elma, City of			0%	160%	0%
Elmer City, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%
Endicott, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Entiat, City of	4%	8%	6%	13%	9%
Enumclaw, City of	19%		23%	21%	18%
Ephrata, City of	22%	27%	1%	19%	9%
Everett, City of	0%		0%	1%	0%
Everson, City of	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Fairfield, Town of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Farmington, Town of	0%	0%		0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
\$0	\$0	\$272,899	\$222,327	\$167,420		23.1%
\$407,293	\$0	\$0	\$245,602	\$0	-100%	14.8%
\$233,650			\$793,710	\$720,000	208%	55.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		34.9%
\$0	\$546,981	\$1,595,946	\$1,240,409	\$1,143,839		12.2%
\$2,029,408	\$1,710,849	\$2,114,196	\$2,082,471	\$1,854,817	-9%	44.4%
\$27,490	\$27,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	33.5%
\$1,400,715	\$752,444	\$1,245,498	\$1,311,227	\$926,775	-34%	10.9%
	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$2,939	\$0	\$0	\$0		-100%	
\$76,387	\$61,330	\$462,874	\$322,515	\$7,968	-90%	1.7%
\$1,004,356			\$525,658	\$0	-100%	-11.2%
\$0	\$0	\$218,763	\$196,448	\$224,455		9.4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		7.9%
\$476,446	\$686,105	\$601,055	\$65,993	\$461,604	-3%	74.9%
\$0	\$0	\$166,646	\$0	\$0		54.8%
\$36,667	\$16,000	\$21,995			-100%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		65.0%
\$63,387	\$49,655	\$33,899	\$16,288	\$0	-100%	87.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$165,981		10.2%
\$14,658	\$5,140	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	32.1%
\$0	\$195,000	\$175,000	\$155,000	\$130,000		65.2%
\$10,460,953	\$10,705,332	\$9,923,826	\$7,250,521	\$6,758,283	-35%	32.2%
\$155,260	\$31,328	\$0	\$114,224	\$88,576	-43%	97.3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$700,713	\$0		34.9%
\$2,050,671	\$2,454,958	\$2,324,178	\$2,078,285	\$2,122,178	3%	72.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		68.8%
\$26,807,348	\$25,241,490	\$29,588,553	\$29,084,880	\$28,084,433	5%	97.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		21.8%
\$6,946,485	\$8,350,230	\$8,106,294	\$7,574,588	\$7,967,334	15%	24.8%
	\$325,629	\$74,192	\$305,000	\$78,034		
		\$0	\$210,000	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,564		9.4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		43.6%
\$700,625	\$1,623,221	\$1,239,317	\$2,826,424	\$2,135,681	205%	29.4%
\$1,219,705		\$1,625,020	\$1,472,822	\$1,329,850	9%	15.3%
\$46,805,530	\$56,523,372	\$2,024,442	\$45,398,624	\$26,353,424	-44%	39.1%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,527	\$11,835		31.3%
\$28,899	\$14,562	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	32.0%
\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		14%
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		-15%

Grandview

Low-Valuation Cluster




PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Federal Way, City of	15%	20%	17%	10%	11%
Ferndale, City of	13%	18%	20%	7%	4%
Fife, City of	79%	0%	40%	36%	25%
Fircrest, City of	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%
Forks, City of	4%	1%	4%	3%	2%
Friday Harbor, Town of	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Garfield, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
George, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gig Harbor, City of	41%	45%	39%	45%	44%
Gold Bar, City of	9%	8%	4%		
Goldendale, City of	11%	9%	5%	24%	
Grand Coulee, City of	0%	0%		0%	0%
Grandview, City of	9%	8%	6%	6%	5%
Granger, Town of	0%		0%		0%
Granite Falls, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hamilton, Town of	5%	55%	36%	32%	23%
Harrah, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Harrington, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hartline, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hatton, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Hoquiam, City of	21%		13%	14%	10%
Hunts Point, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ilwaco, City of	70%	59%	3%	0%	0%
Index, Town of	0%	16%	15%	33%	12%
Ione, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Issaquah, City of	51%	41%	40%	35%	34%
Kahlotus, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Kalama, City of	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Kelso, City of	1%	25%	23%	22%	20%
Kenmore, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Kennewick, City of	12%	26%	23%	21%	29%
Kent, City of	40%	39%	33%	36%	37%
Kettle Falls, City of	3%	2%	1%	4%	0%
Kirkland, City of	11%	8%	7%	11%	5%
Kittitas, City of	0%	0%	0%	10%	9%
Krupp, Town of	0%		2%	2%	
La Center, City of	0%		0%		0%
La Conner, Town of	27%	0%	38%	32%	22%
Lacey, City of	16%	14%	11%	13%	20%
LaCrosse, Town of	0%		51%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
\$22,398,803	\$31,972,827	\$27,922,035	\$18,856,215	\$22,652,202	1%	34.7%
\$1,752,724	\$2,427,868	\$2,720,293	\$1,050,678	\$976,867	-44%	85.8%
\$20,207,817	\$0	\$10,912,584	\$11,501,849	\$9,789,094	-52%	55.7%
\$252,985	\$235,000	\$151,245	\$145,000	\$73,125	-71%	75.9%
\$90,328	\$23,991	\$93,688	\$74,646	\$54,630	-40%	15.8%
\$234,211	\$103,873	\$47,346	\$0	\$0	-100%	88.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		12.7%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		31.3%
\$8,809,518	\$10,342,909	\$9,873,274	\$13,025,019	\$16,166,342	84%	69.0%
\$205,800	\$185,656	\$106,865			-100%	
\$895,887	\$721,512	\$399,999	\$1,716,057		-100%	
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		30.5%
\$642,269	\$597,790	\$551,011	\$502,297	\$439,504	-32%	34.7%
\$0		\$0		\$0		39.0%
\$0	\$2,696	\$0	\$0	\$0		69.0%
\$14,794	\$144,694	\$125,090	\$105,286	\$83,296	463%	23.3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		17.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-4.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		25.4%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$1,576,567		\$1,087,156	\$1,136,797	\$849,100	-46%	9.1%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		22.3%
\$1,055,566	\$898,023	\$42,684	\$0	\$0	-100%	84.1%
\$0	\$45,407	\$46,600	\$114,400	\$41,400		26.1%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		28.4%
\$32,443,122	\$30,795,345	\$32,944,420	\$32,757,737	\$37,351,601	15%	74.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$35,000	\$26,731	\$18,164	\$39,592		42.1%
\$189,929	\$3,291,292	\$3,220,914	\$3,150,521	\$3,078,305	1521%	18.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$7,966,094	\$19,698,087	\$18,839,983	\$18,224,881	\$26,407,021	231%	38.8%
\$81,288,218	\$82,259,081	\$73,316,086	\$83,390,961	\$95,678,451	18%	25.1%
\$38,365	\$28,907	\$9,026	\$55,000	\$0	-100%	4.8%
\$19,886,703	\$15,478,472	\$14,346,074	\$24,363,631	\$13,555,756	-32%	38.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$109,566	\$99,421		28.4%
\$0		\$1,600	\$1,400			
\$0		\$0		\$0		117.6%
\$740,168	\$0	\$1,062,953	\$992,216	\$915,981	24%	53.4%
\$8,185,041	\$7,469,039	\$6,948,672	\$9,393,602	\$17,440,096	113%	73.1%
\$0		\$105,492	\$0	\$0		25.2%

Mercer Island

Medium-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Lake Forest Park, City of	13%	7%	5%	6%	11%
Lake Stevens, City of	20%	19%	26%	23%	12%
Lakewood, City of	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Lamont, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Langley, City of	8%	7%	4%	3%	
Latah, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Leavenworth, City of	33%	64%	11%	55%	51%
Liberty Lake, City of	16%	21%	28%	34%	24%
Lind, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Long Beach, City of			12%	8%	5%
Longview, City of	24%	23%	25%	23%	19%
Lyman, Town of	0%	203%	0%	0%	0%
Lynden, City of	31%	26%	23%	35%	29%
Lynnwood, City of	16%	12%	11%	9%	6%
Mabton, City of	0%	174%	0%	6%	
Mansfield, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maple Valley, City of	20%	18%	15%	14%	14%
Marcus, Town of	0%	0%	0%		
Marysville, City of	36%	38%		27%	17%
Mattawa, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
McCleary, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Medical Lake, City of	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Medina, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mercer Island, City of	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%
Mesa, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Metaline Falls, Town of	0%	33%	33%	23%	22%
Metaline, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Mill Creek, City of	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Millwood, Town of	24%		28%	27%	22%
Milton, City of	4%	0%	2%	1%	0%
Monroe, City of	6%	5%	6%	56%	38%
Montesano, City of	1%	0%	5%	0%	3%
Morton, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Moses Lake, City of	14%	20%	17%	11%	35%
Mossyrock, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Mount Vernon, City of	17%	15%	14%	10%	
Mountlake Terrace, City of		14%	11%	12%	9%
Moxee, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mukilteo, City of	5%	4%	2%	1%	0%
Naches, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
\$4,684,978	\$2,648,372	\$1,959,238	\$2,898,613	\$5,257,251	12%	29.3%
\$2,158,205	\$2,055,640	\$3,292,099	\$3,205,014	\$2,934,697	36%	129.0%
\$0	\$0	\$464,844	\$1,764,391	\$443,000		52.6%
\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		-11.6%
\$255,406	\$248,529		\$190,777	\$165,390	-35%	66.7%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$1,514,642	\$3,265,428	\$595,180	\$2,918,974	\$2,762,324	82%	17.6%
\$1,950,799	\$2,620,020	\$3,576,808	\$5,147,412	\$4,825,258	147%	64.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$12,006,729	\$11,444,589	\$11,615,032	\$11,252,755	\$10,852,438	-10%	14.9%
\$0	\$840,238	\$0	\$0	\$0		87.0%
\$4,641,965	\$4,390,013	\$4,105,651	\$6,665,000	\$6,148,901	32%	40.2%
\$11,182,225	\$10,004,126	\$9,154,439	\$8,988,516	\$7,371,446	-34%	70.5%
\$0	\$1,281,718	\$0	\$44,988			
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		7.8%
\$6,479,175	\$6,455,700	\$6,011,300	\$6,135,000	\$7,263,964	12%	58.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0				
\$16,807,061	\$18,320,797		\$17,775,689	\$13,763,143	-18%	72.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		26.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		31.7%
\$23,405	\$46,126	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	71.4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		27.1%
\$6,685,681	\$5,148,200	\$7,014,416	\$6,036,365	\$5,108,726	-24%	24.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		5.3%
\$0	\$55,744	\$52,946	\$50,020	\$46,961		33.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$387,921	\$293,792	\$0	\$0		89.8%
\$1,453,352		\$1,369,300	\$1,259,274	\$1,165,000	-20%	-14.6%
\$434,518	\$0	\$246,000	\$103,175	\$0	-100%	61.2%
\$1,280,146	\$1,193,543	\$1,927,899	\$18,936,524	\$15,846,491	1138%	77.8%
\$50,799	\$0	\$226,000	\$0	\$135,000	166%	20.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		19.3%
\$3,015,456	\$4,378,900	\$3,691,515	\$2,422,357	\$8,552,938	184%	16.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$6,355,000	\$5,999,000	\$5,633,511	\$5,288,822		-100%	
	\$5,170,032	\$4,386,059	\$5,062,085	\$4,432,654		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		173.1%
\$2,957,578	\$2,185,000	\$1,255,089	\$438,142	\$0	-100%	58.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		21.1%

Oak Harbor

Low-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Napavine, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nespelem, Town of	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Newcastle, City of	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%
Newport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nooksack, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Normandy Park, City of	7%	6%	5%	5%	4%
North Bend, City of		15%	14%	12%	10%
North Bonneville, City of	1%	0%	27%	23%	0%
Northport, Town of	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Oak Harbor, City of	8%	6%	4%	7%	3%
Oakesdale, Town of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Oakville, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Ocean Shores, City of	158%	154%	157%	27%	117%
Odessa, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Okanogan, City of	19%	17%	16%	29%	23%
Olympia, City of	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Omak, City of	10%	4%	26%	25%	23%
Oroville, City of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Orting, City of	0%	6%	8%	17%	0%
Othello, City of	11%	5%	40%	24%	28%
Pacific, City of		5%	3%	5%	
Palouse, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%
Pasco, City of	48%	41%	18%	18%	25%
Pateros, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pe Ell, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pomeroy, City of	0%	4%	4%	1%	0%
Port Angeles, City of	22%	19%	22%	34%	19%
Port Orchard, City of	0%	26%	19%	17%	13%
Port Townsend, City of	24%	23%	42%	27%	26%
Poulsbo, City of	10%	19%	15%	39%	27%
Prescott, City of	0%	17%	0%	11%	7%
Prosser, City of	25%	23%	19%	20%	15%
Pullman, City of	8%	15%	4%	6%	3%
Puyallup, City of	26%	32%	47%	37%	33%
Quincy, City of	17%	10%	7%	4%	0%
Rainier, City of			0%	0%	0%
Raymond, City of	0%	13%	14%	11%	
Reardan, Town of	0%			0%	0%
Redmond, City of	5%	5%	3%	17%	15%
Renton, City of	34%	19%	17%	16%	21%
Republic, City of			0%	0%	0%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		34.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,600	\$0		-8.6%
\$4,678	\$0	\$950,000	\$0	\$850,000	18070%	52.3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		16.3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		51.6%
\$1,491,372	\$1,397,295	\$1,130,622	\$1,214,547	\$1,160,000	-22%	33.1%
	\$1,989,783	\$1,863,484	\$1,741,053	\$1,497,134		
\$13,711	\$0	\$335,598	\$321,655	\$0	-100%	57.6%
\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	\$0		8.9%
\$1,805,000	\$1,520,000	\$1,225,000	\$2,091,736	\$1,229,566	-32%	83.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		4.2%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$23,364,983	\$22,937,271	\$24,023,975	\$4,331,778	\$19,705,412	-16%	13.8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-2.9%
\$382,406	\$348,357	\$314,168	\$577,162	\$476,119	25%	2.4%
\$1,679,068	\$0	\$454,273	\$0	\$5,351,571	219%	56.8%
\$453,504	\$179,837	\$1,316,252	\$1,237,123	\$1,152,609	154%	9.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-1.6%
\$0	\$337,500	\$490,804	\$1,405,000	\$0		108.7%
\$933,615	\$369,221	\$3,262,052	\$1,935,195	\$2,308,954	147%	3.2%
	\$354,252	\$291,801	\$580,065			
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$98,528		8.7%
\$15,754,050	\$14,852,042	\$8,208,073	\$9,082,005	\$14,711,450	-7%	77.4%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		32.0%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		51.9%
\$0	\$45,869	\$40,802	\$14,295	\$0		15.4%
\$5,795,133	\$5,166,078	\$6,241,920	\$10,941,327	\$7,491,366	29%	51.9%
\$0	\$2,795,000	\$2,655,000	\$2,510,000	\$2,360,000		70.5%
\$4,303,648	\$4,290,676	\$8,177,010	\$7,884,239	\$7,610,226	77%	63.5%
\$1,485,423	\$3,108,233	\$2,839,171	\$8,762,129	\$8,035,911	441%	102.4%
\$0	\$34,400	\$0	\$28,578	\$19,165		28.2%
\$1,736,246	\$1,596,334	\$1,344,624	\$1,408,307	\$1,239,577	-29%	17.7%
\$1,484,143	\$2,864,541	\$814,691	\$1,249,482	\$715,000	-52%	38.7%
\$16,748,150	\$21,849,149	\$33,643,498	\$31,431,775	\$32,925,595	97%	57.6%
\$1,091,397	\$676,501	\$476,906	\$250,413	\$0	-100%	6.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$355,000			
\$0			\$0	\$0		12.8%
\$10,438,502	\$11,498,058	\$7,988,055	\$43,610,754	\$42,260,469	305%	30.1%
\$50,330,787	\$30,189,767	\$29,031,259	\$28,521,768	\$44,379,133	-12%	39.9%
		\$0	\$0	\$0		

Seattle

High-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Richland, City of	35%	30%	29%	30%	25%
Ridgefield, City of	24%	17%	15%	14%	23%
Ritzville, City of	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%
Riverside, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rock Island, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rockford, Town of	15%	4%	1%	1%	31%
Rosalia, Town of	0%	1%	0%	2%	
Roslyn, City of	10%	9%	6%	0%	
Roy, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Royal City, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ruston, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sammamish, City of	10%	3%	2%	6%	2%
SeaTac, City of	10%	9%	8%	7%	6%
Seattle, City of	54%	45%	41%	41%	42%
Sedro-Woolley, City of	21%	19%	15%	13%	10%
Selah, City of	57%	52%	43%	39%	14%
Sequim, City of	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Shelton, City of	44%	44%	33%	28%	36%
Shoreline, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%
Skykomish, Town of	36%	0%	9%	0%	4%
Snohomish, City of	3%	3%	4%	6%	5%
Snoqualmie, City of	45%	41%	32%	21%	17%
Soap Lake, City of	1%				3%
South Bend, City of	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
South Cle Elum, Town of	0%	6%	4%	2%	0%
South Prairie, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Spangle, Town of	0%	0%	0%	5%	31%
Spokane, City of	25%	24%	43%	45%	35%
Sprague, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Springdale, Town of				0%	
St John, Town of	0%	0%	0%	83%	70%
Stanwood, City of	45%	38%	32%	4%	14%
Starbuck, Town of	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Steilacoom, Town of	18%	0%	11%	18%	4%
Stevenson, City of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sultan, City of	25%	24%	24%	20%	15%
Sumas, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sumner, City of	21%	24%	10%	12%	12%
Sunnyside, City of	38%	33%	5%	10%	22%
Tacoma, City of	32%	45%	39%	59%	32%
Tekoa, City of	0%		0%		

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	AV % Change '02-'06
\$24,205,971	\$21,714,136	\$22,899,418	\$24,757,248	\$21,832,487	-10%	27.5%
\$1,020,798	\$900,000	\$1,040,000	\$1,258,526	\$3,135,561	207%	215.6%
\$47,912	\$44,000	\$23,666	\$11,235	\$0	-100%	5.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-10.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		16.3%
\$64,025	\$17,815	\$5,605	\$6,330	\$164,456	157%	28.3%
\$0	\$5,435	\$0	\$7,843			
\$152,800	\$137,100	\$130,000	\$0		-100%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		50.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		6.3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		96.3%
\$13,560,000	\$3,850,000	\$3,912,521	\$11,410,000	\$3,534,228	-74%	37.6%
\$7,982,293	\$7,516,322	\$7,025,358	\$6,545,000	\$6,012,361	-25%	29.6%
\$1,073,915,186	\$949,440,609	\$893,004,775	\$971,200,839	\$1,120,846,000	4%	32.6%
\$2,331,586	\$2,210,669	\$2,080,477	\$1,975,628	\$1,812,073	-22%	56.8%
\$5,528,669	\$5,049,615	\$4,150,848	\$3,932,846	\$1,550,765	-72%	13.3%
\$105,918	\$0	\$70,000	\$28,401	\$438,163	314%	113.4%
\$4,160,858	\$4,357,411	\$3,621,041	\$3,269,312	\$4,272,566	3%	25.1%
\$0	\$104,442	\$0	\$0	\$18,795,000		33.1%
\$162,500	\$0	\$43,820	\$0	\$20,679	-87%	24.6%
\$485,000	\$375,518	\$787,252	\$1,318,532	\$1,373,212	183%	75.8%
\$7,893,764	\$8,426,869	\$7,907,202	\$6,579,625	\$6,438,028	-18%	110.9%
\$17,505				\$45,900	162%	15.4%
\$25,141	\$9,067	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	-2.5%
\$0	\$39,951	\$30,250	\$20,377	\$0		27.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		58.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,235	\$89,643		15.7%
\$58,314,157	\$56,275,437	\$103,668,498	\$122,732,619	\$108,951,890	87%	37.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$438,461		14.5%
\$3,779,000	\$3,282,700	\$3,092,994	\$475,000	\$2,156,389	-43%	84.1%
\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	24.3%
\$1,939,877	\$0	\$1,365,000	\$2,563,629	\$710,000	-63%	68.6%
\$17,644	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	53.9%
\$1,420,000	\$1,374,232	\$1,734,750	\$1,608,290	\$1,512,419	7%	78.9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		14.1%
\$4,626,092	\$5,653,497	\$2,743,221	\$3,850,474	\$4,971,869	7%	83.7%
\$4,419,002	\$4,043,910	\$583,500	\$1,218,490	\$2,960,000	-33%	14.7%
\$93,755,000	\$139,044,868	\$128,434,089	\$230,980,000	\$150,370,155	60%	58.4%
\$0		\$0				

Yakima

Medium-Valuation Cluster




PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Jurisdiction Name	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Tenino, City of	14%	12%	10%	7%	6%
Tieton, Town of	0%		0%	7%	6%
Toledo, City of	0%	0%			
Tonasket, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Toppenish, City of	8%	6%	11%	4%	6%
Tukwila, City of	19%	31%	23%	22%	20%
Tumwater, City of		42%	36%	24%	17%
Twisp, Town of			0%	64%	30%
Union Gap, City of	35%	12%		0%	
Uniontown, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
University Place, City of	21%	36%	36%	28%	24%
Vader, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Vancouver, City of	33%	37%	34%	33%	28%
Waitsburg, City of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Walla Walla, City of	14%	12%	45%	24%	18%
Wapato, City of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Warden, City of	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Washougal, City of	16%	13%	11%	9%	21%
Washucna, Town of	20%	13%	0%	0%	0%
Waterville, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Waverly, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wenatchee, City of	32%	30%	27%	25%	21%
West Richland, City of	20%	20%	21%	26%	27%
Westport, City of	17%	12%	9%	12%	5%
White Salmon, City of	25%	24%	37%	15%	29%
Wilbur, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wilkeson, Town of	0%				
Wilson Creek, Town of		0%	0%	0%	0%
Winlock, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Winthrop, Town of	0%	20%	5%	4%	1%
Woodinville, City of	14%	12%	11%	10%	9%
Woodland, City of	2%	2%	1%	12%	
Woodway, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Yacolt, Town of	6%	5%	4%	3%	1%
Yakima, City of	16%	21%	20%	19%	15%
Yarrow Point, Town of	0%		5%	5%	
Yelm, City of	16%	12%	0%	8%	46%
Zillah, City of	3%	2%	1%	0%	0%


TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						AV % Change '02-'06
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	% Change '02-'06	
\$220,000	\$200,000	\$180,000	\$160,000	\$135,000	-39%	44.3%
\$0		\$0	\$84,838	\$75,810		6.9%
\$0	\$0					
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		-5.4%
\$435,298	\$348,310	\$635,000	\$208,178	\$353,480	-19%	19.3%
\$16,907,678	\$26,358,988	\$19,484,138	\$20,252,192	\$19,947,496	18%	13.0%
	\$12,276,814	\$11,289,195	\$8,950,061	\$7,774,089		
		\$0	\$755,696	\$472,098		
\$3,140,675	\$1,152,123		\$0		-100%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		18.0%
\$9,698,103	\$18,054,307	\$19,160,000	\$17,774,743	\$18,895,526	95%	69.5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		14.6%
\$79,290,664	\$94,340,000	\$91,170,000	\$98,650,617	\$102,310,858	29%	51.9%
\$9,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	25.2%
\$4,120,129	\$3,639,222	\$13,945,593	\$7,635,213	\$6,967,303	69%	31.6%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		10.8%
\$0	\$0	\$35,063	\$31,134	\$24,862		9.6%
\$2,581,754	\$2,462,240	\$2,348,839	\$2,217,671	\$7,135,734	176%	107.2%
\$28,366	\$18,451	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	1.1%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		2.2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		25.0%
\$11,544,312	\$10,874,906	\$10,185,950	\$9,753,637	\$9,718,635	-16%	28.6%
\$1,850,083	\$2,196,443	\$2,614,023	\$3,444,012	\$3,800,000	105%	57.1%
\$591,203	\$434,596	\$360,745	\$587,679	\$395,161	-33%	112.4%
\$745,108	\$715,451	\$1,503,967	\$647,055	\$1,250,835	68%	45.1%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		7.8%
\$0						
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		9.4%
\$0	\$244,893	\$59,044	\$49,194	\$12,917		44.4%
\$5,725,574	\$5,493,012	\$5,254,228	\$5,184,223	\$5,003,808	-13%	36.7%
\$186,745	\$145,043	\$128,658	\$1,181,164		-100%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		142.2%
\$60,000	\$52,500	\$45,000	\$37,500	\$30,000	-50%	101.4%
\$14,459,553	\$20,361,879	\$19,983,396	\$20,012,996	\$17,660,042	22%	24.9%
\$33,616		\$662,419	\$755,401		-100%	
\$928,299	\$814,335	\$0	\$759,231	\$5,368,666	478%	97.5%
\$80,000	\$55,000	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	-100%	21.0%

SCHOOL DISTRICTS, 2006

* Group average rather than total.
Non-reporting districts not included in *General Comparisons* list and overall averages.



Assessed Valuation
Total: \$705,341,617,165




Population figures were not available for school districts.


GENERAL COMPARISONS <i>Ranked by assessed valuation and clustered in three groups</i>										
School Dist. Name / Valuation Cluster	Non-voted Debt	Non-voted % Cap.	Voted Debt	Voted % Cap.	Total GO Debt	% GO Cap.	Assessed Valuation	Debt / Valuation	Debt % of Total	Valuation % of Total
Seattle SD 1	\$32,428,480	8%	\$0	0%	\$32,428,480	1%	\$105,447,516,677	0.03%	0.45%	14.95%
Bellevue SD 405	\$2,186,746	2%	\$231,955,281	15%	\$234,142,027	15%	\$31,586,978,763	0.74%	3.22%	4.48%
Lake Washington SD 414	\$0	0%	\$141,324,907	9%	\$141,324,907	9%	\$31,547,844,609	0.45%	1.94%	4.47%
Tacoma SD 10	\$43,822,541	58%	\$338,775,292	34%	\$382,597,833	38%	\$19,992,921,724	1.91%	5.26%	2.83%
Edmonds SD 15	\$0	0%	\$258,845,860	26%	\$258,845,860	26%	\$19,918,512,638	1.30%	3.56%	2.82%
Northshore SD 417	\$7,282,486	10%	\$283,082,234	31%	\$290,364,720	31%	\$18,539,559,007	1.57%	3.99%	2.63%
Kent SD 415	\$4,947,431	8%	\$198,504,277	24%	\$203,451,708	25%	\$16,423,890,845	1.24%	2.80%	2.33%
Issaquah SD 411	\$0	0%	\$230,662,930	28%	\$230,662,930	28%	\$16,313,210,936	1.41%	3.17%	2.31%
Everett SD 2	\$0	0%	\$232,855,000	32%	\$232,855,000	32%	\$14,361,542,151	1.62%	3.20%	2.04%
Renton SD 403	\$0	0%	\$247,643,180	35%	\$247,643,180	35%	\$14,207,706,774	1.74%	3.40%	2.01%
Spokane SD 81	\$7,315,026	14%	\$186,526,025	28%	\$193,841,051	29%	\$13,481,828,240	1.44%	2.66%	1.91%
Vancouver SD 37	\$0	0%	\$180,385,739	28%	\$180,385,739	28%	\$13,012,054,848	1.39%	2.48%	1.84%
Evergreen (Clark) SD 114	\$0	0%	\$221,267,776	34%	\$221,267,776	34%	\$12,899,298,656	1.72%	3.04%	1.83%
Highline SD 401	\$2,509,000	5%	\$254,805,600	40%	\$257,314,600	40%	\$12,835,982,292	2.00%	3.54%	1.82%
High Valuation	\$100,491,710	*8%	\$3,006,634,101	*18%	\$3,107,125,811	*18%	\$340,568,848,120	*0.91%	42.70%	48.28%
Mukilteo SD 6	\$2,055,910	5%	\$112,898,178	19%	\$114,954,088	19%	\$11,874,143,738	0.97%	1.58%	1.68%
Puyallup SD 3	\$10	0%	\$267,357,238	45%	\$267,357,248	45%	\$11,755,728,108	2.27%	3.67%	1.67%
Federal Way SD 210	\$0	0%	\$110,475,321	20%	\$110,475,321	20%	\$11,303,077,849	0.98%	1.52%	1.60%
Peninsula SD 401	\$1,285,000	3%	\$52,281,929	10%	\$53,566,929	11%	\$10,032,419,719	0.53%	0.74%	1.42%
Bellingham SD 501	\$0	0%	\$98,301,457	22%	\$98,301,457	22%	\$9,084,085,927	1.08%	1.35%	1.29%
Shoreline SD 412	\$3,609,784	12%	\$126,369,374	30%	\$129,979,158	31%	\$8,310,234,423	1.56%	1.79%	1.18%
Bethel SD 403	\$0	0%	\$170,122,659	41%	\$170,122,659	41%	\$8,218,837,328	2.07%	2.34%	1.17%
Auburn SD 408	\$0	0%	\$123,597,089	30%	\$123,597,089	30%	\$8,113,389,992	1.52%	1.70%	1.15%
North Thurston SD 3	\$0	0%	\$62,036,699	16%	\$62,036,699	16%	\$7,989,473,310	0.78%	0.85%	1.13%
Olympia SD 111	\$0	0%	\$119,994,644	36%	\$119,994,644	36%	\$6,690,335,187	1.79%	1.65%	0.95%
Snohomish SD 201	\$3,369,976	14%	\$142,285,993	43%	\$145,655,969	44%	\$6,591,011,631	2.21%	2.00%	0.93%
Central Kitsap SD 401	\$0	0%	\$29,791,385	9%	\$29,791,385	9%	\$6,581,044,625	0.45%	0.41%	0.93%
Battle Ground SD 119	\$562,578	2%	\$66,820,000	21%	\$67,382,578	21%	\$6,501,028,932	1.04%	0.93%	0.92%
North Kitsap SD 400	\$0	0%	\$71,498,601	23%	\$71,498,601	23%	\$6,346,701,916	1.13%	0.98%	0.90%
Marysville SD 25	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$6,114,097,155	0.00%	0.00%	0.87%
Bainbridge Island SD 303	\$520,000	2%	\$50,153,513	18%	\$50,673,513	18%	\$5,629,450,668	0.90%	0.70%	0.80%
Clover Park SD 400	\$4,641,452	22%	\$64,796,403	23%	\$69,437,855	25%	\$5,521,760,108	1.26%	0.95%	0.78%
Snoqualmie Valley SD 410	\$0	0%	\$73,823,738	28%	\$73,823,738	28%	\$5,358,295,644	1.38%	1.01%	0.76%
Central Valley SD 356	\$0	0%	\$85,093,356	32%	\$85,093,356	32%	\$5,351,358,090	1.59%	1.17%	0.76%
Stanwood-Camano 401	\$0	0%	\$36,208,830	14%	\$36,208,830	14%	\$5,138,086,576	0.70%	0.50%	0.73%
Sumner SD 320	\$2,519,387	13%	\$58,061,471	23%	\$60,580,858	24%	\$5,100,997,905	1.19%	0.83%	0.72%
Kennewick SD 17	\$0	0%	\$33,854,520	14%	\$33,854,520	14%	\$4,745,004,226	0.71%	0.47%	0.67%
Medium Valuation	\$18,564,097	*3%	\$1,955,822,398	*24%	\$1,974,386,495	*24%	\$162,350,563,057	*1.22%	27.13%	23.02%
Low Valuation	\$79,714,185	*11%	\$2,115,128,906	*21%	\$2,194,843,091	*22%	\$202,422,205,988	*1.08%	30.16%	28.70%
Total	\$198,769,992	*8%	\$7,077,585,405	*20%	\$7,276,355,397	*21%	\$705,341,617,165	*1.03%	100.00%	100.00%

SCHOOL DISTRICTS, 2006 *Non-voted, voted and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*


Non-voted GO Debt
Total: \$198,341,617,165



Voted GO Debt
Total: \$7,077,585,405



Total GO Debt
Total: \$7,276,355,397



NON-VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Non-voted GO Debt	% of Nonvoted Cap.
Kahlotus SD 56	Low	Franklin	\$120,396	114%
Wahluke SD 73	Low	Grant	\$1,230,000	89%
Chehalis SD 302	Low	Lewis	\$3,252,769	77%
Quinault Lake SD 97	Low	Grays Harbor	\$223,123	75%
Deer Park SD 414	Low	Spokane	\$1,832,065	75%
Wishkah Valley SD 117	Low	Grays Harbor	\$153,327	70%
Raymond SD 116	Low	Pacific	\$438,864	69%
Onalaska SD 300	Low	Lewis	\$735,032	69%
Oroville SD 410	Low	Okanogan	\$685,451	67%
Highland SD 203	Low	Yakima	\$800,000	67%
Toppenish SD 202	Low	Yakima	\$1,211,360	66%
Wapato SD 207	Low	Yakima	\$1,139,510	62%
Tacoma SD 10	High	Pierce	\$43,822,541	58%
Mt Baker SD 507	Low	Whatcom	\$2,073,298	57%
Medical Lake SD 326	Low	Spokane	\$702,636	46%
Soap Lake SD 156	Low	Grant	\$239,074	46%
Valley SD 70	Low	Stevens	\$120,000	45%
Cape Flattery SD 401	Low	Clallam	\$116,636	40%
Republic SD 309	Low	Ferry	\$260,075	39%
Hoquiam SD 28	Low	Grays Harbor	\$649,935	38%

VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Voted Debt	% of Voted Cap.
Valley SD 70	Low	Stevens	\$3,076,875	86%
Toppenish SD 202	Low	Yakima	\$19,060,669	78%
Cashmere SD 222	Low	Chelan	\$16,062,072	75%
Raymond SD 116	Low	Pacific	\$6,206,486	73%
Okanogan SD 105	Low	Okanogan	\$6,762,445	68%
Eatonville SD 404	Low	Pierce	\$37,274,266	63%
Colfax SD 300	Low	Whitman	\$8,043,473	62%
Wahluke SD 73	Low	Grant	\$11,083,866	60%
Zillah SD 205	Low	Yakima	\$8,933,437	60%
Granite Falls SD 332	Low	Snohomish	\$39,191,282	60%
Aberdeen SD 5	Low	Grays Harbor	\$31,221,226	58%
Kittitas SD 403	Low	Kittitas	\$7,905,000	55%
Winlock SD 232	Low	Lewis	\$6,049,762	54%
West Valley (Spok) 363	Low	Spokane	\$38,120,939	53%
Union Gap SD 2	Low	Yakima	\$8,575,292	52%
Pasco SD 1	Low	Franklin	\$77,977,489	51%
Grandview SD 200	Low	Yakima	\$14,875,529	51%
Shelton SD 309	Low	Mason	\$29,615,124	50%
Southside SD 42	Low	Mason	\$3,338,639	50%
Waitsburg SD 401	Low	Walla Walla	\$2,406,185	47%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 20 SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Jurisdiction Name	AV Cluster	Primary County	Total GO Debt	% of Total Cap.
Valley SD 70	Low	Stevens	\$3,196,875	90%
Toppenish SD 202	Low	Yakima	\$20,272,029	83%
Raymond SD 116	Low	Pacific	\$6,645,350	78%
Cashmere SD 222	Low	Chelan	\$16,062,072	75%
Okanogan SD 105	Low	Okanogan	\$6,762,445	68%
Wahluke SD 73	Low	Grant	\$12,313,866	67%
Eatonville SD 404	Low	Pierce	\$37,274,266	63%
Colfax SD 300	Low	Whitman	\$8,043,473	62%
Aberdeen SD 5	Low	Grays Harbor	\$32,688,454	61%
Zillah SD 205	Low	Yakima	\$8,933,437	60%
Granite Falls SD 332	Low	Snohomish	\$39,191,282	60%
Winlock SD 232	Low	Lewis	\$6,337,850	56%
Kittitas SD 403	Low	Kittitas	\$7,905,000	55%
West Valley (Spok) 363	Low	Spokane	\$38,120,939	53%
Union Gap SD 2	Low	Yakima	\$8,575,292	52%
Pasco SD 1	Low	Franklin	\$77,977,489	51%
Grandview SD 200	Low	Yakima	\$14,875,529	51%
Shelton SD 309	Low	Mason	\$29,615,124	50%
Southside SD 42	Low	Mason	\$3,351,097	50%
Pe Ell SD 301	Low	Lewis	\$2,766,636	47%

