



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY,
TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The
GO
REPORT 2002:
*An Analysis of Local Government
Outstanding General Obligation Debt*

JANUARY 2004

THE GO REPORT 2002:

An Analysis of Local Government Outstanding General Obligation Debt

By Steve Salmi
Bond Users Clearinghouse
Community Development Programs
January 2004

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development
Martha Choe, Director
Nancy K. Ousley, Acting Deputy Director

906 Columbia Street SW
Post Office Box 42525
Olympia, Washington 98504-2525

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTES & CAVEATS

Survey Scope..... 2

OVERVIEW

2002 Reported GO Debt By Jurisdiction Type 3

COUNTIES

2002 General Comparisons..... 4
 2002 Debt-to-Population Ratio, Top 10 Counties..... 4
 2002 Debt-to-Valuation Ratio, Top 10 Counties..... 4
 2002 Voted GO Debt, All Counties 5
 2002 Non-voted GO Debt, Top 20 Counties 5
 2002 Total GO Debt, Top 20 Counties..... 5
 1998-2002 Percent of GO Capacity and Total GO Debt in Dollars..... 6
 1998-2002 Snapshots (King, Chelan and Asotin counties) 7

CITIES/TOWNS

2002 General Comparisons..... 8
 2002 Debt-to-Population, Top 10 Cities/Towns..... 8
 2002 Debt-to-Valuation, Top 10 Cities/Towns..... 8
 2002 Voted GO Debt, Top 25 Cites/Towns..... 9
 2002 Non-voted GO Debt, Top 25 Cities/Towns..... 9
 2002 Total GO Debt, Top 25 Counties..... 9
 1998-2002 Percent of GO Capacity and Total GO Debt in Dollars..... 10
 1998-2002 Snapshots (Des Moines, Hoquiam, Omak, Snoqualmie and Vancouver) 11-15

ALL JURISDICTIONS, 2002 GO DEBT 16

BOND USERS CLEARINGHOUSE SERVICES 34

The GO Report 2002 is published by the Bond Users Clearinghouse
 Washington State Dept. of Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED)
 906 Columbia Street SW,
 PO Box 48350, Olympia WA 98504-2525

Web page: www.cted.wa.gov/, click on "Local Government" tab, then "Community Development Programs" (left box), and "Bond Users Clearinghouse"(left box)

Program Coordinator: Steve Salmi
 360/725-3021 or steves@cted.wa.gov

Administrative Assistant: Vernita Shye
 360/725-3023 or vernitas@cted.wa.gov

Statutory Authority: The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 39.44 was amended in 1990 as follows:

"Each local government that issues any type of bond shall make a report annually to (the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development) that includes a summary of all the outstanding bonds of the local government as of the first day of January in that year. Such report shall distinguish the outstanding bond issues on the basis of the type of bond, as defined in RCW 39.44.200, and shall report the local government's outstanding indebtedness compared to any applicable limitations on indebtedness, including RCW 35.42.200, 39.30.010, and 39.36.020."

We make all efforts to ensure the accuracy of the data, but it has not been audited and should be read with caution. CTED assumes no liability for any inaccuracies.

CTED cannot guarantee full compliance with state reporting requirements, so debt listings may not be exhaustive. If data from a jurisdiction is not listed, no assumptions should be made about its debt status.

NOTES & CAVEATS

Welcome to a modest experiment

Let's be honest: Many of the municipal officials who fill out our annual outstanding general obligation (GO) debt survey could probably find other things to do with their precious time. So this year we've experimented with new ways to make the resulting report more useful, particularly for survey respondents.

For starters, *The GO Report* is offered in both print and PDF editions (the latter is posted on our web page and sent via e-mail). The low cost of electronic distribution allows us to make the report available to all survey respondents.

We've also strived to increase the usefulness of the data analysis. As in past reports, you'll find a table that lists GO debt levels of jurisdictions by county (see page 16). However, this table now includes more detailed breakdowns of debt categories.

In addition, a variety of tables and charts were created that allow the reader to make apples-to-apples comparisons for the first time. We thought we'd start with counties and cities and find out if readers were interested in seeing this approach used with other jurisdiction types such as school districts.

Also new is debt data for the past five years so readers can view the 2002 data in a historical context (see pages 6 and 10). We included debt-to-population and debt-to-valuation ratios (pages 4 and 8) with the understanding that a robust debate rages about which ratios are most useful.

Many of these improvements were made possible by a significant upgrading of our data-

2002 SURVEY RESPONSE RATE			
Jurisdiction Type	No. of Surveys Sent	No. of Responses	Response Rate (%)
Cities	280	256	91%
Counties	39	37	95%
Hospital Districts	8	4	50%
Irrigation Districts	12	10	83%
Port Districts	3	* 10	333%
Public Utility Districts	18	18	100%
School Districts	306	272	89%
Water-Sewer Districts	3	3	100%
Misc.	7	6	86%
TOTAL	676	616	91%

* Port districts distributed the questionnaire among themselves.

bases ... and efforts above and beyond the call of duty by our administrative assistant extraordinaire, Vernita Shye.

Ideas for the future include sending surveys to more junior taxing districts, presenting additional debt ratios, using GIS maps, calculating net direct debt for select jurisdictions, and linking our debt and bond-issue databases so more detailed debt profiles can be offered.

Why change an already useful report? Because better data analysis might plausibly help municipal and state policymakers better understand the increasingly complex budgetary challenges faced by local governments.

Give us your feedback. We'd love to hear your ideas about how to make *The GO Report* more useful to you. Send me a quick e-mail at steves@cted.wa.gov.

— Steve Salmi

SURVEY SCOPE

Questionnaires were mailed in April 2003 to 676 local jurisdictions, and a follow-up letter was later sent to non-respondents. Counties, school districts and "other jurisdictions" received slightly different questions. However, the following data was requested from everyone:

> Non-voted (or councilmanic) general obligation (GO) debt. This includes bonds as well as bond- and grant-anticipation notes but *not* intergovernmental loan agreements, e.g., with the Public Works Trust Fund, Dept. of Ecology or U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. This category does include certificates of participation issued by the state treasurer on behalf of a jurisdiction.

> Voter-approved GO debt, such as bonds, anticipation notes, lease-purchase agreements, and installation sales contracts.

> The total cash, investments and taxes receivable in debt service funds for non-voted or voter-approved debt.

The surveys mainly differed regarding voter-approved debt categories. School districts distinguished between non-capital and capital outlays, whereas other jurisdictions itemized general, utilities and parks debt.

As in previous years, for 2002 only the principal amount of debt was requested, and jurisdictions were not required to report less than \$10,000 for any debt category. Those jurisdictions that did not have any outstanding debt were asked to return a survey so marked.

The figures are for the 2002 fiscal year, which ended on August 31, 2002 for school districts; and December 31, 2002 for all other jurisdictions. Debt capacity in this report represents the statutory — not constitutional — limitations on local governments.

Comparative tables only include 2002 data about jurisdictions that submitted surveys in time for data analysis, but the table on page 16 includes a few late submissions. Seven jurisdictions submitted incorrectly categorized data that could not be calculated; it was not listed. Junior taxing district data provided by counties was not included because their Schedule 09 forms do not list all funds needed to calculate debt capacity figures.

OVERVIEW


Washington municipalities reported almost \$10.1 billion in outstanding GO debt for 2002. Roughly 44 percent of that was non-voted debt and 56 voted debt. Only 3 percent of GO debt was reported from “other” jurisdictions such as fire, port and public utility districts, but this reflects minimal surveying of these jurisdiction types (see survey response-rate table on page 2).

Comparing 2002 figures with previous years is problematic because of inconsistent non-response rates. Another indicator of debt activity may be the GO debt issues listed in the *Municipal Bonds Monthly Update* (see page 34 for more information on this publication). The total par value of those issues topped \$3.85 billion in 2002, which was 17 percent higher than in 2001 and 118 percent greater than in 2000.

These figures, which were tabulated by the date of issue sales, represent only a partial view of municipal GO debt, e.g., because they do not include outstanding debt from issues dating prior to 2000. The figures do include new issues, refundings and combination offerings. Refundings and combinations grew from 10 percent of total GO par value in 2000 to 54 percent in 2002. However, for 2002 the par value of combinations overshadowed refundings by a two-to-one margin, the opposite of the proportions in 2001.

A cluster analysis of 2002 survey data was conducted to assess the variation in debt levels between jurisdictions with high, medium and low assessed valuation. The tables on pages 4 and 8 show that GO debt is more concentrated in urban centers than population and assessed valuation.

As a case in point, King County comprised 30 percent of the population and 44 percent of the assessed valuation of all counties, but reported



65 percent of GO debt of that jurisdiction type (see page 4). Meanwhile, Seattle represented 16 percent of the population and 25 percent of the assessed valuation of reporting cities, but comprised 51 percent of GO debt (see page 8).

A cluster analysis can mask variations in debt ratios within a group. For example, the average debt-to-population ratio among low-valuation counties was \$215, but ranged from \$682 for Asotin — the third highest among counties — to \$0 for Columbia, Garfield, Skamania and Wahkiakum counties. By the same token, the average population-to-valuation ratio among medium-valuation cities was .39 percent, but ranged from 1.28 percent for Issaquah — the fourth highest among cities — to 0 percent for Auburn, Kenmore, Lakewood, Medina and Shoreline.

The jurisdiction type that tended to use the highest percentage of its statutory taxing capacity was school districts, followed by counties and cities. Entities with low populations and/or assessed valuations usually had the highest percentages (see tables on pages 5, 9 and 16). During 1998-2002 debt in dollars increased more quickly than assessed valuation for a greater proportion of counties than cities (pages 6 and 10).

READING THE DATA

> Year-to-year comparisons of statewide debt data have only been used with jurisdiction types where the response rate has been consistent enough to not significantly skew totals.

> In the tables \$0 means no GO debt was reported in a given category. A blank space or “N/A” designates when a survey was not submitted, was submitted after data analysis began, or was incorrect and unusable.

> The cluster analysis shown in the *General Comparisons* tables (pages 4 and 8) ranks jurisdictions by assessed valuation because it was deemed more useful in analyzing debt than the more typical approach, which is to group by population.

> Assessed-valuation data is from the state Department of Revenue, and population data is from the Office of Financial Management.



> For space reasons the term “cities” has often been used generically to refer to both cities and towns.

> In the *GO Debt, All Jurisdictions* table (see page 16) Total GO debt (col. N) is the sum of non-voted (col. F) and voted debt (col. L). Non-voted debt was calculated with the following formula: (col. B-C)+(D-E). Voted debt used the formula: (H+I+J)-K. Negative subtotals — which represent positive cashflow — were converted to \$0, since this report is only measuring debt. Columns C, E and K, which represent various types of funds on hand, were italicized to emphasize that they were subtracted from debt subtotal columns.

> Some tables refer to the “% of Cap.” (e.g., pages 5, 9 and 16). This means the percentage of a jurisdiction’s statutory GO debt capacity being used. These limits may be higher than what is needed to maintain a good credit rating, according to *A Debt Primer for Washington’s Cities and Towns*. This useful report was published in 1994 (No. 30) by the Municipal Research & Services Center of Washington (<http://www.mrsc.org/>).

COUNTIES, 2002

* Average rather than total.
Average does not include non-reporting counties.



GENERAL COMPARISONS Ranked by assessed valuation and clustered in three groups




Name of Jurisdiction	Total GO Debt	Population	Assessed Valuation	Debt / Population	Debt / Valuation	Debt % of Total	Pop. % of Total	Valuation % of Total
King County	\$1,761,828,374	1,774,300	\$223,890,180,125	\$992.97	0.79%	64.86%	29.37%	44.07%
Snohomish County	\$271,573,875	628,000	\$49,262,949,977	\$432.44	0.55%	10.00%	10.39%	9.70%
Pierce County	\$50,835,620	725,000	\$46,539,831,881	\$70.12	0.11%	1.87%	12.00%	9.16%
Clark County	\$95,320,000	363,400	\$25,776,168,312	\$262.30	0.37%	3.51%	6.01%	5.07%
Spokane County	\$53,419,293	425,600	\$21,552,014,734	\$125.52	0.25%	1.97%	7.04%	4.24%
Kitsap County	\$83,228,859	234,700	\$16,494,091,138	\$354.62	0.50%	3.06%	3.88%	3.25%
Thurston County	\$35,259,404	212,300	\$14,146,526,273	\$166.08	0.25%	1.30%	3.51%	2.78%
Whatcom County	\$15,846,403	172,200	\$12,616,676,560	\$92.02	0.13%	0.58%	2.85%	2.48%
Yakima County	\$59,464,104	225,000	\$10,197,814,475	\$264.28	0.58%	2.19%	3.72%	2.01%
HIGH-VAL. COUNTIES	\$2,426,775,932	4,760,500	\$420,476,253,475	* \$509.77	* 0.58%	89.34%	78.79%	82.76%
Skagit County	\$16,965,000	105,100	\$9,109,187,252	\$161.42	0.19%	0.62%	1.74%	1.79%
Benton County	\$31,282,000	147,600	\$8,115,694,249	\$211.94	0.39%	1.15%	2.44%	1.60%
Island County	\$69,641,989	73,100	\$7,081,127,119	\$952.69	0.98%	2.56%	1.21%	1.39%
Cowlitz County	\$60,965,388	94,400	\$6,688,386,412	\$645.82	0.91%	2.24%	1.56%	1.32%
Chelan County	\$11,971,011	67,600	\$4,777,025,233	\$177.09	0.25%	0.44%	1.12%	0.94%
Lewis County	\$13,005,921	70,200	\$4,578,596,855	\$185.27	0.28%	0.48%	1.16%	0.90%
Grant County	N/A	76,400	\$4,278,845,757	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.26%	0.84%
Clallam County	\$0	64,900	\$4,255,534,426	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.07%	0.84%
San Juan County	\$8,366,679	14,600	\$4,123,583,293	\$573.06	0.20%	0.31%	0.24%	0.81%
Mason County	\$1,330,000	49,800	\$3,872,258,078	\$26.71	0.03%	0.05%	0.82%	0.76%
Grays Harbor County	\$200,892	68,400	\$3,747,036,482	\$2.94	0.01%	0.01%	1.13%	0.74%
Walla Walla County	N/A	55,400	\$3,108,310,794	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.92%	0.61%
Jefferson County	\$2,025,000	26,600	\$2,724,796,840	\$76.13	0.07%	0.07%	0.44%	0.54%
Kittitas County	\$2,427,182	34,800	\$2,529,668,941	\$69.75	0.10%	0.09%	0.58%	0.50%
MEDIUM-VAL. COUNTIES	\$218,181,062	948,900	\$68,990,051,731	* \$267.02	* # 0.35%	8.03%	15.71%	13.58%
Franklin County	\$25,645,854	51,300	\$2,410,750,262	\$499.92	1.06%	0.94%	0.85%	0.47%
Stevens County	\$10,192,048	40,400	\$2,134,374,159	\$252.28	0.48%	0.38%	0.67%	0.42%
Okanogan County	\$4,941,611	39,800	\$2,104,491,906	\$124.16	0.23%	0.18%	0.66%	0.41%
Douglas County	\$5,167,168	33,100	\$1,882,441,002	\$156.11	0.27%	0.19%	0.55%	0.37%
Whitman County	\$1,989,617	40,600	\$1,839,301,040	\$49.01	0.11%	0.07%	0.67%	0.36%
Pacific County	\$6,212,080	21,000	\$1,573,350,693	\$295.81	0.39%	0.23%	0.35%	0.31%
Klickitat County	\$279,536	19,300	\$1,452,487,528	\$14.48	0.02%	0.01%	0.32%	0.29%
Adams County	\$794,587	16,600	\$1,111,865,993	\$47.87	0.07%	0.03%	0.27%	0.22%
Asotin County	\$14,121,890	20,700	\$843,597,582	\$682.22	1.67%	0.52%	0.34%	0.17%
Lincoln County	\$820,933	10,200	\$773,017,320	\$80.48	0.11%	0.03%	0.17%	0.15%
Skamania County	\$0	9,900	\$765,608,539	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%	0.15%
Pend Oreille County	\$1,098,684	11,800	\$680,979,840	\$93.11	0.16%	0.04%	0.20%	0.13%
Ferry County	\$90,934	7,300	\$352,714,773	\$12.46	0.03%	0.00%	0.12%	0.07%
Columbia County	\$0	4,100	\$265,172,876	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%	0.05%
Wahkiakum County	\$0	3,800	\$256,908,845	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.05%
Garfield County	\$0	2,400	\$138,164,109	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.03%
LOW-VAL. COUNTIES	\$71,354,942	332,300	\$18,585,226,467	* \$214.73	* 0.38%	2.63%	5.50%	3.66%
TOTAL COUNTIES	\$2,716,311,936	6,041,700	\$508,051,531,673	* # \$459.62	* # 0.54%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

COUNTIES, 2002 *Non-voted, voted and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*

Total outstanding GO debt rose 7 percent in the last year among reporting counties, from roughly \$2.54 billion in 2001 to almost \$2.72 billion in 2002.

For 2002, high-valuation counties generated the same percentage of non-voted, voted and total debt: 89 percent (see page 4 for how counties are grouped according to assessed valuation). However, low- and medium-valuation counties traded places when it came to their proportion of non-voted and voted debt. Low-valuation counties garnered only 2 percent of non-voted debt, but 9 percent of voted debt. Two counties, Asotin and Franklin, largely accounted for the high level of voted debt in the low-valuation cluster. These two counties ranked first and second in the percentage of debt relative to both their voted and total outstanding GO debt capacity.

Two medium-valuation counties, Cowlitz and Island, ranked first and second in the percentage of non-voted debt. Nevertheless, in dollar terms King County dwarfed other reporting counties in all three categories. Snohomish County used only 22 percent of its total capacity, but its \$271 million in debt ranked second only to King's \$1.76 billion. By the same token, Clark County almost topped \$100 million in total debt but used only 15 percent of its capacity.



NON-VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Non-voted GO Debt	% of Non-voted Capacity
1	Cowlitz County	Medium	\$60,965,388	61%
2	Island County	Medium	\$63,256,776	60%
3	King County	High	\$1,512,496,999	45%
4	Snohomish County	High	\$271,573,875	37%
5	Yakima County	High	\$53,275,221	35%
6	Franklin County	Low	\$12,575,766	35%
7	Kitsap County	High	\$83,228,859	34%
8	Stevens County	Low	\$10,192,048	32%
9	Pacific County	Low	\$6,212,080	26%
10	Benton County	Medium	\$31,282,000	26%
11	Clark County	High	\$95,320,000	25%
12	Lewis County	Medium	\$13,005,921	19%
13	Douglas County	Low	\$5,167,168	18%
14	Chelan County	Medium	\$11,971,011	17%
15	Thurston County	High	\$35,259,404	17%
16	Spokane County	High	\$53,419,293	17%
17	Okanogan County	Low	\$4,941,611	16%
18	San Juan County	Medium	\$8,366,679	14%
19	Asotin County	Low	\$1,619,447	13%
20	Skagit County	Medium	\$16,965,000	12%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 20 COUNTIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
1	Asotin County	Low	\$14,121,890	67%
2	Franklin County	Low	\$25,645,854	43%
3	Island County	Medium	\$69,641,989	39%
4	Cowlitz County	Medium	\$60,965,388	36%
5	King County	High	\$1,761,828,374	31%
6	Yakima County	High	\$59,464,104	23%
7	Snohomish County	High	\$271,573,875	22%
8	Kitsap County	High	\$83,228,859	20%
9	Stevens County	Low	\$10,192,048	19%
10	Pacific County	Low	\$6,212,080	16%
11	Benton County	Medium	\$31,282,000	15%
12	Clark County	High	\$95,320,000	15%
13	Lewis County	Medium	\$13,005,921	11%
14	Douglas County	Low	\$5,167,168	11%
15	Chelan County	Medium	\$11,971,011	10%
16	Thurston County	High	\$35,259,404	10%
17	Spokane County	High	\$53,419,293	10%
18	Okanogan County	Low	\$4,941,611	9%
19	San Juan County	Medium	\$8,366,679	8%
20	Skagit County	Medium	\$16,965,000	7%

VOTED GO DEBT, ALL COUNTIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Voted GO Debt	% of Voted Capacity
1	Asotin County	Low	\$12,502,443	59%
2	Franklin County	Low	\$13,070,089	22%
3	King County	High	\$249,331,375	4%
4	Island County	Medium	\$6,385,213	4%
5	Yakima County	High	\$6,188,883	2%
6	Grays Harbor County	Medium	\$200,892	0%

COUNTIES, 1998-2002 *Sorted alphabetically*

Reporting counties displayed a wide variation in their outstanding total GO debt loads between 1998 and 2002, both in dollar terms and as a percentage of debt capacity.

Asotin used the largest proportion of its debt capacity in 2002 (67%), but since 1999 this county's debt has slightly declined in dollar terms, and the percentage of Asotin's used debt capacity dropped by 18 points. In contrast, second-ranking Franklin County, whose 2002 debt capacity was 43 percent, saw its debt more than double and its used capacity increase 18 points since 1998 (see page 5 for 2002 rankings).

Relatively few counties had flat debt amounts during this period. Spokane County's debt grew 1 percent in dollars, and Clallam County reported zero debt throughout the entire five-year period. King County's debt load rose 2 percent between 1999 and 2002 (figures for 1998 were not reported), but substantial growth in assessed valuation resulted in an 11-point drop in the percentage of debt capacity used.

The 17 counties that saw their debt levels increase in dollar terms between 1998 and 2002 were fairly equally distributed in the high-, medium- and low-valuation clusters. This contrasted with those counties whose debt levels dropped; seven out of 12 were in the low-valuation cluster.


Only half of the counties with complete data saw debt (in dollars) increase faster than assessed valuation during 1998-2002. Indeed, two of the faster-growing counties, Thurston and Klickitat, reported declining debt levels.

PERCENT OF GO CAPACITY					
Name of Jurisdiction	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Adams County	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%
Asotin County		85%	78%	67%	67%
Benton County			17%	18%	15%
Chelan County	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%
Clallam County	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Clark County	13%	13%	12%	17%	15%
Columbia County	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cowlitz County	3%	35%		33%	36%
Douglas County	6%	17%	12%	10%	11%
Ferry County	5%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Franklin County	25%	35%	29%		43%
Garfield County			82%	0%	0%
Grant County	0%	0%	0%	1%	
Grays Harbor Co.	0%	1%	2%	10%	0%
Island County			6%	10%	39%
Jefferson County	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%
King County		42%	38%	33%	31%
Kitsap County	12%	15%		18%	20%
Kititas County	0%	0%	0%		4%
Klickitat County	2%	0%			1%
Lewis County	7%	15%		12%	11%
Lincoln County	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%
Mason County	2%		2%	2%	1%
Okanogan County	13%			10%	9%
Pacific County	23%	3%	2%	16%	16%
Pend Oreille Co.	5%	5%	3%	7%	6%
Pierce County	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%
San Juan County	8%	3%	3%	3%	8%
Skagit County	7%	6%	9%	8%	7%
Skamania County	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Snohomish County	12%		10%	22%	22%
Spokane County	11%	14%		11%	10%
Stevens County	15%		17%	14%	19%
Thurston County	17%	16%	12%	11%	10%
Wahkiakum County	0%	1%		0%	0%
Walla Walla County				4%	
Whatcom County	7%	7%	6%	6%	5%
Whitman County	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Yakima County	11%		11%	9%	23%


TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						Assessed Valuation % Change 1998-2002
1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% Debt Change 1998-2002	
\$1,056,161	\$1,043,157	\$831,999	\$777,911	\$794,587	-25%	13%
	\$15,967,453	\$15,206,011	\$13,576,826	\$14,121,890	N/A	N/A
		\$29,387,129	\$34,317,643	\$31,282,000	N/A	N/A
\$10,299,253	\$10,061,581	\$10,902,627	\$11,233,547	\$11,971,011	16%	15%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	15%
\$68,574,876	\$73,714,718	\$69,090,000	\$101,295,000	\$95,320,000	39%	25%
\$23,059	\$5,712	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	10%
\$3,838,505	\$53,772,436		\$52,331,674	\$60,965,388	1488%	14%
\$2,425,897	\$7,456,895	\$5,302,159	\$4,550,775	\$5,167,168	113%	11%
\$362,141	\$74,150	\$34,428	\$95,286	\$90,934	-75%	19%
\$12,140,178	\$17,673,470	\$15,758,523		\$25,645,854	111%	25%
		\$2,891,257	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,029,241		N/A	N/A
\$240,000	\$525,000	\$1,348,072	\$8,922,725	\$200,892	-16%	13%
		\$10,095,000	\$17,921,901	\$69,641,989	N/A	N/A
\$2,562,018	\$2,552,795	\$2,388,009	\$2,210,000	\$2,025,000	-21%	23%
	\$1,722,811,873	\$1,756,540,181	\$1,720,593,899	\$1,761,828,374	N/A	N/A
\$37,507,770	\$49,340,218		\$67,151,570	\$83,228,859	122%	31%
\$0	\$0	\$0		\$2,427,182	—	30%
\$453,680	\$0			\$279,536	-38%	31%
\$6,300,059	\$14,157,446		\$13,312,520	\$13,005,921	106%	24%
\$1,037,396	\$988,527	\$934,452	\$880,286	\$820,933	-21%	14%
\$1,810,000		\$1,535,000	\$1,435,000	\$1,330,000	-27%	19%
\$5,940,000			\$4,915,000	\$4,941,611	-17%	17%
\$8,083,688	\$1,254,985	\$352,259	\$6,195,425	\$6,212,080	-23%	10%
\$736,454	\$773,427	\$520,320	\$1,268,519	\$1,098,684	49%	9%
\$33,449,924	\$32,231,538	\$63,339,338	\$60,884,225	\$50,835,620	52%	32%
\$5,427,688	\$2,053,007	\$2,555,978	\$2,558,161	\$8,366,679	54%	46%
\$11,780,256	\$11,075,849	\$18,696,453	\$17,459,879	\$16,965,000	44%	29%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$347,913	\$0	0%	21%
\$108,994,611		\$108,778,488	\$254,588,268	\$271,573,875	149%	33%
\$52,887,861	\$66,442,622		\$56,112,123	\$53,419,293	1%	17%
\$7,173,001		\$8,518,173	\$7,278,575	\$10,192,048	42%	13%
\$48,035,378	\$44,808,440	\$37,256,091	\$35,411,646	\$35,259,404	-27%	27%
\$0	\$31,148		\$0	\$0	0%	21%
			\$3,027,854		N/A	N/A
\$19,268,750	\$19,408,267	\$18,033,167	\$16,974,170	\$15,846,403	-18%	18%
\$537,022	\$517,578	\$497,208	\$476,345	\$1,989,617	270%	8%
\$24,407,425		\$26,513,850	\$23,341,397	\$59,464,104	144%	18%

COUNTIES, 1998-2002 SNAPSHOTS





King County (High-Valuation Cluster)



Chelan County (Medium-Valuation Cluster)





Asotin County (Low-Valuation Cluster)



CITIES/TOWNS, 2002

* Average rather than total.
Non-reporting cities and towns not included in *General Comparisons* list and overall averages.





GENERAL COMPARISONS Ranked by assessed valuation and clustered in three groups

Name of Jurisdiction	Total GO Debt	2002 Population	Assessed Valuation	Debt / Population	Debt / Valuation	Debt % of Total	Pop. % of Total	Valuation % of Total
Seattle, City of	\$1,073,915,186	570,802	\$79,638,500,926	\$1,881.41	1.35%	51.09%	16.37%	24.98%
Bellevue, City of	\$61,996,338	117,000	\$20,689,734,478	\$529.88	0.30%	2.95%	3.36%	6.49%
Tacoma, City of	\$93,755,000	194,900	\$11,824,449,244	\$481.04	0.79%	4.46%	5.59%	3.71%
Vancouver, City of	\$79,290,664	148,800	\$9,701,112,505	\$532.87	0.82%	3.77%	4.27%	3.04%
Spokane, City of	\$58,314,157	195,500	\$9,161,858,918	\$298.28	0.64%	2.77%	5.61%	2.87%
HIGH-VALUATION CITIES	\$1,367,271,345	1,227,002	\$131,015,656,071	* \$1,114.32	* 1.04%	65.04%	35.19%	41.10%
Redmond, City of	\$10,438,502	46,040	\$8,571,688,595	\$226.73	0.12%	0.50%	1.32%	2.69%
Everett, City of	\$46,805,530	96,070	\$8,424,812,456	\$487.20	0.56%	2.23%	2.76%	2.64%
Kent, City of	\$81,288,218	84,275	\$8,175,076,544	\$964.56	0.99%	3.87%	2.42%	2.56%
Kirkland, City of	\$19,886,703	45,790	\$7,107,874,257	\$434.30	0.28%	0.95%	1.31%	2.23%
Mercer Island, City of	\$6,685,681	21,955	\$6,277,164,020	\$304.52	0.11%	0.32%	0.63%	1.97%
Renton, City of	\$50,330,787	53,840	\$5,956,980,003	\$934.82	0.84%	2.39%	1.54%	1.87%
Federal Way, City of	\$22,398,803	83,850	\$5,912,362,755	\$267.13	0.38%	1.07%	2.41%	1.85%
Sammamish, City of	\$13,560,000	34,660	\$5,597,929,515	\$391.23	0.24%	0.65%	0.99%	1.76%
Shoreline, City of	\$0	53,250	\$4,898,765,058	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.53%	1.54%
Bellingham, City of	\$12,396,661	69,260	\$4,598,293,447	\$178.99	0.27%	0.59%	1.99%	1.44%
Auburn, City of	\$0	45,010	\$4,271,232,352	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.29%	1.34%
Bothell, City of	\$10,458,663	30,754	\$3,770,516,358	\$340.07	0.28%	0.50%	0.88%	1.18%
Yakima, City of	\$14,459,553	79,120	\$3,673,433,781	\$182.75	0.39%	0.69%	2.27%	1.15%
Bainbridge Island	\$7,182,522	20,920	\$3,622,228,144	\$343.33	0.20%	0.34%	0.60%	1.14%
Tukwila, City of	\$16,907,678	17,270	\$3,478,611,208	\$979.02	0.49%	0.80%	0.50%	1.09%
Lakewood, City of	\$0	58,662	\$3,373,203,034	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.68%	1.06%
Edmonds, City of	\$26,807,348	39,460	\$3,348,388,884	\$679.35	0.80%	1.28%	1.13%	1.05%
SeaTac, City of	\$7,982,293	25,320	\$3,150,829,810	\$315.26	0.25%	0.38%	0.73%	0.99%
Olympia, City of	\$1,679,068	42,690	\$3,114,550,849	\$39.33	0.05%	0.08%	1.22%	0.98%
Richland, City of	\$24,205,971	40,150	\$2,745,968,657	\$602.89	0.88%	1.15%	1.15%	0.86%
Lynnwood, City of	\$11,182,225	33,990	\$2,713,237,600	\$328.99	0.41%	0.53%	0.97%	0.85%
Kennewick, City of	\$7,966,094	56,280	\$2,657,652,950	\$141.54	0.30%	0.38%	1.61%	0.83%
Puyallup, City of	\$16,748,150	34,920	\$2,568,468,374	\$479.61	0.65%	0.80%	1.00%	0.81%
Burien, City of	\$3,547,199	31,810	\$2,544,672,750	\$111.51	0.14%	0.17%	0.91%	0.80%
Issaquah, City of	\$32,443,122	13,790	\$2,530,845,508	\$2,352.66	1.28%	1.54%	0.40%	0.79%
Camas, City of	\$10,516,698	13,540	\$2,176,262,552	\$776.71	0.48%	0.50%	0.39%	0.68%
Mukilteo, City of	\$2,957,578	18,520	\$2,169,239,709	\$159.70	0.14%	0.14%	0.53%	0.68%
Lacey, City of	\$8,185,041	31,860	\$2,039,965,671	\$256.91	0.40%	0.39%	0.91%	0.64%
Longview, City of	\$12,006,729	35,310	\$1,998,401,756	\$340.04	0.60%	0.57%	1.01%	0.63%
Des Moines, City of	\$10,460,953	29,510	\$1,959,083,008	\$354.49	0.53%	0.50%	0.85%	0.61%
Medina, City of	\$0	3,010	\$1,933,825,927	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	0.61%
University Place	\$9,698,103	30,350	\$1,887,182,352	\$319.54	0.51%	0.46%	0.87%	0.59%
Marysville, City of	\$16,807,061	27,580	\$1,885,030,271	\$609.39	0.89%	0.80%	0.79%	0.59%
Kenmore, City of	\$0	19,180	\$1,881,799,346	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.55%	0.59%
Woodinville, City of	\$5,725,574	9,830	\$1,662,907,709	\$582.46	0.34%	0.27%	0.28%	0.52%
Mount Vernon, City of	\$6,355,000	26,670	\$1,502,002,342	\$238.28	0.42%	0.30%	0.76%	0.47%
MEDIUM-VALUATION CITIES	\$528,073,508	1,404,496	\$134,180,487,552	* \$375.99	* 0.39%	25.12%	40.28%	42.09%
LOW-VAL. CITIES (not itemized)	\$206,755,796	854,921	\$53,575,099,334	* \$241.84	* 0.39%	9.84%	24.52%	16.81%
TOTAL CITIES/TOWNS	\$2,102,100,649	3,486,419	\$318,771,242,957	* \$602.94	* 0.66%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

CITIES/TOWNS, 2002 *Non-voted, voted and total outstanding GO debt, ranked by percentage of taxing capacity*

Low-valuation cities and towns generated almost twice as large of a share of voted GO debt than they did non-voted debt (see pie charts to your right). Indeed, only five medium- or high-valuation cities made it onto the top 25 list of cities regarding voted debt, which is ranked by those who have used the largest percentage of their debt capacity (see chart below left). Low-valuation cities made up more than half of the top 25 rosters for non-voted and total debt (see below center and right). However, Seattle ranked third both in non-voted and total debt.



VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total Voted Debt	% of Voted Capacity
1	Ocean Shores, City of	Low	\$21,131,199	47.76%
2	Sunnyside, City of	Low	\$4,419,002	12.52%
3	Cosmopolis, City of	Low	\$1,004,356	11.80%
4	College Place, City of	Low	\$2,029,408	10.37%
5	Stanwood, City of	Low	\$2,470,000	9.80%
6	La Conner, Town of	Low	\$740,168	9.16%
7	Shelton, City of	Low	\$2,610,109	9.15%
8	Creston, Town of	Low	\$36,667	8.90%
9	White Salmon, City of	Low	\$745,108	8.40%
10	Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$1,025,056	7.48%
11	Puyallup, City of	Medium	\$13,440,732	6.98%
12	Prosser, City of	Low	\$1,426,464	6.96%
13	Snoqualmie, City of	Low	\$3,628,000	6.88%
14	Port Angeles, City of	Low	\$5,300,226	6.73%
15	Spokane, City of	High	\$41,507,989	6.04%
16	Hoquiam, City of	Low	\$1,258,353	5.46%
17	Anacortes, City of	Low	\$5,795,369	5.27%
18	Camas, City of	Medium	\$8,118,850	4.97%
19	Blaine, City of	Low	\$1,600,000	4.97%
20	Pasco, City of	Low	\$4,775,352	4.88%
21	Carnation, City of	Low	\$509,990	4.69%
22	Tenino, City of	Low	\$220,000	4.68%
23	Tacoma, City of	High	\$41,336,000	4.66%
24	Mount Vernon, City of	Medium	\$5,015,000	4.45%
25	Millwood, Town of	Low	\$808,389	4.41%

NON-VOTED GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total Non-voted Debt	% of Non-voted Capacity
1	Selah, City of	Low	\$4,820,000	82.47%
2	Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$6,946,485	71.14%
3	Seattle, City of	High	\$831,517,527	69.61%
4	Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$8,809,518	68.13%
5	Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$25,673,497	67.63%
6	Cashmere, City of	Low	\$1,349,739	63.53%
7	Skykomish, Town of	Low	\$162,500	60.24%
8	Kent, City of	Medium	\$73,667,000	60.07%
9	Union Gap, City of	Low	\$3,140,675	58.34%
10	Pasco, City of	Low	\$10,978,698	56.12%
11	Vancouver, City of	High	\$79,290,664	54.49%
12	Renton, City of	Medium	\$47,289,299	52.92%
13	Lynden, City of	Low	\$4,641,965	51.34%
14	Marysville, City of	Medium	\$12,138,847	42.93%
15	Sultan, City of	Low	\$1,420,000	42.11%
16	Snoqualmie, City of	Low	\$4,265,764	40.48%
17	Longview, City of	Medium	\$12,006,729	40.05%
18	Richland, City of	Medium	\$16,372,097	39.75%
19	Port Townsend, City of	Low	\$4,303,648	39.29%
20	Ridgefield, City of	Low	\$1,020,798	39.18%
21	Colville, City of	Low	\$1,400,715	37.40%
22	Edmonds, City of	Medium	\$18,594,354	37.02%
23	Chelan, City of	Low	\$1,700,070	35.48%
24	Everett, City of	Medium	\$44,087,241	34.89%
25	University Place, City of	Medium	\$9,698,103	34.26%

TOTAL GO DEBT, TOP 25 CITIES				
Rank	Name of Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation Cluster	Total GO Debt	% of Total Capacity
1	Ocean Shores, City of	Low	\$23,364,983	52.81%
2	Selah, City of	Low	\$5,528,669	18.92%
3	Seattle, City of	High	\$1,073,915,186	17.98%
4	Issaquah, City of	Medium	\$32,443,122	17.09%
5	Cashmere, City of	Low	\$1,723,637	16.23%
6	Pasco, City of	Low	\$15,754,050	16.11%
7	Stanwood, City of	Low	\$3,779,000	14.99%
8	Snoqualmie, City of	Low	\$7,893,764	14.98%
9	Shelton, City of	Low	\$4,160,858	14.58%
10	Ellensburg, City of	Low	\$6,946,485	14.23%
11	Gig Harbor, City of	Low	\$8,809,518	13.63%
12	Kent, City of	Medium	\$81,288,218	13.26%
13	Sunnyside, City of	Low	\$4,419,002	12.52%
14	Skykomish, Town of	Low	\$162,500	12.05%
15	Marysville, City of	Medium	\$16,807,061	11.89%
16	Cosmopolis, City of	Low	\$1,004,356	11.80%
17	Richland, City of	Medium	\$24,205,971	11.75%
18	Union Gap, City of	Low	\$3,140,675	11.67%
19	Renton, City of	Medium	\$50,330,787	11.27%
20	Leavenworth, City of	Low	\$1,514,642	11.05%
21	Blaine, City of	Low	\$3,550,277	11.03%
22	Vancouver, City of	High	\$79,290,664	10.90%
23	Wenatchee, City of	Low	\$11,544,312	10.71%
24	Edmonds, City of	Medium	\$26,807,348	10.67%
25	Tacoma, City of	High	\$93,755,000	10.57%

CITIES/TOWNS, 1998-2002 *Sorted alphabetically*

The accompanying table shows the variability of GO debt levels over time. For example, Ocean Shores, Selah, Ellensburg and Union Gap saw the percentage of their used debt capacity increase substantially in 2002 over previous years, whereas Seattle, Issaquah and Shelton saw their percentages decline to varying degrees.

Among cities that submitted data in 1998 and 2002, only 23 percent increased their GO debt in dollars by a greater percentage than their assessed valuation grew (see the last two columns on the right). In addition, one third of reporting cities saw their debt in dollars fall.

These proportions varied by valuation cluster. Twenty percent of high-valuation cities saw their debt in dollars fall between 1998 and 2002, compared to 47 percent for medium-valuation cities and 32 percent for low-valuation cities.

Among the 50 reporting cities with the largest percentage increases in assessed valuation during 1998-2002, only 30 percent saw their debt in dollars grow by a greater proportion than assessed valuation. These included Snoqualmie (713% vs. 264%), Issaquah (111% vs. 102%), Gold Bar (232% vs. 59%), Stanwood (207% vs. 57%), Marysville (75% vs. 51%), Pasco (74% vs. 48%) and Woodinville (252% vs. 45%).

Debt in dollars dropped for 42 percent of the 50 cities with the largest percentage increases in assessed valuation during 1998-2002. These included Arlington (-97% vs. 104%), Bonney Lake (-23% vs. 89%), Medina (-100% vs. 83%) and Yarrow Point (-72% vs. 74%).



All of the above figures do not include the 22 percent of cities that did not provide complete data for both 1998 and 2002, the vast majority of which were from the low-valuation cluster.

PERCENT OF TOTAL GO CAPACITY					
Name of Jurisdiction	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Aberdeen, City of	12%				
Airway Heights, City of		29%			
Albion, Town of	0%	0%		0%	0%
Algona, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Almira, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Anacortes, City of	1%	3%	9%	8%	8%
Arlington, City of	3%	1%	2%		0%
Asotin, City of	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Auburn, City of	0%	0%	3%		0%
Bainbridge Island, City	11%	12%			3%
Battle Ground, City of	2%		4%	2%	0%
Beaux Arts Village	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bellevue, City of	7%	5%		4%	4%
Bellingham, City of	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%
Benton City, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bingen, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black Diamond, City of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Blaine, City of	10%	9%	8%	7%	11%
Bonney Lake, City of	9%	11%	0%	5%	4%
Bothell, City of	7%		5%	6%	4%
Bremerton, City of	9%	8%	8%	10%	
Brewster, City of	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%
Bridgeport, City of	3%	2%	1%		0%
Brier, City of	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Buckley, City of			0%	0%	0%
Bucoda, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Burien, City of	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Burlington, City of	8%	6%	6%	6%	5%
Camas, City of	3%	2%	8%	7%	6%
Carbonado, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Carnation, City of		7%	6%	5%	9%
Cashmere, City of	8%	7%	6%	17%	16%
Castle Rock, City of	0%				
Cathlamet, Town of	0%	0%	0%		
Centralia, City of	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%
Chehalis, City of	0%	3%		0%	0%
Chelan, City of		7%	6%	7%	7%
Cheney, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chewelah, City of	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Clarkston, City of	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Cle Elum, City of	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%
Clyde Hill, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Colfax, City of	3%	2%	2%		0%
College Place, City of	14%	17%	15%	15%	10%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						Assessed Valuation
1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% Change 1998-2002	% Change 98-02
\$5,770,549					N/A	N/A
	\$2,366,115				N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	0%	20%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	33%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	24%
\$915,598	\$2,746,287	\$8,607,171	\$8,160,961	\$8,377,534	815%	26%
\$1,218,729	\$575,226	\$1,068,168		\$40,867	-97%	104%
\$50,000	\$60,384	\$27,494	\$20,000	\$22,800	-54%	22%
\$0	\$0	\$7,899,848		\$0	0%	35%
\$17,993,920	\$22,265,307			\$7,182,522	-60%	60%
\$585,658		\$1,484,891	\$675,804	\$0	-100%	66%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	56%
\$68,143,612	\$61,096,564		\$53,330,637	\$61,996,338	-9%	52%
\$8,332,162	\$10,856,628	\$9,860,813	\$12,539,020	\$12,396,661	49%	20%
\$6,464	\$3,902	\$1,679	\$0	\$0	-100%	11%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	3%
\$39,438		\$19,330	\$0	\$0	-100%	41%
\$2,815,108	\$2,611,073	\$2,400,274	\$2,163,940	\$3,550,277	26%	16%
\$3,232,546	\$4,485,703	\$0	\$1,125,273	\$2,476,420	-23%	89%
\$12,975,525		\$11,796,940	\$8,340,605	\$10,458,663	-19%	55%
\$9,072,047	\$8,406,330	\$8,440,000	\$11,404,410		N/A	N/A
\$22,665	\$12,190	\$290,000	\$192,178	\$0	-100%	4%
\$62,203	\$40,043	\$13,485		\$0	-100%	10%
\$289,678	\$277,796	\$285,000	\$250,206	\$255,090	-12%	22%
		\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	29%
\$940,000	\$870,875	\$660,000	\$510,000	\$3,547,199	277%	44%
\$3,188,716	\$2,468,501	\$2,774,102	\$3,355,764	\$2,743,661	-14%	57%
\$3,820,031	\$3,456,415	\$11,554,026	\$11,073,679	\$10,516,698	175%	37%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	38%
	\$570,000	\$550,000	\$530,000	\$968,600	N/A	N/A
\$757,418	\$666,256	\$570,539	\$1,826,729	\$1,723,637	128%	13%
\$0					N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0			N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,180,000	\$2,105,000	—	17%
\$0	\$789,211		\$0	\$0	0%	9%
	\$1,561,661	\$1,343,197	\$1,556,578	\$1,700,070	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	23%
\$112,845	\$103,726	\$67,728	\$25,000	\$0	-100%	19%
\$363,938	\$324,977	\$283,069	\$370,036	\$407,293	12%	12%
\$0	\$0	\$296,293	\$273,285	\$233,650	—	17%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	63%
\$180,000	\$158,644	\$133,751		\$0	-100%	28%
\$1,947,701	\$2,617,018	\$2,548,911	\$2,801,611	\$2,029,408	4%	37%

SNAPSHOT: Des Moines

Medium-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF TOTAL GO CAPACITY					
Name of Jurisdiction	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Colton, Town of	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%
Colville, City of	0%	6%	8%	4%	7%
Conconully, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Concrete, Town of	0%	0%	1%		0%
Connell, City of	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
Cosmopolis, City of	15%	14%	12%	11%	12%
Coulee City, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Coulee Dam, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Coupeville, Town of	1%	1%	1%	3%	5%
Covington, City of	0%	0%		0%	0%
Creston, Town of	24%	16%	0%	12%	9%
Cusick, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Darrington, Town of	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%
Davenport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Dayton, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Deer Park, City of			0%	0%	0%
Des Moines, City of	8%	8%	6%	4%	7%
DuPont, City of	1%	1%	1%		0%
Duvall, City of		15%	0%	0%	0%
East Wenatchee	7%		9%	8%	6%
Eatonville, Town of	0%	0%	0%		
Edgewood, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Edmonds, City of	13%	5%	7%	8%	11%
Electric City, Town of	0%			0%	0%
Ellensburg, City of	1%	5%	5%	6%	14%
Elma, City of	5%	4%	4%	4%	
Elmer City, Town of	0%				
Endicott, Town of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Entiat, City of					0%
Enumclaw, City of					1%
Ephrata, City of	3%	10%	8%	7%	6%
Everett, City of	9%	6%	7%	8%	7%
Everson, City of	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Fairfield, Town of	5%	5%	4%	3%	2%
Farmington, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Federal Way, City of	10%	8%	7%	4%	5%
Ferndale, City of	8%	7%	6%	6%	4%
Fife, City of	11%	9%	8%		
Fircrest, City of			2%	1%	1%
Forks, City of	2%	2%	2%		1%
Friday Harbor, Town of	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%
Garfield, Town of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
George, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gig Harbor, City of	5%	3%	3%	15%	14%
Gold Bar, City of	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%
Goldendale, City of		1%	0%	5%	4%
Grand Coulee, City of		0%	0%		0%
Grandview, City of	4%	4%	3%		3%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						Assessed Valuation % Change 1998-2002
1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% Change 1998-2002	
\$41,308	\$38,055	\$35,791	\$32,500	\$27,490	-33%	13%
\$0	\$1,099,564	\$1,409,610	\$1,814,870	\$1,400,715	—	8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$37,185		\$2,939	—	25%
\$70,078	\$182,252	\$113,000	\$90,729	\$76,387	9%	34%
\$1,284,918	\$1,210,697	\$1,117,217	\$1,040,992	\$1,004,356	-22%	2%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	38%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	20%
\$73,270	\$72,344	\$71,552	\$293,572	\$476,446	550%	24%
\$10,741	\$0	\$0	\$1,304	\$0	-100%	47%
\$69,301	\$60,000	\$0	\$49,000	\$36,667	-47%	44%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	5%
\$102,762	\$103,775	\$92,451	\$78,804	\$63,387	-38%	69%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	19%
\$0	\$10,500	\$8,797	\$23,826	\$14,658	—	11%
		\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$8,561,765	\$8,484,875	\$7,456,003	\$5,140,394	\$10,460,953	22%	42%
\$268,408	\$241,508	\$228,893		\$155,260	-42%	47%
	\$3,934,473	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$1,623,118		\$2,471,218	\$2,335,000	\$2,050,671	26%	49%
\$10,421	\$0	\$0			N/A	N/A
\$0	\$8,963	\$11,348	\$0	\$0	0%	33%
\$28,565,641	\$12,592,306	\$18,198,679	\$20,132,053	\$26,807,348	-6%	18%
\$0			\$0	\$0	0%	22%
\$203,935	\$2,215,938	\$2,087,727	\$2,733,040	\$6,946,485	3306%	23%
\$475,340	\$446,625	\$419,667	\$450,000		N/A	N/A
\$0					N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	0%	11%
				\$0	N/A	N/A
				\$700,625	N/A	N/A
\$408,827	\$1,597,034	\$1,435,622	\$1,280,693	\$1,219,705	198%	33%
\$49,593,734	\$34,165,214	\$36,611,442	\$45,619,658	\$46,805,530	-6%	17%
\$9,494	\$82,935	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	14%
\$78,140	\$74,992	\$63,645	\$51,199	\$28,899	-63%	8%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	8%
\$31,870,770	\$28,039,890	\$27,546,282	\$18,203,708	\$22,398,803	-30%	36%
\$2,508,785	\$2,371,169	\$2,051,278	\$1,967,230	\$1,752,724	-30%	19%
\$5,960,000	\$5,485,416	\$5,123,353			N/A	N/A
		\$459,688	\$341,603	\$252,985	N/A	N/A
\$130,454	\$169,697	\$156,963		\$90,328	-31%	10%
\$424,677	\$585,698	\$519,894	\$402,102	\$234,211	-45%	26%
\$7,787	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	5%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	9%
\$2,322,760	\$1,639,570	\$1,422,939	\$9,031,063	\$8,809,518	279%	39%
\$61,918	\$51,713	\$190,673	\$171,268	\$205,800	232%	59%
	\$68,369	\$0	\$452,989	\$895,887	N/A	N/A
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$778,037	\$742,582	\$698,812		\$642,269	-17%	6%

SNAPSHOT: Hoquiam

Low-Valuation Cluster





Granger, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Granite Falls, City of	1%	1%	0%		0%
Hamilton, Town of	0%	3%	0%		2%
Harrah, Town of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Harrington, City of	0%				0%
Hartline, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hoquiam, City of	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%
Hunts Point, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ilwaco, City of	0%	0%	0%	11%	
Index, Town of	0%	8%	6%	6%	0%
Ione, Town of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Issaquah, City of	16%	21%		19%	17%
Kahlotus, City of					0%
Kalama, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kelso, City of	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%
Kenmore, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kennewick, City of	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%
Kent, City of		15%	15%		13%
Kettle Falls, City of	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Kirkland, City of	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%
Kititas, City of	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Krupp, Town of		0%	0%		0%
La Center, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
La Conner, Town of	11%	11%	9%		9%
Lacey, City of	4%	3%	3%	2%	5%
LaCrosse, Town of	0%	0%			0%
Lake Forest Park		5%	3%	0%	4%
Lake Stevens	11%	10%	9%	7%	7%
Lakewood, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Lamont, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Langlely, City of	7%	5%		4%	3%
Latah, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Leavenworth, City of		15%	13%	13%	11%
Liberty Lake, City of				1%	5%
Lind, Town of	0%			0%	
Longview, City of	11%	10%	10%	9%	8%
Lyman, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Lynden, City of	13%	11%	10%	9%	10%
Lynnwood, City of	16%	7%	6%	6%	5%
Mabton, City of	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Malden, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Mansfield, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maple Valley, City of	0%	0%	9%	8%	7%
Marcus, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Marysville, City of	10%	5%	10%	3%	12%
Mattawa, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
McCleary, City of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Medical Lake, City of	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Medina, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	

	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	72%
	\$44,825	\$67,356	\$40,565		\$0	-100%	56%
	\$0	\$25,000	\$0		\$14,794	—	30%
	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	0%	33%
	\$0				\$0	0%	20%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	-1%
	\$1,969,428	\$1,716,515	\$1,526,083	\$1,808,129	\$1,576,567	-20%	2%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	71%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$472,500		N/A	N/A
	\$0	\$57,200	\$55,200	\$53,200	\$0	0%	23%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	13%
	\$15,387,599	\$22,334,879		\$34,091,737	\$32,443,122	111%	102%
				\$0		N/A	N/A
	\$8,311	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	34%
	\$836,639	\$589,236	\$431,350	\$301,066	\$189,929	-77%	6%
	\$350,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	40%
	\$8,619,355	\$8,596,189	\$8,250,155	\$7,893,690	\$7,966,094	-8%	27%
		\$74,840,754	\$78,607,206		\$81,288,218	N/A	N/A
	\$64,150	\$59,887	\$53,666	\$47,366	\$38,365	-40%	2%
	\$19,901,828	\$19,430,360	\$17,916,936	\$21,389,985	\$19,886,703	0%	49%
	\$0	\$0	\$61,800	\$0	\$0	0%	13%
		\$0	\$0		\$0	N/A	N/A
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	50%
	\$710,188	\$740,336	\$604,401		\$740,168	4%	28%
	\$4,391,369	\$3,900,933	\$3,372,690	\$2,948,373	\$8,185,041	86%	36%
	\$0	\$0			\$0	0%	1%
		\$4,431,041	\$2,988,374	\$0	\$4,684,978	N/A	N/A
	\$2,509,933	\$2,425,726	\$2,340,685	\$2,249,865	\$2,158,205	-14%	45%
	\$26,946	\$18,537	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	16%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	9%
	\$529,056	\$453,400		\$371,050	\$255,406	-52%	25%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	N/A
		\$1,894,247	\$1,776,541	\$1,734,238	\$1,514,642	N/A	N/A
				\$197,178	\$1,950,799	N/A	N/A
	\$0			\$0		N/A	N/A
	\$12,567,967	\$12,386,623	\$13,813,095	\$12,991,062	\$12,006,729	-4%	28%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	50%
	\$4,782,887	\$4,541,459	\$4,245,238	\$3,932,764	\$4,641,965	-3%	22%
	\$25,730,437	\$13,243,400	\$12,252,581	\$11,587,129	\$11,182,225	-57%	23%
	\$0	\$0	\$10,078	\$0	\$0	0%	35%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%
	\$0	\$0	\$6,709,137	\$6,660,000	\$6,479,175	—	53%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	13%
	\$9,582,657	\$5,194,760	\$10,326,224	\$5,495,000	\$16,807,061	75%	51%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	128%
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	13%
	\$103,214	\$72,884	\$68,612	\$45,809	\$23,405	-77%	25%
	\$160,000	\$0		\$0	\$0	-100%	83%

SNAPSHOT: Omak

Low-Valuation Cluster





PERCENT OF TOTAL GO CAPACITY					
Name of Jurisdiction	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Mercer Island, City of	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Mesa, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Metaline Falls, Town of	0%		0%	0%	0%
Metaline, Town of	0%				
Mill Creek, City of	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Millwood, Town of	7%	10%	6%	12%	8%
Milton, City of	0%	0%			1%
Monroe, City of	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%
Montesano, City of	0%	5%	4%	1%	0%
Morton, City of			0%		0%
Moses Lake, City of	11%	3%	1%	5%	5%
Mossyrock, City of	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Mount Vernon, City of	9%	6%	7%		6%
Mountlake Terrace	4%	3%	3%		
Moxee, City of	0%	0%		0%	0%
Mukilteo, City of		4%	4%	3%	2%
Naches, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Napavine, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nespelem, Town of				0%	
Newcastle, City of	0%	0%			0%
Newport, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nooksack, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Normandy Park, City of	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%
North Bend, City of				9%	
North Bonneville, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northport, Town of	1%		0%		0%
Oak Harbor, City of	10%	6%	8%	6%	3%
Oakesdale, Town of	0%	0%	0%		0%
Ocean Shores, City of	16%	11%	11%	12%	53%
Odessa, Town of	2%	0%			0%
Okanogan, City of			8%	7%	6%
Olympia, City of	4%	2%	0%	1%	1%
Omak, City of	14%	6%	6%	4%	3%
Oroville, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Orting, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Othello, City of	10%	9%	9%	8%	4%
Pacific, City of	0%	0%	2%	1%	
Palouse, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pasco, City of	14%		20%	16%	
Pateros, City of	0%	0%			0%
Pe Ell, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pomeroy, City of	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Port Angeles, City of	9%	9%	8%	8%	7%
Port Orchard, City of	0%	0%	1%		0%
Port Townsend, City of	2%	3%	2%	2%	8%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS						Assessed Valuation % Change 1998-2002	Assessed Valuation % Change 1998-2002
1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% Change 1998-2002		
\$7,664,639	\$6,558,410	\$8,333,237	\$7,513,261	\$6,685,681	-13%	64%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	26%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	12%	
\$0					N/A	N/A	
\$913,094	\$787,449	\$601,427	\$648,354	\$0	-100%	45%	
\$707,000	\$1,073,753	\$714,500	\$1,364,150	\$1,453,352	106%	86%	
\$84,728	\$22,592			\$434,518	413%	42%	
\$1,532,182	\$2,568,515	\$2,346,618	\$1,443,243	\$1,280,146	-16%	43%	
\$46,512	\$533,943	\$513,338	\$175,000	\$50,799	9%	12%	
		\$0		\$0	N/A	N/A	
\$4,964,449	\$1,588,740	\$505,055	\$3,228,223	\$3,015,456	-39%	37%	
\$0	\$55,573	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	14%	
\$8,156,364	\$5,710,000	\$6,975,000		\$6,355,000	-22%	27%	
\$2,889,137	\$2,701,406	\$2,587,701			N/A	N/A	
\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	0%	35%	
	\$5,093,637	\$4,346,277	\$3,523,514	\$2,957,578	N/A	N/A	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	42%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	30%	
				\$0	N/A	N/A	
\$0	\$99,057			\$4,678	—	69%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	24%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	17%	
\$231,646	\$178,041	\$1,774,747	\$1,657,386	\$1,491,372	544%	36%	
			\$3,455,355		N/A	N/A	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,711	—	45%	
\$5,601		\$0		\$0	-100%	19%	
\$5,894,011	\$3,946,286	\$5,139,685	\$4,201,875	\$1,805,000	-69%	22%	
\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	0%	0%	
\$5,871,497	\$4,437,082	\$4,687,000	\$5,275,778	\$23,364,983	298%	19%	
\$29,225	\$0			\$0	-100%	29%	
		\$452,488	\$418,267	\$382,406	N/A	N/A	
\$8,428,250	\$4,071,160	\$0	\$2,418,126	\$1,679,068	-80%	20%	
\$1,545,844	\$851,753	\$775,658	\$518,496	\$453,504	-71%	23%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	2%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	60%	
\$2,035,000	\$1,988,322	\$1,923,076	\$1,770,028	\$933,615	-54%	20%	
\$0	\$0	\$475,753	\$103,349		N/A	N/A	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	26%	
\$9,079,827		\$15,449,328		\$15,754,050	74%	48%	
\$7,197	\$0			\$0	-100%	-4%	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	19%	
\$0	\$91,454	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	7%	
\$6,978,343	\$6,870,504	\$6,205,566	\$6,240,746	\$5,795,133	-17%	3%	
\$0	\$0	\$155,517		\$0	0%	16%	
\$646,805	\$1,097,935	\$884,164	\$817,836	\$4,303,648	565%	28%	

SNAPSHOT: Snoqualmie



Low-Valuation Cluster



Poulsbo, City of	6%	4%	5%	4%	10%	\$1,774,026	\$1,477,566	\$1,827,750	\$1,761,050	\$1,485,423	-16%	43%
Prescott, City of	0%	0%	9%	9%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$50,500	\$56,000	\$0	0%	17%
Prosser, City of	11%	12%	10%	9%	8%	\$1,973,509	\$2,158,694	\$2,009,702	\$1,875,041	\$1,736,246	-12%	19%
Pullman, City of	5%		4%		3%	\$2,637,745		\$2,178,798		\$1,484,143	-44%	10%
Puyallup, City of	8%	13%			9%	\$12,437,804	\$21,556,456			\$16,748,150	35%	30%
Quincy, City of	12%	8%	7%	6%	6%	\$1,988,339	\$1,390,636	\$1,201,856	\$1,037,158	\$1,091,397	-45%	17%
Rainier, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		N/A	N/A
Raymond, City of		0%	0%	0%	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
Reardan, Town of	0%	0%	1%		0%	\$0	\$0	\$7,000		\$0	0%	20%
Redmond, City of	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	\$18,368,304	\$17,291,883	\$15,130,424	\$11,675,092	\$10,438,502	-43%	51%
Renton, City of	8%	8%	10%	7%	11%	\$26,807,689	\$26,427,891	\$37,033,319	\$27,770,729	\$50,330,787	88%	41%
Republic, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%		\$0		\$0	\$0		N/A	N/A
Richland, City of	13%	14%	11%	12%	12%	\$19,937,772	\$21,288,166	\$18,315,702	\$21,499,007	\$24,205,971	21%	36%
Ridgefield, City of	0%	0%	9%	0%	8%	\$0	\$0	\$1,032,962	\$0	\$1,020,798	—	84%
Ritzville, City of	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	\$84,853	\$70,855	\$57,610	\$45,447	\$47,912	-44%	15%
Riverside, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	15%
Rock Island, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	-20%
Rockford, Town of		1%	6%		5%		\$7,895	\$74,995		\$64,025	N/A	N/A
Rosalia, Town of	1%			3%	0%	\$10,521			\$35,000	\$0	-100%	14%
Roslyn, City of	0%	9%	7%	4%	3%	\$0	\$349,466	\$310,810	\$164,000	\$152,800	—	20%
Roy, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	224%
Royal City, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%		\$3,686	\$0		\$0	\$0	-100%	42%
Ruston, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	0%	38%
Sammamish, City of				0%	3%				\$318,103	\$13,560,000	N/A	N/A
SeaTac, City of	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	\$9,626,044	\$9,258,774	\$8,853,050	\$8,448,983	\$7,982,293	-17%	21%
Seattle, City of			29%		18%			\$1,408,032,024		\$1,073,915,186	N/A	N/A
Sedro-Woolley, City of			7%	7%	7%			\$2,181,552	\$2,116,775	\$2,331,586	N/A	N/A
Selah, City of	1%	1%	1%	1%	19%	\$320,445	\$311,565	\$292,797	\$283,388	\$5,528,669	—	30%
Sequim, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$105,918	—	13%
Shelton, City of	19%	17%	15%	17%	15%	\$3,556,112	\$4,566,042	\$4,446,588	\$4,921,124	\$4,160,858	17%	49%
Shoreline, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%		\$0		\$0		\$0	0%	47%
Skykomish, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$162,500	—	43%
Snohomish, City of	2%				1%	\$524,935				\$485,000	-8%	27%
Snoqualmie, City of	7%	7%	12%	12%	15%	\$970,388	\$1,636,145	\$3,780,531	\$5,328,615	\$7,893,764	713%	264%
Soap Lake, City of					0%					\$17,505	N/A	N/A
South Bend, City of	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	\$0	\$57,379	\$0	\$37,980	\$25,141	—	10%
South Cle Elum	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	22%
South Prairie, Town of		20%	0%	0%			\$240,587	\$0		\$0	N/A	N/A
Spangle, Town of			3%	45%	0%			\$20,000	\$328,817	\$0	N/A	N/A
Spokane, City of	7%	13%		9%	8%	\$43,603,229	\$81,292,330		\$63,384,546	\$58,314,157	34%	12%
Sprague, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	26%
Springdale, Town of		0%					\$0				N/A	N/A
St. John, Town of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$10,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	20%
Stanwood, City of	8%	6%	18%		15%	\$1,229,935	\$1,131,997	\$3,537,756		\$3,779,000	207%	57%
Starbuck, Town of		0%	2%	0%	4%		\$0	\$3,222	\$0	\$9,500	N/A	N/A
Steilacoom, Town of	15%	15%	10%	10%	6%	\$5,385,000	\$4,969,866	\$3,649,877	\$3,284,877	\$1,939,877	-64%	-10%
Stevenson, City of	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	\$86,177	\$68,637	\$50,164	\$34,349	\$17,644	-80%	16%
Sultan, City of	14%	14%	9%	27%	8%	\$1,535,000	\$1,529,640	\$1,484,777	\$1,455,000	\$1,420,000	-7%	58%
Sumas, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	-4%
Sumner, City of		12%	12%		7%		\$5,259,183	\$6,614,349		\$4,626,092	N/A	N/A
Sunnyside, City of	18%	17%	14%	13%	13%	\$5,268,409	\$5,054,367	\$4,776,961	\$4,615,438	\$4,419,002	-16%	18%

PROFILE: Vancouver

High-Valuation Cluster



PERCENT OF TOTAL GO CAPACITY					
Name of Jurisdiction	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Tacoma, City of	11%		8%	8%	11%
Tekoa, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tenino, City of	11%	10%	8%	6%	5%
Tieton, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Toledo, City of			0%	0%	0%
Tonasket, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Toppenish, City of		5%	4%	7%	3%
Tukwila, City of	3%	7%	7%	6%	6%
Twisp, Town of	15%			40%	
Union Gap, City of	6%	3%	2%	3%	12%
Uniontown, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
University Place, City of	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%
Vader, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Vancouver, City of	8%	9%	12%	12%	11%
Waitsburg, City of		11%	0%	0%	0%
Walla Walla, City of	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%
Wapato, City of		0%			0%
Warden, City of		0%			0%
Washougal, City of		0%	2%		5%
Washtucna, Town of		14%	11%	9%	7%
Waterville, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Waverly, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wenatchee, City of	9%	8%	8%	12%	11%
West Richland, City of	4%	8%	7%	7%	7%
Westport, City of	2%	4%	5%		6%
White Salmon, City of	11%	11%	9%	9%	8%
Wilbur, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wilkerson, Town of	0%				0%
Wilson Creek, Town of	0%	0%	0%		
Winlock, City of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Winthrop, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Woodinville, City of	2%	2%	6%	5%	5%
Woodland, City of	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Woodway, Town of	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Yacolt, Town of	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Yakima, City of	10%	8%	6%	6%	5%
Yarrow Point, Town of	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Yelm, City of	5%	1%	7%	5%	5%
Zillah, City of	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%

TOTAL GO DEBT IN DOLLARS, 1998-2002						Assessed Valuation % Change 1998-2002
1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	% Change 1998-2002	
\$74,885,000		\$62,039,000	\$66,614,000	\$93,755,000	25%	28%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	-2%
\$420,000	\$370,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	\$220,000	-48%	28%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	76%
		\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	-13%
	\$737,575	\$629,162	\$1,091,833	\$435,298	N/A	N/A
\$5,388,720	\$15,168,386	\$16,855,888	\$16,154,156	\$16,907,678	214%	35%
\$461,219			\$1,230,746		N/A	N/A
\$1,153,284	\$615,657	\$528,650	\$688,251	\$3,140,675	172%	30%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	35%
\$8,317,472	\$7,887,389	\$7,838,028	\$9,842,787	\$9,698,103	17%	28%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	26%
\$48,409,629	\$57,115,788	\$79,642,334	\$79,449,757	\$79,290,664	64%	17%
	\$250,000	\$10,556	\$0	\$9,508	N/A	N/A
\$3,758,040	\$3,481,441	\$4,346,958	\$4,389,932	\$4,120,129	10%	19%
	\$0			\$0	N/A	N/A
	\$0			\$0	N/A	N/A
	\$23,112	\$998,355		\$2,581,754	N/A	N/A
	\$56,404	\$47,360	\$37,839	\$28,366	N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	9%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	24%
\$8,588,543	\$8,147,584	\$7,729,736	\$12,176,576	\$11,544,312	34%	15%
\$839,195	\$1,764,922	\$1,739,823	\$1,754,727	\$1,850,083	120%	36%
\$137,653	\$409,620	\$554,786		\$591,203	329%	21%
\$841,994	\$818,075	\$793,613	\$770,107	\$745,108	-12%	18%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	19%
\$0				\$0	0%	31%
\$0	\$0	\$0			N/A	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	3%
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	15%
\$1,625,000	\$1,575,000	\$6,175,380	\$5,956,825	\$5,725,574	252%	45%
\$9,627	\$183,433	\$196,738	\$194,828	\$186,745	—	36%
\$12,507	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-100%	42%
\$100,000	\$90,000	\$80,000	\$70,000	\$60,000	-40%	26%
\$20,788,601	\$17,997,100	\$15,310,767	\$14,164,531	\$14,459,553	-30%	29%
\$119,825	\$100,168	\$79,299	\$57,140	\$33,616	-72%	74%
\$581,856	\$141,086	\$1,021,640	\$830,680	\$928,299	60%	60%
\$165,000	\$243,884	\$125,000	\$105,000	\$80,000	-52%	24%

Curious about who, what, when, why, and how much?

Keep track of the bond issues of local jurisdictions by reading the *Municipal Bonds Monthly Update*. To get on the distribution list of this free newsletter, fill out the subscription form on our web site.

ALL JURISDICTIONS, 2002 GO DEBT *Sorted alphabetically by county*

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Adams															
Adams County	\$1,111,865,993	\$938,684	\$144,097	\$0	\$0	\$794,587	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$794,587	3%
Benge Sch. D. #122	\$13,297,282	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lind Sch. D. #158	\$156,213,107	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,210,000	\$0	\$435,664	\$1,774,336	23%	\$1,774,336	23%
Othello, City of	\$324,813,340	\$1,780,370	\$861	\$28,750	\$874,644	\$933,615	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$933,615	4%
Ritzville Sch. D. #01-160	\$210,084,961	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ritzville, City of	\$77,477,557	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$54,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,088	\$47,912	1%	\$47,912	1%
Washtucna Sch. D. #109-43	\$29,961,671	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Washtucna, Town of	\$5,698,619	\$49,000	\$20,634	\$0	\$0	\$28,366	33%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$28,366	7%
Asotin															
Asotin County	\$843,597,582	\$2,016,417	\$46,220	\$1,064,404	\$1,415,154	\$1,619,447	13%	\$12,880,000	\$0	\$0	\$377,557	\$12,502,443	59%	\$14,121,890	67%
Asotin, City of	\$40,379,458	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$0	\$18,200	\$22,800	1%	\$22,800	1%
Asotin-Anatone Sch. D. #420	\$175,347,825	\$0	\$0	\$64,903	\$274,829	\$0	0%	\$0	\$750,000	\$0	\$155,000	\$595,000	7%	\$595,000	7%
Clarkston Sch. D. #J250-185	\$668,864,466	\$110,000	\$1,376,986	\$33,455	\$1,538,846	\$0	0%	\$0	\$13,014,368	\$0	\$1,376,986	\$11,637,382	35%	\$11,637,382	35%
Clarkston, City of	\$246,804,312	\$408,395	\$1,102	\$0	\$0	\$407,293	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$407,293	2%
Benton															
Benton City, City of	\$57,918,844	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Benton County	\$8,115,694,249	\$33,265,000	\$1,983,000	\$0	\$0	\$31,282,000	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$31,282,000	15%
Kennewick Sch. D. #17	\$3,393,321,884	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$59,779,209	\$0	\$0	\$7,405,251	\$52,373,957	31%	\$52,373,957	31%
Kennewick, City of	\$2,657,652,950	\$270,000	\$0	\$3,134,333	\$0	\$3,404,333	9%	\$4,680,000	\$0	\$0	\$118,239	\$4,561,761	2%	\$7,966,094	4%
Kiona-Benton City Sch. D. #52	\$262,938,491	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,180,000	\$0	\$529,099	\$1,650,902	13%	\$1,650,902	13%
Paterson Sch. D. #50	\$248,904,766	\$0	\$0	\$71,273	\$0	\$71,273	8%	\$0	\$345,000	\$0	\$178,143	\$166,857	1%	\$238,129	2%
Prosser Pub. Hosp. D.	\$1,123,833,411	\$2,515,000	\$70,087	\$54,089	\$0	\$2,499,002	30%	\$2,815,000	\$0	\$0	\$33,500	\$2,781,500	10%	\$5,280,502	19%
Prosser Sch. D. #116	\$665,611,005	\$0	\$0	\$369,010	\$369,010	\$0	0%	\$0	\$11,310,000	\$0	\$1,608,138	\$9,701,862	29%	\$9,701,862	29%
Prosser, City of	\$273,165,249	\$350,000	\$40,218	\$0	\$0	\$309,782	8%	\$1,430,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,536	\$1,426,464	7%	\$1,736,246	8%
Richland Sch. D. #400	\$3,193,407,402	\$0	\$3,279,569	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$22,860,000	\$0	\$3,279,569	\$19,580,431	12%	\$19,580,431	12%
Richland, City of	\$2,745,968,657	\$18,340,000	\$1,967,903	\$3,294,169	\$3,294,169	\$16,372,097	40%	\$7,910,000	\$0	\$0	\$76,126	\$7,833,874	4%	\$24,205,971	12%
West Richland, City of	\$364,799,756	\$1,868,188	\$18,105	\$0	\$0	\$1,850,083	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,850,083	7%
Chelan															

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Cascade Sch. D. #228	\$944,866,345	\$1,145,950	\$122,609	\$152,469	\$152,469	\$1,023,341	29%	\$7,502,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,183,092	\$6,318,908	13%	\$7,342,249	16%
Cashmere Sch. D. #222	\$362,638,527	\$30,836	\$0	\$677,112	\$0	\$707,948	52%	\$0	\$2,110,000	\$0	\$999,652	\$1,110,348	6%	\$1,818,296	10%
Cashmere, City of	\$141,634,535	\$1,349,739	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,349,739	64%	\$392,041	\$0	\$0	\$18,143	\$373,898	4%	\$1,723,637	16%
Chelan County	\$4,777,025,233	\$16,317,342	\$4,346,331	\$0	\$0	\$11,971,011	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,971,011	10%
Chelan, City of	\$319,483,880	\$1,700,070	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,700,070	35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,700,070	7%
Entiat Sch. D. #127	\$114,361,371	\$0	\$0	\$172,692	\$0	\$172,692	40%	\$0	\$2,195,000	\$0	\$290,072	\$1,904,928	33%	\$2,077,621	36%
Entiat, City of	\$45,355,138	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lake Chelan Sch. D. #129	\$763,343,822	\$0	\$0	\$83,131	\$83,131	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,750,000	\$0	\$465,276	\$5,284,724	14%	\$5,284,724	14%
Leavenworth, City of	\$182,808,050	\$507,213	\$17,627	\$0	\$0	\$489,586	18%	\$1,090,000	\$0	\$0	\$64,944	\$1,025,056	7%	\$1,514,642	11%
Manson Sch. D. #19	\$264,371,858	\$0	\$0	\$58,800	\$58,800	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,345,000	\$0	\$215,358	\$5,129,642	39%	\$5,129,642	39%
Wenatchee, City of	\$1,437,602,975	\$7,105,000	\$195,688	\$2,008,568	\$2,008,568	\$6,909,312	32%	\$4,635,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,635,000	4%	\$11,544,312	11%
Clallam															
Clallam Co. Hosp. D. #1	\$332,963,563	\$0	\$0	\$169,816	\$0	\$169,816	7%	\$2,453,477	\$0	\$0	\$1,879,099	\$574,378	7%	\$744,194	9%
Clallam County	\$4,255,534,426	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Crescent Sch. D. #313	\$158,810,657	\$0	\$0	\$107,922	\$0	\$107,922	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$107,922	1%
Forks, City of	\$100,892,290	\$1,200,000	\$1,170,279	\$127,170	\$66,563	\$90,328	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$90,328	1%
Port Angeles Sch. D. #121	\$1,753,340,190	\$0	\$0	\$566,366	\$566,366	\$0	0%	\$0	\$14,300,000	\$0	\$1,287,690	\$13,012,310	15%	\$13,012,310	15%
Port Angeles, City of	\$1,050,028,090	\$420,000	\$74,388	\$149,295	\$0	\$494,907	3%	\$5,675,000	\$0	\$0	\$374,774	\$5,300,226	7%	\$5,795,133	7%
Port Angeles, Port of	\$4,255,534,426	\$6,400,000	\$166,821	\$0	\$0	\$6,233,179	59%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,233,179	20%
Quillayute Valley Sch. D. #402	\$251,048,989	\$0	\$0	\$46,060	\$184,701	\$0	0%	\$0	\$7,385,000	\$0	\$1,013,805	\$6,371,195	51%	\$6,371,195	51%
Sequim Sch. D. #323	\$1,927,070,590	\$335,398	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$335,398	5%	\$0	\$21,380,000	\$0	\$2,332,755	\$19,047,245	20%	\$19,382,643	20%
Sequim, City of	\$346,554,900	\$0	\$0	\$105,918	\$0	\$105,918	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$105,918	0%
Clark															
Battle Ground Sch. D. #119	\$3,484,539,484	\$1,025,000	\$2,741,893	\$24,836	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$20,890,000	\$0	\$0	\$20,890,000	12%	\$20,890,000	12%
Battle Ground, City of	\$643,603,523	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Camas School D. #117	\$2,692,293,325	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$79,880,000	\$0	\$1,847,671	\$78,032,329	58%	\$78,032,329	58%
Camas, City of	\$2,176,262,552	\$2,130,000	\$294,062	\$561,910	\$0	\$2,397,848	7%	\$7,470,000	\$0	\$705,000	\$56,150	\$8,118,850	5%	\$10,516,698	6%
Clark County	\$25,776,168,312	\$95,320,000	\$0	\$5,698,074	\$5,698,074	\$95,320,000	25%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$95,320,000	15%
Green Mnt. Sch. D. #103	\$69,017,522	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$555,000	\$0	\$88,390	\$466,610	14%	\$466,610	14%
Hockinson Sch. D. #98	\$595,744,454	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$18,810,000	\$0	\$636,558	\$18,173,442	61%	\$18,173,442	61%
La Center Sch. D. #101	\$463,864,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,955,000	\$0	\$446,357	\$2,508,643	11%	\$2,508,643	11%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
La Center, City of	\$120,323,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ridgefield Sch. D. #122	\$893,301,694	\$36,000	\$0	\$94,500	\$2,068,810	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,946,000	\$0	\$664,863	\$1,281,137	3%	\$1,281,137	3%
Ridgefield, City of	\$173,683,383	\$935,000	\$0	\$85,798	\$0	\$1,020,798	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,020,798	8%
Ridgefield, Port of	\$903,653,627	\$170,000	\$67,495	\$0	\$0	\$102,505	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$102,505	2%
Vancouver Sch. D. #37	\$8,333,348,041	\$0	\$0	\$3,815,344	\$3,815,344	\$0	0%	\$0	\$230,190,000	\$0	\$3,842,255	\$226,347,745	54%	\$226,347,745	54%
Vancouver, City of	\$9,701,112,505	\$78,840,000	\$3,538	\$454,202	\$0	\$79,290,664	54%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$79,290,664	11%
Washougal Sch. D. #112-6	\$1,133,536,886	\$2,175,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,175,000	51%	\$0	\$38,030,000	\$0	\$1,976,486	\$36,053,514	64%	\$38,228,514	67%
Washougal, City of	\$650,373,627	\$882,196	\$442	\$0	\$0	\$881,754	9%	\$1,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,700,000	3%	\$2,581,754	5%
Woodland, City of	\$305,673,821	\$0	\$0	\$223,824	\$37,079	\$186,745	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$186,745	1%
Yacolt, Town of	\$42,500,009	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$60,000	2%
Columbia															
Columbia County	\$265,172,876	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Dayton Sch. D. #2	\$219,454,076	\$356,150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$356,150	43%	\$375,000	\$0	\$0	\$234,613	\$140,387	1%	\$496,537	5%
Dayton, City of	\$86,713,951	\$0	\$0	\$14,658	\$0	\$14,658	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$14,658	0%
Starbuck, Town of	\$3,181,075	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$9,500	4%	\$9,500	4%
Cowlitz															
Castle Rock Sch. D. #401	\$387,135,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,805,000	\$0	\$1,164,224	\$4,640,776	24%	\$4,640,776	24%
Cowlitz County	\$6,688,386,412	\$51,140,000	\$0	\$9,825,388	\$0	\$60,965,388	61%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,891	\$0	0%	\$60,965,388	36%
Kalama Sch. D. #402	\$568,131,856	\$114,266	\$0	\$40,558	\$0	\$154,824	7%	\$0	\$4,225,000	\$0	\$430,834	\$3,794,166	13%	\$3,948,990	14%
Kalama, City of	\$111,462,338	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Kelso Sch. D. #458	\$1,207,085,316	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$31,125,000	\$0	\$1,913,072	\$29,211,928	48%	\$29,211,928	48%
Kelso, City of	\$510,828,911	\$0	\$0	\$239,848	\$239,848	\$0	0%	\$290,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,071	\$189,929	0%	\$189,929	0%
Longview Sch. D. #122	\$3,584,356,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$42,855,000	\$0	\$2,451,416	\$40,403,584	23%	\$40,403,584	23%
Longview, City of	\$1,998,401,756	\$11,380,000	\$0	\$1,545,645	\$918,916	\$12,006,729	40%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$12,006,729	8%
Toutle Lake Sch. D. #130	\$187,521,606	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$183,403	\$1,916,597	20%	\$1,916,597	20%
Woodland Sch. D. #404	\$633,699,915	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000	0%	\$0	\$13,910,000	\$0	\$2,465,906	\$11,444,094	36%	\$11,454,094	36%
Douglas															
Bridgeport Sch. D. #75	\$59,573,196	\$0	\$0	\$117,925	\$553,014	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$212,445	\$787,555	26%	\$787,555	26%
Bridgeport, City of	\$27,982,667	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Douglas County	\$1,882,441,002	\$9,079,283	\$3,170,092	\$586,045	\$1,328,068	\$5,167,168	18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,167,168	11%
East Wenatchee, City of	\$472,841,312	\$2,060,000	\$9,329	\$23,000	\$23,000	\$2,050,671	29%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,050,671	6%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Eastmont Sch. D. #206	\$1,353,129,096	\$0	\$0	\$743,988	\$2,863,442	\$0	0%	\$0	\$41,820,000	\$0	\$1,413,272	\$40,406,728	60%	\$40,406,728	60%
Mansfield Sch. D. #207	\$37,187,163	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mansfield, Town of	\$8,297,634	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40,925	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Orondo Sch. D. #13	\$149,248,266	\$0	\$0	\$38,025	\$0	\$38,025	7%	\$0	\$645,000	\$0	\$683,025	\$0	0%	\$38,025	1%
Palisades Sch. D. #102	\$26,598,087	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Rock Island, City of	\$18,646,676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Waterville Sch. D. #209	\$107,832,706	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$330,000	\$0	\$397,138	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Waterville, Town of	\$41,304,029	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,279,417	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ferry															
Curlew Sch. D. #50	\$71,089,383	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,616	\$213,384	6%	\$213,384	6%
Ferry County	\$352,714,773	\$90,934	\$0	\$162,085	\$162,085	\$90,934	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$90,934	1%
Inchelium Sch. D. #70	\$40,867,486	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Keller Sch. D. #3	\$10,827,166	\$0	\$0	\$32,576	\$226,417	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Orient Sch. D. #65	\$21,618,919	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Republic Sch. D. #309	\$150,835,434	\$0	\$0	\$90,505	\$90,505	\$0	0%	\$0	\$645,000	\$0	\$447,267	\$197,733	3%	\$197,733	3%
Franklin															
Connell, City of	\$105,925,255	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$85,000	\$8,613	\$76,387	1%	\$76,387	1%
Franklin County	\$2,410,750,262	\$12,715,000	\$139,235	\$0	\$0	\$12,575,766	35%	\$13,130,000	\$0	\$0	\$59,911	\$13,070,089	22%	\$25,645,854	43%
Kahlotus Sch. D. #56	\$28,540,882	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$23,710	\$51,290	4%	\$51,290	4%
Mesa, City of	\$10,122,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
North Franklin Sch. D. #51	\$427,368,010	\$708,839	\$1,048,663	\$196,927	\$1,869,998	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,915,000	\$0	\$1,048,663	\$4,866,337	23%	\$4,866,337	23%
Pasco Sch. D. #1	\$1,878,844,908	\$0	\$0	\$153,770	\$153,770	\$0	0%	\$0	\$50,235,000	\$0	\$4,677,196	\$45,557,804	48%	\$45,557,804	48%
Pasco, City of	\$1,304,080,231	\$10,985,000	\$6,302	\$2,392,817	\$2,392,817	\$10,978,698	56%	\$5,045,000	\$0	\$0	\$269,648	\$4,775,352	5%	\$15,754,050	16%
Star Sch. D. #54	\$14,929,171	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Garfield															
Garfield County	\$138,164,109	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pomeroy Sch. D. #110	\$133,919,202	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,800,000	\$0	\$107,808	\$2,692,192	40%	\$2,692,192	40%
Pomeroy, City of	\$41,503,753	\$53,069	\$135,858	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Grant															
Coulee City, Town of	\$19,090,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Coulee-Hartline Sch. D. #151	\$117,611,802	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,545,000	\$0	\$94,969	\$2,450,031	42%	\$2,450,031	42%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Electric City, Town of	\$27,871,707	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ephrata Sch. D. #165	\$439,154,302	\$57,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$57,308	3%	\$0	\$1,185,000	\$0	\$471,798	\$713,202	3%	\$770,510	4%
Ephrata, City of	\$255,697,198	\$1,160,000	\$37,329	\$137,020	\$47,597	\$1,212,094	32%	\$35,000	\$0	\$0	\$27,389	\$7,611	0%	\$1,219,705	6%
George, City of	\$8,681,640	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Grand Coulee Dam Sch. D. #3	\$73,387,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Grand Coulee, City of	\$28,272,105	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Hartline, Town of	\$3,322,765	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Krupp, Town of	\$2,888,647	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mattawa, Town of	\$33,397,764	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Moses Lake Sch. D. #161	\$1,968,294,083	\$0	\$0	\$558,196	\$558,196	\$0	0%	\$0	\$25,120,000	\$0	\$3,036,889	\$22,083,111	22%	\$22,083,111	22%
Moses Lake, City of	\$846,090,551	\$5,224,432	\$172,545	\$2,872,190	\$4,908,621	\$3,015,456	24%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,015,456	5%
Quincy Sch. D. #144	\$688,421,323	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$12,650,000	\$0	\$539,350	\$12,110,650	35%	\$12,110,650	35%
Quincy, City of	\$256,189,951	\$357,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$357,231	9%	\$0	\$1,010,000	\$0	\$275,834	\$734,166	4%	\$1,091,397	6%
Royal City, City of	\$23,576,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Royal Sch. D. #160	\$293,870,988	\$935,000	\$281,340	\$0	\$0	\$653,660	59%	\$0	\$3,560,000	\$0	\$553,743	\$3,006,257	20%	\$3,659,917	25%
Soap Lake Sch. D. #156	\$110,127,144	\$26,331	\$51,004	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,260,000	\$0	\$2,582,855	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Soap Lake, City of	\$48,325,724	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,495	\$17,505	0%	\$17,505	0%
Wahluke Sch. D. #73	\$303,510,969	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,085,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,085,000	20%	\$3,085,000	20%
Warden Sch. D. #146-161	\$200,456,861	\$0	\$0	\$31,224	\$1,316,637	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,840,000	\$0	\$272,602	\$2,567,398	26%	\$2,567,398	26%
Warden, City of	\$100,263,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Wilson Creek Sch. D. #167	\$41,802,228	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$965,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$965,000	46%	\$965,000	46%
Grays Harbor															
Aberdeen Sch. D. #5	\$871,909,338	\$852,907	\$7,700	\$0	\$0	\$845,207	26%	\$0	\$14,590,000	\$0	\$728,686	\$13,861,314	32%	\$14,706,521	34%
Cosmopolis Sch. D. #99	\$149,171,279	\$74,431	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74,431	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$74,431	1%
Cosmopolis, City of	\$113,495,568	\$0	\$0	\$109,415	\$109,415	\$0	0%	\$1,075,000	\$0	\$0	\$70,644	\$1,004,356	12%	\$1,004,356	12%
Grays Harbor County	\$3,747,036,482	\$9,695,000	\$0	\$1,533,490	\$24,875,231	\$0	0%	\$0	\$240,000	\$0	\$39,108	\$200,892	0%	\$200,892	0%
Grays Harbor, Port of	\$3,747,036,482	\$7,825,000	\$643,700	\$631,801	\$3,474,874	\$4,338,227	31%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,338,227	15%
Hoquiam Sch. D. #28	\$408,981,785	\$1,380,986	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,380,986	90%	\$5,541,300	\$0	\$0	\$204,174	\$5,337,126	26%	\$6,718,112	33%
Hoquiam, City of	\$307,431,204	\$290,922	\$0	\$27,292	\$0	\$318,214	7%	\$1,260,955	\$0	\$0	\$2,602	\$1,258,353	5%	\$1,576,567	7%
McCleary Sch. D. #65	\$97,536,033	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
McCleary, City of	\$61,882,624	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Montesano, City of	\$171,796,358	\$50,799	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,799	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$50,799	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
North Beach Sch. D. #64	\$754,139,647	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,685,000	\$0	\$1,148,592	\$2,536,408	7%	\$2,536,408	7%
Oakville Sch. D. #400	\$89,473,806	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ocean Shores, City of	\$589,870,951	\$2,250,478	\$16,694	\$0	\$0	\$2,233,784	25%	\$6,458,568	\$14,729,590	\$0	\$56,959	\$21,131,199	48%	\$23,364,983	53%
Ocosta Sch. D. #172	\$375,689,963	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Quinault Lake Sch. D. #97	\$66,047,045	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Satsap Sch. D. #104	\$24,882,275	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Taholah Sch. D. #77	\$10,306,591	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Westport, City of	\$143,084,885	\$458,315	\$0	\$17,888	\$0	\$476,203	22%	\$115,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115,000	1%	\$591,203	6%
Wishkah Valley Sch. D. #117	\$49,276,054	\$0	\$0	\$12,182	\$12,182	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Island															
Coupeville Sch. D. #204	\$1,072,600,645	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,980,000	\$0	\$670,787	\$3,309,213	6%	\$3,309,213	6%
Coupeville, Town of	\$138,950,924	\$264,214	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$264,214	13%	\$212,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$212,231	2%	\$476,446	5%
Island County	\$7,081,127,119	\$57,220,000	\$0	\$6,036,776	\$0	\$63,256,776	60%	\$6,385,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,385,213	4%	\$69,641,989	39%
Langley, City of	\$132,342,605	\$275,000	\$34,261	\$0	\$0	\$240,739	12%	\$90,000	\$0	\$0	\$75,333	\$14,667	0%	\$255,406	3%
Oak Harbor Sch. D. #201	\$1,985,017,000	\$1,914,935	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,914,935	26%	\$0	\$21,300,000	\$0	\$2,440,340	\$18,859,660	19%	\$20,774,595	21%
Oak Harbor, City of	\$921,651,742	\$1,768,320	\$0	\$379,323	\$4,851,591	\$0	0%	\$1,805,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,805,000	3%	\$1,805,000	3%
South Whidbey Sch. D. #206	\$2,223,068,472	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$18,310,000	\$0	\$4,559,206	\$13,750,794	12%	\$13,750,794	12%
Jefferson															
Brinnon Sch. D. #46	\$168,821,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Chimacum Sch. #49	\$995,216,265	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$11,615,000	\$0	\$1,360,834	\$10,254,166	21%	\$10,254,166	21%
Jefferson County	\$2,724,796,840	\$2,025,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,025,000	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,025,000	3%
Port Townsend Sch. D. #50	\$1,228,593,780	\$0	\$0	\$388,639	\$0	\$388,639	8%	\$0	\$14,457,816	\$0	\$1,433,219	\$13,024,597	21%	\$13,413,236	22%
Port Townsend, City of	\$730,161,880	\$4,291,675	\$3,182	\$15,155	\$0	\$4,303,648	39%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,303,648	8%
Quilcene Sch. D.	\$222,358,725	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,230,000	\$0	\$415,428	\$1,814,572	16%	\$1,814,572	16%
King															
Algona, City of	\$276,874,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Auburn Sch. D. #408	\$5,961,434,899	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$87,610,000	\$0	\$11,205,583	\$76,404,417	26%	\$76,404,417	26%
Auburn, City of	\$4,271,232,352	\$8,769,108	\$1,868,964	\$1,367,108	\$10,383,988	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Beaux Arts Village, Town of	\$68,330,124	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Bellevue Sch. D. #405	\$24,530,231,183	\$0	\$0	\$85,985	\$85,985	\$0	0%	\$0	\$138,825,000	\$0	\$7,174,953	\$131,650,047	11%	\$131,650,047	11%
Bellevue, City of	\$20,689,734,478	\$53,614,935	\$268,065	\$1,373,000	\$1,373,000	\$53,346,870	17%	\$1,411,667	\$0	\$9,273,334	\$2,035,533	\$8,649,468	1%	\$61,996,338	4%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Black Diamond, City of	\$385,966,459	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,281,247	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Bothell, City of	\$3,770,516,358	\$2,590,000	\$65,809	\$811,511	\$811,511	\$2,524,191	4%	\$8,025,000	\$0	\$0	\$90,528	\$7,934,472	3%	\$10,458,663	4%
Burien, City of	\$2,544,672,750	\$3,550,000	\$2,801	\$0	\$0	\$3,547,199	9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,547,199	2%
Carnation, City of	\$144,954,114	\$509,990	\$51,380	\$0	\$0	\$458,610	21%	\$509,990	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$509,990	5%	\$968,600	9%
Clyde Hill, City of	\$966,340,277	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Covington, City of	\$1,069,678,571	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Des Moines, City of	\$1,959,083,008	\$8,060,000	\$74,740	\$216,190	\$216,190	\$7,985,260	27%	\$2,490,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,307	\$2,475,693	2%	\$10,460,953	7%
Duvall, City of	\$532,384,575	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Enumclaw Sch. D. #216	\$2,065,247,712	\$0	\$3,885,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$38,861,000	\$0	\$0	\$38,861,000	38%	\$38,861,000	38%
Enumclaw, City of	\$714,066,666	\$0	\$0	\$1,044,259	\$1,044,259	\$0	0%	\$740,000	\$0	\$0	\$39,375	\$700,625	1%	\$700,625	1%
Federal Way Sch. D. #210	\$8,567,134,712	\$0	\$0	\$7,459,284	\$7,459,284	\$0	0%	\$0	\$119,495,000	\$0	\$11,584,012	\$107,910,988	25%	\$107,910,988	25%
Federal Way, City of	\$5,912,362,755	\$27,682,000	\$5,301,095	\$59,936	\$42,038	\$22,398,803	25%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,301,095	\$0	0%	\$22,398,803	5%
Highline Sch. D. #401	\$9,660,609,564	\$864,000	\$0	\$531,715	\$26,388,551	\$0	0%	\$0	\$163,290,000	\$0	\$6,790,082	\$156,499,918	32%	\$156,499,918	32%
Hunts Point, Town of	\$571,960,960	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Issaquah Sch. D. #411	\$11,601,664,345	\$0	\$0	\$1,058,112	\$1,058,112	\$0	0%	\$0	\$216,130,000	\$0	\$7,202,076	\$208,927,924	36%	\$208,927,924	36%
Issaquah, City of	\$2,530,845,508	\$24,710,000	\$59,895	\$1,124,222	\$100,830	\$25,673,497	68%	\$7,016,934	\$0	\$273,066	\$520,375	\$6,769,625	4%	\$32,443,122	17%
Kenmore, City of	\$1,881,799,346	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Kent Sch. D. #415	\$12,499,070,069	\$0	\$0	\$4,623,559	\$40,395,137	\$0	0%	\$0	\$201,095,000	\$0	\$15,787,456	\$185,307,544	30%	\$185,307,544	30%
Kent, City of	\$8,175,076,544	\$73,667,000	\$0	\$5,890,342	\$5,890,342	\$73,667,000	60%	\$7,625,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,782	\$7,621,218	1%	\$81,288,218	13%
King County	\$223,890,180,125	\$1,367,050,000	\$48,903,193	\$194,350,192	\$0	\$1,512,496,999	45%	\$262,260,000	\$0	\$0	\$12,928,625	\$249,331,375	4%	\$1,761,828,374	31%
Kirkland, City of	\$7,107,874,257	\$14,915,000	\$198,417	\$1,375,214	\$1,375,214	\$14,716,583	14%	\$2,385,000	\$0	\$3,080,000	\$294,880	\$5,170,120	1%	\$19,886,703	4%
Lake Forest Park, City of	\$1,485,907,418	\$5,307,515	\$622,537	\$23,504	\$23,504	\$4,684,978	21%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,684,978	4%
Lake Washington Sch. D. #414	\$23,140,425,213	\$0	\$0	\$2,962,998	\$2,962,998	\$0	0%	\$0	\$244,960,000	\$0	\$28,349,519	\$216,610,481	19%	\$216,610,481	19%
Maple Valley, City of	\$1,271,289,917	\$6,450,000	\$0	\$29,175	\$0	\$6,479,175	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,479,175	7%
Medina, City of	\$1,933,825,927	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mercer Island, City of	\$6,277,164,020	\$2,330,000	\$4,886	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$4,725,114	5%	\$1,155,391	\$0	\$974,609	\$169,433	\$1,960,567	0%	\$6,685,681	1%
Newcastle, City of	\$1,174,460,513	\$0	\$0	\$256,586	\$256,586	\$0	0%	\$4,678	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,678	0%	\$4,678	0%
Normandy Park, City of	\$843,486,535	\$1,540,000	\$48,628	\$0	\$0	\$1,491,372	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,491,372	2%
Northshore Sch. D. #417	\$12,473,962,695	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$281,530,912	\$0	\$26,393,469	\$255,137,443	41%	\$255,137,443	41%
Redmond, City of	\$8,571,688,595	\$0	\$0	\$1,016,417	\$0	\$1,016,417	1%	\$9,635,000	\$0	\$0	\$212,915	\$9,422,085	1%	\$10,438,502	2%
Renton Sch. D. #403	\$10,762,167,481	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$157,530,000	\$0	\$3,426,491	\$154,103,509	29%	\$154,103,509	29%
Renton, City of	\$5,956,980,003	\$46,924,496	\$977,825	\$1,342,628	\$0	\$47,289,299	53%	\$3,602,186	\$0	\$0	\$560,698	\$3,041,488	1%	\$50,330,787	11%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Riverview Sch. D. #407	\$1,944,866,839	\$0	\$3,142,892	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$16,325,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,325,000	17%	\$16,325,000	17%
Sammamish, City of	\$5,597,929,515	\$13,560,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,560,000	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$13,560,000	3%
SeaTac, City of	\$3,150,829,810	\$8,015,000	\$32,707	\$0	\$0	\$7,982,293	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$7,982,293	3%
Seattle Sch. D. #1	\$79,549,824,350	\$72,324,780	\$23,922,867	\$0	\$0	\$48,401,913	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$48,401,913	1%
Seattle, City of	\$79,638,500,926	\$707,554,596	\$9,680,210	\$133,643,141	\$0	\$831,517,527	70%	\$216,347,012	\$26,815,246	\$1,317,742	\$2,082,341	\$242,397,659	4%	\$1,073,915,186	18%
Seattle, Port of	\$223,890,180,125	\$229,030,000	\$0	\$188,238	\$0	\$229,218,238	27%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$229,218,238	14%
Shoreline, City of	\$4,898,765,058	\$0	\$0	\$379,841	\$37,554,921	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Skykomish Sch. D. #404	\$113,539,933	\$0	\$0	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Skykomish, Town of	\$17,982,572	\$162,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$162,500	60%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$162,500	12%
Snoqualmie Valley Sch. D. #41	\$3,653,259,321	\$917,000	\$0	\$325,800	\$325,800	\$917,000	7%	\$0	\$43,565,000	\$0	\$5,876,849	\$37,688,151	21%	\$38,605,151	21%
Snoqualmie, City of	\$702,602,886	\$2,371,709	\$293,100	\$2,432,833	\$245,678	\$4,265,764	40%	\$3,628,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,628,000	7%	\$7,893,764	15%
Tukwila, City of	\$3,478,611,208	\$17,297,000	\$389,322	\$1,823,927	\$1,823,927	\$16,907,678	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$16,907,678	6%
Vashon Island Sch. D. #402	\$1,627,454,217	\$0	\$0	\$12,369	\$12,369	\$0	0%	\$0	\$16,115,000	\$0	\$2,174,350	\$13,940,650	17%	\$13,940,650	17%
Woodinville, City of	\$1,662,907,709	\$6,030,000	\$304,426	\$0	\$0	\$5,725,574	23%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,725,574	5%
Yarrow Point, Town of	\$504,827,587	\$33,616	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,616	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$33,616	0%
Kitsap															
Bainbridge Island Sch. D. #303	\$3,594,419,958	\$820,000	\$0	\$362,460	\$362,460	\$820,000	6%	\$0	\$47,405,000	\$0	\$7,935,433	\$39,469,567	22%	\$40,289,567	22%
Bainbridge Island, City of	\$3,622,228,144	\$1,940,000	\$66,246	\$808,768	\$0	\$2,682,522	5%	\$0	\$0	\$4,500,000	\$0	\$4,500,000	2%	\$7,182,522	3%
Bremerton Sch. D. #100	\$2,017,295,982	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,245,000	\$0	\$2,610,378	\$6,634,622	7%	\$6,634,622	7%
Central Kitsap Sch. D. #401	\$3,658,978,259	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$72,060,000	\$0	\$5,046,388	\$67,013,612	37%	\$67,013,612	37%
Kitsap County	\$16,494,091,138	\$83,885,000	\$656,141	\$438,059	\$438,059	\$83,228,859	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$83,228,859	20%
North Kitsap Sch. D. #400	\$3,499,760,311	\$0	\$0	\$548,447	\$548,447	\$0	0%	\$0	\$56,205,000	\$0	\$3,865,904	\$52,339,096	30%	\$52,339,096	30%
Port of Bremerton	\$5,429,857,520	\$3,000,000	\$332,601	\$0	\$0	\$2,667,399	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,667,399	7%
Port Orchard, City of	\$426,339,282	\$0	\$0	\$194,113	\$194,113	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Poulsbo, City of	\$590,374,023	\$855,000	\$16,996	\$2,523	\$0	\$840,527	9%	\$825,000	\$0	\$0	\$180,104	\$644,896	4%	\$1,485,423	10%
Silverdale, Port of	\$1,554,958,946	\$0	\$0	\$557,451	\$0	\$557,451	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$557,451	5%
South Kitsap Sch. D. #402	\$3,510,436,449	\$1,070,740	\$2,356,963	\$810,321	\$12,439,523	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,070,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,070,000	2%	\$3,070,000	2%
Kittitas															
Cle Elum, City of	\$116,598,362	\$235,000	\$1,350	\$0	\$0	\$233,650	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$233,650	3%
Cle Elum-Roslyn Sch. D. #404	\$766,552,052	\$0	\$0	\$44,000	\$200	\$43,800	2%	\$0	\$5,890,000	\$0	\$470,741	\$5,419,259	14%	\$5,463,059	14%
Easton Sch. D. #28	\$251,471,207	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	21%	\$0	\$3,750,000	\$0	\$220,260	\$3,529,740	28%	\$3,729,740	30%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Ellensburg Sch. D. #401	\$1,126,298,453	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$31,120,000	\$0	\$2,428,226	\$28,691,774	51%	\$28,691,774	51%
Ellensburg, City of	\$650,956,710	\$6,570,000	\$0	\$508,504	\$132,019	\$6,946,485	71%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,946,485	14%
Kittitas County	\$2,529,668,941	\$1,650,000	\$370,963	\$1,148,145	\$0	\$2,427,182	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,427,182	4%
Kittitas Sch. D. #403	\$187,201,147	\$138,576	\$142,300	\$46,981	\$46,981	\$0	0%	\$0	\$675,000	\$0	\$0	\$675,000	7%	\$675,000	7%
Kittitas, City of	\$35,130,764	\$0	\$47,294	\$46,556	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Roslyn, City of	\$60,109,188	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$175,000	\$0	\$0	\$22,200	\$152,800	3%	\$152,800	3%
South Cle Elum, Town of	\$27,077,824	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,036	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Thorp Sch. D. #400	\$109,243,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$106,965	\$880,000	\$0	\$167,184	\$819,781	15%	\$819,781	15%
Klickitat															
Bickleton Sch. D. #203	\$69,642,934	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Bingen, City of	\$49,641,745	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Glenwood Sch. D. #401	\$27,880,702	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Goldendale Sch. D. #404	\$593,637,958	\$0	\$0	\$92,279	\$723,544	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,840,000	\$0	\$305,884	\$1,534,116	5%	\$1,534,116	5%
Goldendale, City of	\$319,898,363	\$857,324	\$0	\$38,563	\$0	\$895,887	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$895,887	4%
Klickitat County	\$1,452,487,528	\$0	\$0	\$279,536	\$0	\$279,536	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$279,536	1%
Lyle Sch. D. #406	\$155,819,122	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,615,000	\$0	\$489,121	\$3,125,879	40%	\$3,125,879	40%
Roosevelt Sch. D. #403	\$28,637,333	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Trout Lake Sch. D. #400	\$73,467,415	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$850,000	\$0	\$191,024	\$658,976	18%	\$658,976	18%
White Salmon, City of	\$118,201,085	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$760,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,892	\$745,108	8%	\$745,108	8%
Wishram Sch. D. #94	\$20,760,785	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lewis															
Adna Sch. D. #226	\$184,713,243	\$0	\$851,730	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,595,000	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Boistfort Sch. D. #234	\$68,650,915	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Centralia Sch. D. #401	\$1,561,955,693	\$0	\$0	\$652,581	\$652,581	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,445,000	\$0	\$1,231,264	\$1,213,736	2%	\$1,213,736	2%
Centralia, City of	\$692,806,121	\$2,105,000	\$0	\$736,209	\$736,209	\$2,105,000	20%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,105,000	4%
Chehalis Sch. D. #302	\$921,132,398	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$8,190,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,190,000	18%	\$8,190,000	18%
Chehalis, City of	\$372,808,257	\$0	\$0	\$99,507	\$2,907,125	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Evaline Sch. D. #36	\$41,118,942	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lewis County	\$4,578,596,855	\$13,010,000	\$4,079	\$2,632,484	\$2,632,484	\$13,005,921	19%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$13,005,921	11%
Morton Sch. D. #214	\$174,357,932	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Morton, City of	\$60,924,133	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Mossyrock Sch. D. #206	\$235,737,744	\$0	\$0	\$30,719	\$30,719	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,530,000	\$0	\$396,615	\$2,133,385	18%	\$2,133,385	18%
Mossyrock, City of	\$14,748,766	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Napavine Sch. D. #14	\$207,144,479	\$48,239	\$0	\$64,405	\$0	\$112,644	15%	\$0	\$3,840,000	\$0	\$281,682	\$3,558,318	34%	\$3,670,962	35%
Napavine, City of	\$54,505,823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Onalaska Sch. D. #300	\$224,441,736	\$445,434	\$216,149	\$0	\$0	\$229,285	27%	\$0	\$1,725,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,725,000	15%	\$1,954,285	17%
Pe Ell Sch. D. #301	\$88,754,377	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,040,000	\$0	\$592,075	\$447,925	10%	\$447,925	10%
Pe Ell, Town of	\$20,485,832	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Toledo, City of	\$23,781,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Vader Sch. D. #18	\$66,857,254	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Vader, City of	\$20,526,343	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
White Pass Sch. D. #303	\$281,813,748	\$34,481	\$0	\$12,373	\$12,373	\$34,481	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,455	\$0	0%	\$34,481	0%
Winlock Sch. D. #232	\$187,049,797	\$0	\$0	\$175,945	\$911,333	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Winlock, City of	\$47,001,519	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lincoln															
Almira Sch. D. #17	\$41,578,644	\$0	\$0	\$116,635	\$116,635	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Almira, Town of	\$8,527,005	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Creston Sch. D. #73	\$88,724,366	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Creston, Town of	\$5,495,529	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$43,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,333	\$36,667	9%	\$36,667	9%
Davenport Sch. D. #207	\$157,917,812	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$4,805,000	\$0	\$507,000	\$4,298,000	54%	\$4,298,000	54%
Davenport, City of	\$61,959,392	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Harrington Sch. D. #204	\$82,530,565	\$0	\$0	\$49,701	\$49,701	\$0	0%	\$0	\$425,000	\$0	\$170,770	\$254,230	6%	\$254,230	6%
Harrington, City of	\$14,873,431	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lincoln County	\$773,017,320	\$820,933	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$820,933	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$820,933	4%
Odessa Sch. D. #105	\$10,589,944	\$0	\$0	\$151,585	\$151,585	\$0	0%	\$0	\$605,000	\$0	\$290,904	\$314,096	59%	\$314,096	59%
Odessa, Town of	\$30,283,237	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Reardan, Town of	\$19,560,258	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Reardan-Edwall Sch. D. #9	\$229,041,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Sprague Sch. D. #.8	\$1,219,297	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Sprague, City of	\$12,949,944	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Wilbur Sch. D. #200	\$104,794,294	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$674,000	\$0	\$85,508	\$588,492	11%	\$588,492	11%
Wilbur, Town of	\$30,898,164	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Mason															
Grapeview Sch. D. #54	\$342,189,958	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,615,000	\$0	\$395,765	\$1,219,235	7%	\$1,219,235	7%
Hood Canal Sch. D. #404	\$549,559,341	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mary M. Knight Sch. D. #311	\$72,983,365	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mason Co. Fire Prot. D. #8	\$130,707,530	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mason County	\$3,872,258,078	\$1,330,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,330,000	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,330,000	1%
North Mason Sch. D. #403	\$1,059,157,507	\$0	\$248,706	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,470,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,470,000	5%	\$2,470,000	5%
Shelton, City of	\$380,398,154	\$1,575,640	\$24,891	\$488,526	\$488,526	\$1,550,749	27%	\$2,610,109	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,610,109	9%	\$4,160,858	15%
Shelton, Port of	\$1,149,557,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Southside Sch. D. #42	\$109,577,305	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$770,000	\$0	\$155,825	\$614,175	11%	\$614,175	11%
Okanogan															
Brewster, City of	\$77,041,592	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Coulee Dam, Town of	\$32,136,404	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Methow Valley Sch. D. #350	\$637,101,240	\$25,849	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,849	1%	\$0	\$5,360,852	\$0	\$762,425	\$4,598,427	14%	\$4,624,276	15%
Nespelem Sch. D. #14	\$10,119,725	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Nespelem, Town of	\$3,104,596	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Okanogan County	\$2,104,491,906	\$4,944,772	\$3,161	\$0	\$0	\$4,941,611	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,941,611	9%
Okanogan, City of	\$79,387,838	\$475,000	\$92,594	\$0	\$0	\$382,406	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$382,406	6%
Omak Sch. D. #19	\$378,608,487	\$0	\$0	\$317,083	\$317,083	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,255,000	\$0	\$917,262	\$1,337,738	7%	\$1,337,738	7%
Omak, City of	\$186,827,572	\$1,608,704	\$0	\$0	\$1,155,200	\$453,504	16%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$73,935	\$0	0%	\$453,504	3%
Oroville Sch. D. #410	\$235,918,421	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,665,000	\$0	\$269,070	\$3,395,990	29%	\$3,395,990	29%
Oroville, City of	\$72,232,997	\$0	\$0	\$13,711	\$13,711	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pateros Sch. D. #122	\$103,681,111	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pateros, City of	\$31,767,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Riverside, Town of	\$8,114,205	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Tonasket Sch. D. #404	\$282,700,336	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$6,650,000	\$0	\$690,000	\$5,960,000	42%	\$5,960,000	42%
Tonasket, City of	\$38,763,118	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Winthrop, Town of	\$48,819,808	\$282,917	\$210,057	\$0	\$115,247	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pacific															
Naselle-Grays Riv. Valley Sch.	\$77,400,331	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,955,000	\$0	\$577,139	\$2,377,861	61%	\$2,377,861	61%
Ocean Beach Sch. D. #101	\$986,935,403	\$265,088	\$0	\$23,000	\$1,670,677	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Pacific County	\$1,573,350,693	\$5,950,000	\$135,252	\$397,332	\$0	\$6,212,080	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$6,212,080	16%
Raymond Sch. D. #116	\$150,756,764	\$87,150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$87,150	15%	\$0	\$7,705,000	\$0	\$408,246	\$7,296,754	97%	\$7,383,904	98%
Raymond, City of	\$110,114,780	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
South Bend Sch. D. #118	\$119,917,913	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$183,048	\$1,016,952	17%	\$1,016,952	17%
South Bend, City of	\$66,179,418	\$0	\$0	\$25,141	\$0	\$25,141	3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$25,141	1%
Willapa Valley Sch. D. #160	\$120,035,687	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pend Oreille															
Cusick Sch. D. #59	\$250,875,380	\$0	\$0	\$19,004	\$364,636	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,070,000	\$0	\$586,318	\$483,682	4%	\$483,682	4%
Cusick, Town of	\$4,909,833	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ione, Town of	\$10,152,217	\$0	\$142,354	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Metaline Falls, Town of	\$6,524,735	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Newport Sch. D. #56-415	\$320,137,196	\$0	\$0	\$184,625	\$288,719	\$0	0%	\$0	\$820,000	\$0	\$586,070	\$233,930	1%	\$233,930	1%
Newport, City of	\$67,303,226	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pend Oreille County	\$680,979,840	\$1,098,684	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,098,684	11%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,098,684	6%
Pierce															
Bethel Sch. D. #403	\$4,917,781,998	\$0	\$0	\$1,019,006	\$1,019,006	\$0	0%	\$0	\$65,805,000	\$0	\$8,168,295	\$57,636,705	23%	\$57,636,705	23%
Bonney Lake, City of	\$939,422,664	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,550,000	\$0	\$0	\$73,580	\$2,476,420	4%	\$2,476,420	4%
Buckley, City of	\$241,195,011	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Carbonado Hist. Sch. D. #19	\$58,591,387	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,474,146	\$0	\$0	\$2,474,146	84%	\$2,474,146	84%
Carbonado, Town of	\$24,537,249	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Clover Park Sch. D. #400	\$3,658,363,104	\$2,666,000	\$88,000	\$300,747	\$0	\$2,878,747	21%	\$0	\$10,925,000	\$0	\$1,819,269	\$9,105,731	5%	\$11,984,478	7%
Diering Sch. D. #343	\$729,059,892	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$17,155	\$0	\$2,151,191	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
DuPont, City of	\$520,391,982	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$190,000	\$0	\$0	\$34,740	\$155,260	0%	\$155,260	0%
Eatonville Sch. D. #404	\$711,351,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$770,000	\$0	\$513,819	\$256,181	1%	\$256,181	1%
Edgewood, City of	\$716,146,369	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Fife Sch. D. #417	\$1,564,462,141	\$0	\$0	\$165,813	\$5,453,337	\$0	0%	\$0	\$50,130,705	\$0	\$5,453,337	\$44,677,368	57%	\$44,677,368	57%
Fircrest, City of	\$392,605,376	\$275,000	\$22,015	\$0	\$0	\$252,985	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,544	\$0	0%	\$252,985	1%
Gig Harbor, City of	\$862,009,867	\$8,835,000	\$25,482	\$0	\$0	\$8,809,518	68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$8,809,518	14%
Lakewood, City of	\$3,373,203,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Milton, City of	\$406,667,778	\$0	\$0	\$178,087	\$7,809,227	\$0	0%	\$435,000	\$0	\$0	\$482	\$434,518	1%	\$434,518	1%
Orting Sch. D. #344	\$558,672,850	\$0	\$0	\$230,847	\$230,847	\$0	0%	\$0	\$11,085,000	\$0	\$1,196,449	\$9,888,551	35%	\$9,888,551	35%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Orting, City of	\$213,680,455	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Peninsula Sch. D. #401	\$5,266,871,396	\$2,000,000	\$6,028,040	\$558,517	\$7,292,704	\$0	0%	\$0	\$36,995,000	\$0	\$0	\$36,995,000	14%	\$36,995,000	14%
Pierce County	\$46,539,831,881	\$57,275,000	\$0	\$697,412	\$7,136,792	\$50,835,620	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$50,835,620	4%
Puyallup Sch. D. #3	\$6,807,496,505	\$1,619,939	\$16,748,980	\$106,740	\$160,740	\$0	0%	\$0	\$116,715,000	\$0	\$0	\$116,715,000	34%	\$116,715,000	34%
Puyallup, City of	\$2,568,468,374	\$7,251,855	\$29,337	\$265,288	\$4,180,388	\$3,307,418	9%	\$8,965,000	\$0	\$4,490,000	\$14,268	\$13,440,732	7%	\$16,748,150	9%
Roy, City of	\$35,898,094	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ruston, Town of	\$48,849,047	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
South Prairie, Town of	\$19,565,823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Steilacoom Hist. Sch. D. #1	\$1,017,156,893	\$1,661,967	\$203,681	\$0	\$0	\$1,458,286	38%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,458,286	3%
Steilacoom, Town of	\$430,316,957	\$1,940,000	\$123	\$0	\$0	\$1,939,877	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,939,877	6%
Sumner Sch. D. #320	\$2,726,128,858	\$0	\$7,576,424	\$1,231,758	\$1,231,758	\$0	0%	\$0	\$74,240,000	\$0	\$0	\$74,240,000	54%	\$74,240,000	54%
Sumner, City of	\$901,107,180	\$5,925,000	\$46,700	\$46,006	\$1,298,214	\$4,626,092	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,626,092	7%
Tacoma Sch. D. #10	\$12,424,183,839	\$5,051,542	\$0	\$15,262,549	\$234,459,325	\$0	0%	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$12,463,202	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Tacoma, City of	\$11,824,449,244	\$55,985,000	\$4,154,000	\$588,000	\$0	\$52,419,000	30%	\$41,336,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$41,336,000	5%	\$93,755,000	11%
Tacoma, Port of	\$46,539,831,881	\$44,835,000	\$22	\$0	\$4,778,300	\$40,056,678	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$40,056,678	11%
University Place, City of	\$1,887,182,352	\$9,455,000	\$2,574	\$245,677	\$0	\$9,698,103	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,698,103	7%
White River Sch. D. #416	\$1,333,100,235	\$134,097	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$134,097	3%	\$0	\$56,372,000	\$0	\$1,189,286	\$55,182,714	83%	\$55,316,811	83%
Wilkeson, Town of	\$19,746,390	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
San Juan															
Friday Harbor, Town of	\$275,089,113	\$209,320	\$60,975	\$0	\$0	\$148,345	4%	\$95,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,134	\$85,866	0%	\$234,211	1%
Orcas Island Sch. D. #137	\$1,599,607,519	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,630,000	\$0	\$854,079	\$2,775,921	3%	\$2,775,921	3%
San Juan County	\$4,123,583,293	\$8,770,000	\$403,321	\$308,692	\$308,692	\$8,366,679	14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$8,366,679	8%
Shaw Island Sch. D. #10	\$116,449,289	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Skagit															
Anacortes Sch. D. #103	\$2,751,461,578	\$50,000	\$0	\$87,217	\$1,256,290	\$0	0%	\$32,480,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,771,115	\$30,708,885	22%	\$30,708,885	22%
Anacortes, City of	\$1,467,464,751	\$3,085,000	\$502,835	\$0	\$0	\$2,582,165	12%	\$5,815,000	\$0	\$0	\$19,631	\$5,795,369	5%	\$8,377,534	8%
Burlington, City of	\$795,824,988	\$2,910,000	\$166,339	\$0	\$0	\$2,743,661	23%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,743,661	5%
Burlington-Edison Sch. D. #10	\$1,812,278,071	\$1,809,165	\$1,723,605	\$0	\$0	\$85,560	1%	\$0	\$45,655,000	\$0	\$3,308,863	\$42,346,137	47%	\$42,431,697	47%
Concrete Sch. D. #11	\$309,315,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,045,000	\$0	\$0	\$450,069	\$1,594,931	10%	\$1,594,931	10%
Concrete, Town of	\$45,420,858	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$20,000	\$0	\$17,061	\$2,939	0%	\$2,939	0%
Conway Sch. D. #317	\$254,581,080	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$5,007,066	\$0	\$0	\$537,646	\$4,469,420	35%	\$4,469,420	35%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Hamilton, Town of	\$11,900,787	\$14,794	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,794	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$14,794	2%
La Conner Sch. D. #311	\$394,728,272	\$180,728	\$290,212	\$22,882	\$290,212	\$0	0%	\$11,406,000	\$0	\$0	\$290,212	\$11,115,788	56%	\$11,115,788	56%
La Conner, Town of	\$107,714,950	\$25,000	\$19,319	\$22,274	\$136,208	\$0	0%	\$844,486	\$0	\$0	\$104,318	\$740,168	9%	\$740,168	9%
Lyman, Town of	\$15,576,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mount Vernon, City of	\$1,502,002,342	\$1,340,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,340,000	6%	\$5,015,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,015,000	4%	\$6,355,000	6%
Mt. Vernon Sch. D. #320	\$1,998,889,356	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36,249,723	\$0	0%	\$0	\$64,520,000	\$0	\$3,997,335	\$60,522,665	61%	\$60,522,665	61%
Sedro Woolley Sch. D. #101	\$1,456,522,458	\$2,045,000	\$3,775,452	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$19,570,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,604,928	\$17,965,072	25%	\$17,965,072	25%
Sedro-Woolley, City of	\$453,524,658	\$727,493	\$403,022	\$0	\$0	\$324,471	5%	\$2,040,000	\$0	\$0	\$32,885	\$2,007,115	6%	\$2,331,586	7%
Skagit County	\$9,109,187,252	\$16,965,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,965,000	12%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$16,965,000	7%
Skamania															
Mill A Sch. D. #31	\$33,582,555	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mt. Pleasant Sch. D. #29	\$24,532,770	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
North Bonneville, City of	\$38,557,642	\$0	\$0	\$13,711	\$0	\$13,711	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$13,711	0%
Skamania County	\$765,608,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Skamania County, Port of	\$449,797,874	\$720,362	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$720,362	64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$720,362	21%
Skamania Sch. D. #2	\$71,376,217	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Stevenson, City of	\$104,538,013	\$0	\$0	\$17,644	\$0	\$17,644	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$17,644	0%
Stevenson-Carson Sch. D. #30	\$392,169,732	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Snohomish															
Arlington Sch. D. #16	\$1,995,986,393	\$0	\$0	\$306,154	\$306,154	\$0	0%	\$0	\$58,510,000	\$0	\$3,051,870	\$55,458,130	56%	\$55,458,130	56%
Arlington, City of	\$1,138,068,806	\$5,096,202	\$3,522,202	\$214,133	\$6,960,369	\$0	0%	\$255,000	\$0	\$0	\$214,133	\$40,867	0%	\$40,867	0%
Brier, City of	\$420,203,576	\$255,000	\$0	\$90	\$0	\$255,090	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$255,090	1%
Darrington Sch. D. #330	\$157,661,121	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$4,425,000	\$0	\$311,819	\$4,113,181	52%	\$4,113,181	52%
Darrington, Town of	\$63,174,891	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$64,953	\$0	\$0	\$1,566	\$63,387	1%	\$63,387	1%
Edmonds Sch. D. #15	\$10,318,883,620	\$0	\$0	\$1,877,672	\$1,877,672	\$0	0%	\$0	\$215,765,000	\$0	\$15,683,752	\$200,081,248	39%	\$200,081,248	39%
Edmonds, City of	\$3,348,388,884	\$17,185,000	\$0	\$2,995,240	\$1,585,886	\$18,594,354	37%	\$8,320,000	\$0	\$0	\$107,006	\$8,212,994	3%	\$26,807,348	11%
Everett Sch. D. #2	\$9,109,145,090	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$195,998,890	\$0	\$18,821,130	\$177,177,760	39%	\$177,177,760	39%
Everett, City of	\$8,424,812,456	\$46,455,000	\$2,367,759	\$5,422,565	\$5,422,565	\$44,087,241	35%	\$2,980,000	\$0	\$0	\$261,711	\$2,718,289	0%	\$46,805,530	7%
Gold Bar, City of	\$90,354,914	\$178,096	\$19,012	\$46,716	\$0	\$205,800	15%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$205,800	3%
Granite Falls Sch. D. #332	\$741,806,710	\$964,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$964,300	35%	\$0	\$14,640,000	\$0	\$2,192,058	\$12,447,942	34%	\$13,412,242	36%
Granite Falls, City of	\$152,264,955	\$51,219	\$370,591	\$13,517	\$664,273	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Index Sch. D. #63	\$50,316,503	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Index, Town of	\$11,109,978	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lake Stevens Sch. D. #4	\$2,132,027,380	\$0	\$2,905,485	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$24,105,000	\$0	\$0	\$24,105,000	23%	\$24,105,000	23%
Lake Stevens, City of	\$429,359,883	\$2,040,000	\$0	\$118,205	\$0	\$2,158,205	34%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,158,205	7%
Lakewood Sch. D. #306	\$1,048,227,070	\$0	\$2,080,310	\$106,964	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$21,628,664	\$0	\$0	\$21,628,664	41%	\$21,628,664	41%
Lynnwood, City of	\$2,713,237,600	\$11,531,787	\$349,562	\$0	\$0	\$11,182,225	27%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$11,182,225	5%
Marysville Sch. D. #25	\$3,663,196,140	\$0	\$0	\$236,398	\$13,718,836	\$0	0%	\$0	\$19,148,000	\$0	\$3,539,187	\$15,608,813	9%	\$15,608,813	9%
Marysville, City of	\$1,885,030,271	\$4,640,534	\$226,009	\$7,724,322	\$0	\$12,138,847	43%	\$4,954,610	\$0	\$0	\$286,396	\$4,668,214	3%	\$16,807,061	12%
Mill Creek, City of	\$1,368,523,388	\$2,375,000	\$2,375,000	\$1,035,329	\$1,035,329	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Monroe Sch. D. #103	\$2,433,740,227	\$664,808	\$108,784	\$443,216	\$5,238,416	\$0	0%	\$0	\$30,990,000	\$0	\$3,421,836	\$27,568,164	23%	\$27,568,164	23%
Monroe, City of	\$926,508,430	\$1,446,872	\$671,243	\$48,995	\$4,602	\$820,022	6%	\$510,000	\$0	\$0	\$49,876	\$460,124	1%	\$1,280,146	2%
Mukilteo Sch. D. #6	\$8,166,920,514	\$0	\$0	\$913,648	\$913,648	\$0	0%	\$171,345,000	\$0	\$0	\$27,175,883	\$144,169,117	35%	\$144,169,117	35%
Mukilteo, City of	\$2,169,239,709	\$1,110,000	\$10,207	\$376,476	\$376,476	\$1,099,793	3%	\$1,860,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,215	\$1,857,785	1%	\$2,957,578	2%
Snohomish Co. Hosp. D. #1	\$6,976,887,771	\$2,915,000	\$0	\$63,225	\$0	\$2,978,225	6%	\$5,120,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,380,007	\$3,739,993	2%	\$6,718,218	4%
Snohomish Co. Hosp. D. #2	\$11,160,159,007	\$13,800,000	\$0	\$5,307,874	\$0	\$19,107,874	23%	\$15,525,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,389,423	\$12,135,577	4%	\$31,243,451	11%
Snohomish County	\$49,262,949,977	\$275,119,094	\$24,884,562	\$21,469,870	\$130,527	\$271,573,875	37%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$271,573,875	22%
Snohomish Sch. D. #201	\$3,562,120,081	\$0	\$0	\$534,079	\$208,000	\$326,079	2%	\$0	\$29,585,000	\$0	\$3,708,267	\$25,876,733	15%	\$26,202,812	15%
Snohomish, City of	\$568,311,991	\$29,813	\$88,233	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$485,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$485,000	1%	\$485,000	1%
Stanwood, City of	\$336,133,550	\$609,000	\$0	\$700,000	\$0	\$1,309,000	26%	\$2,470,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,470,000	10%	\$3,779,000	15%
Stanwood/Camano Sch. D. #4	\$1,695,618,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$54,375,000	\$0	\$5,456,391	\$48,918,609	58%	\$48,918,609	58%
Sultan Sch. D. #311	\$698,700,072	\$1,500,000	\$2,573,007	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,985,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,985,000	29%	\$9,985,000	29%
Sultan, City of	\$224,820,608	\$1,420,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,420,000	42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,420,000	8%
Woodway, Town of	\$230,550,257	\$30,000	\$72,383	\$16,200	\$16,200	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Spokane															
Central Valley Sch. D. #356	\$3,528,545,896	\$0	\$0	\$158,083	\$58,041	\$100,042	1%	\$0	\$105,608,708	\$0	\$1,824,494	\$103,784,214	59%	\$103,884,256	59%
Cheney, City of	\$267,448,493	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Deer Park Sch. D. #414	\$387,360,957	\$97,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,758	7%	\$0	\$10,335,000	\$0	\$864,762	\$9,470,238	49%	\$9,567,996	49%
Deer Park, City of	\$120,701,444	\$215,000	\$363,478	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
East Valley Sch. D. #361	\$1,394,558,096	\$170,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170,000	3%	\$18,064,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,280,679	\$14,783,321	21%	\$14,953,321	21%
Fairfield, Town of	\$21,226,671	\$10,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,750	3%	\$18,149	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,149	1%	\$28,899	2%
Freeman Sch. D. #358	\$250,772,281	\$102,918	\$0	\$230,468	\$912,749	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,935,000	\$0	\$813,590	\$2,121,410	17%	\$2,121,410	17%
Great Northern Sch. D. #312	\$38,720,038	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Liberty Lake, City of	\$481,164,470	\$2,750,799	\$800,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,950,799	27%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,950,799	5%
Liberty Sch. D. #362	\$261,995,155	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,365,000	\$0	\$488,104	\$2,876,896	22%	\$2,876,896	22%
Mead Sch. D. #354	\$2,354,781,866	\$685,381	\$203,495	\$0	\$0	\$481,886	5%	\$0	\$56,865,000	\$0	\$2,409,032	\$54,455,968	46%	\$54,937,854	47%
Medical Lake Sch. D. #326	\$268,953,383	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$8,270,000	\$0	\$827,183	\$7,442,817	55%	\$7,442,817	55%
Medical Lake, City of	\$104,413,804	\$0	\$0	\$23,405	\$0	\$23,405	1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$23,405	0%
Millwood, Town of	\$244,437,040	\$645,000	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$644,963	18%	\$810,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,611	\$808,389	4%	\$1,453,352	8%
Orchard Prairie Sch. D. #123	\$40,157,607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Riverside Sch. D. #416	\$381,012,575	\$0	\$0	\$426,381	\$426,381	\$0	0%	\$0	\$4,250,000	\$0	\$949,348	\$3,300,652	17%	\$3,300,652	17%
Rockford, Town of	\$16,620,616	\$64,025	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$64,025	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$64,025	5%
Spangle, Town of	\$10,055,365	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Spokane County	\$21,552,014,734	\$54,149,283	\$729,990	\$7,972,366	\$7,972,366	\$53,419,293	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$53,419,293	10%
Spokane Sch. D. #81	\$9,838,433,758	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$21,347	\$21,347	\$0	0%	\$0	\$88,020,000	\$0	\$13,859,634	\$74,160,366	15%	\$74,160,366	15%
Spokane, City of	\$9,161,858,918	\$17,256,846	\$450,678	\$178,780	\$178,780	\$16,806,168	12%	\$34,710,000	\$0	\$13,700,000	\$6,902,011	\$41,507,989	6%	\$58,314,157	8%
Waverly, Town of	\$3,804,725	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Stevens															
Chewelah Sch. D. #36	\$315,513,971	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Chewelah, City of	\$104,369,838	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Columbia Sch. D. #206	\$43,355,502	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Colville Sch. D. #115	\$597,813,424	\$235,000	\$583,671	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,860,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,860,000	10%	\$2,860,000	10%
Colville, City of	\$249,654,525	\$1,319,555	\$78,122	\$159,282	\$0	\$1,400,715	37%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,400,715	7%
Evergreen Sch. D. #205	\$20,585,077	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Kettle Falls Sch. D. #212	\$308,725,297	\$0	\$0	\$18,668	\$18,668	\$0	0%	\$0	\$190,000	\$0	\$238,488	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Kettle Falls, City of	\$55,418,192	\$0	\$0	\$38,365	\$0	\$38,365	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$38,365	1%
Loon Lake Sch. D. #183	\$173,089,923	\$0	\$0	\$35,655	\$0	\$35,655	5%	\$0	\$1,245,000	\$0	\$2,357,999	\$0	0%	\$35,655	0%
Loon Lake Sewer D. #4	\$85,524,489	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,624	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Marcus, Town of	\$3,817,969	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$110,790	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mary Walker Sch. D. #207	\$84,055,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$255,000	\$0	\$155,934	\$99,066	2%	\$99,066	2%
Northport Sch. D. #211	\$81,082,955	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Northport, Town of	\$10,451,817	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Onion Creek Sch. D. #30	\$14,654,586	\$0	\$0	\$15,071	\$11,559	\$3,511	6%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,511	0%
Stevens County	\$2,134,374,159	\$10,235,000	\$42,952	\$0	\$0	\$10,192,048	32%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$10,192,048	19%
Summit Valley Sch. D. #202	\$21,574,355	\$0	\$0	\$2,710	\$2,710	\$0	0%	\$0	\$122,068	\$0	\$146,454	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Valley Sch. D. #70	\$62,434,997	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	85%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$200,000	6%
Wellpinit Sch. D. #49	\$12,381,617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Thurston															
Bucoda, Town of	\$17,264,684	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Griffin Sch. D. #324	\$534,118,907	\$251,789	\$23,764	\$0	\$0	\$228,025	11%	\$0	\$14,310,000	\$0	\$635,777	\$13,674,223	51%	\$13,902,248	52%
Lacey, City of	\$2,039,965,671	\$2,350,000	\$130,311	\$0	\$0	\$2,219,689	7%	\$6,245,000	\$0	\$0	\$279,648	\$5,965,352	4%	\$8,185,041	5%
North Thurston Sch. D. #3	\$4,799,929,573	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,671,005	\$0	0%	\$0	\$77,290,000	\$0	\$0	\$77,290,000	32%	\$77,290,000	32%
Olympia, City of	\$3,114,550,849	\$1,680,000	\$932	\$2,486,283	\$2,486,283	\$1,679,068	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,679,068	1%
Rainier Sch. D. #307	\$218,219,217	\$36,000	\$0	\$215,752	\$47,404	\$204,348	25%	\$0	\$3,225,000	\$0	\$417,304	\$2,807,696	26%	\$3,012,045	28%
Rochester Sch. D. #401	\$541,942,876	\$1,165,000	\$0	\$79,533	\$0	\$1,244,533	61%	\$0	\$17,430,000	\$0	\$3,003,245	\$14,426,755	53%	\$15,671,288	58%
Tenino Sch. D. #402	\$473,508,789	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,855,000	\$0	\$609,809	\$1,245,191	5%	\$1,245,191	5%
Tenino, City of	\$62,655,446	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$220,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220,000	5%	\$220,000	5%
Thurston County	\$14,146,526,273	\$34,913,852	\$70,331	\$415,883	\$0	\$35,259,404	17%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$35,259,404	10%
Tumwater Sch. D. #33	\$2,218,111,149	\$130,092	\$0	\$0	\$2,008,169	\$0	0%	\$0	\$51,423,389	\$0	\$7,842,639	\$43,580,750	39%	\$43,580,750	39%
Yelm Community Sch. D. #2	\$1,282,118,861	\$903,941	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$903,941	19%	\$0	\$6,270,000	\$0	\$790,627	\$5,479,373	9%	\$6,383,314	10%
Yelm, City of	\$236,765,104	\$844,524	\$230	\$94,005	\$10,000	\$928,299	26%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$928,299	5%
Wahkiakum															
Wahkiakum County	\$256,908,845	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Wahkiakum Sch. D. #200	\$201,009,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$2,290,000	\$0	\$194,929	\$2,095,071	21%	\$2,095,071	21%
Walla Walla															
College Place Sch. D. #250	\$436,705,317	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,665,000	\$0	\$833,922	\$4,831,078	22%	\$4,831,078	22%
College Place, City of	\$260,875,446	\$635,000	\$103	\$326,878	\$974,499	\$0	0%	\$2,035,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,592	\$2,029,408	10%	\$2,029,408	10%
Columbia Sch. D. #400	\$480,614,629	\$18,711	\$276,767	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$16,620,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,620,000	69%	\$16,620,000	69%
Dixie Sch. D. #101	\$45,117,043	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Prescott Sch. D. #402-37	\$209,288,474	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Prescott, City of	\$8,195,299	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Waitsburg Sch. D. #401-100	\$68,078,326	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,255,000	\$0	\$269,521	\$2,985,479	88%	\$2,985,479	88%
Waitsburg, City of	\$37,827,924	\$9,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,508	2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$9,508	0%
Walla Walla Sch. D. #140	\$1,571,323,202	\$990,000	\$120,000	\$141,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$21,780,000	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$20,580,000	26%	\$20,580,000	26%
Walla Walla, City of	\$1,157,433,478	\$4,161,550	\$41,421	\$0	\$0	\$4,120,129	24%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,120,129	5%
Whatcom															

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. Non-voted GO Svc. Funds	D. Lease- Purchase	E. Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. Voted GO Serv. Funds	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Bellingham Sch. D. #501	\$5,979,701,801	\$0	\$0	\$56,970	\$56,970	\$0	0%	\$73,095,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,338,605	\$65,756,395	22%	\$65,756,395	22%
Bellingham, City of	\$4,598,293,447	\$10,133,076	\$526,267	\$0	\$0	\$9,606,809	14%	\$3,065,000	\$0	\$0	\$275,148	\$2,789,852	1%	\$12,396,661	4%
Blaine, City of	\$429,310,607	\$2,005,000	\$54,723	\$158,416	\$158,416	\$1,950,277	30%	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,600,000	5%	\$3,550,277	11%
Everson, City of	\$73,002,686	\$0	\$0	\$37,738	\$266,479	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Ferndale Sch. D. #502	\$1,918,325,447	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$23,055,000	\$0	\$3,280,995	\$19,774,005	21%	\$19,774,005	21%
Ferndale, City of	\$522,005,868	\$1,220,000	\$6,366	\$0	\$0	\$1,213,634	15%	\$540,000	\$0	\$0	\$910	\$539,090	1%	\$1,752,724	4%
Lynden Sch. D. #504	\$942,923,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,510,000	\$0	\$1,610,956	\$7,899,044	17%	\$7,899,044	17%
Lynden, City of	\$602,769,740	\$4,715,000	\$73,035	\$0	\$0	\$4,641,965	51%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,641,965	10%
Meridian Sch. D. #505	\$481,913,778	\$317,583	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$317,583	18%	\$0	\$6,230,000	\$0	\$852,144	\$5,377,856	22%	\$5,695,439	24%
Mt. Baker Sch. D. #507	\$743,600,563	\$946,063	\$2,036,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$14,510,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,510,000	39%	\$14,510,000	39%
Nooksack Valley Sch. D. #37-5	\$506,937,706	\$400,000	\$62,541	\$0	\$0	\$337,459	18%	\$0	\$14,950,000	\$0	\$746,114	\$14,203,886	56%	\$14,541,345	57%
Nooksack, City of	\$31,128,362	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$276,541	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Sumas, City of	\$137,026,524	\$0	\$137,650	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Whatcom County	\$12,616,676,560	\$15,830,000	\$506	\$16,909	\$0	\$15,846,403	8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$15,846,403	5%
Whitman															
Albion, Town of	\$14,327,930	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Colfax Sch. D. #300	\$234,986,996	\$0	\$0	\$13,902	\$1,255,673	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,975,000	\$0	\$325,457	\$1,649,543	14%	\$1,649,543	14%
Colfax, City of	\$111,632,715	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Colton Sch. D. #306	\$73,193,159	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Colton, Town of	\$15,298,318	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$38,945	\$0	\$11,455	\$27,490	2%	\$27,490	2%
Endicott Sch. D. #308	\$60,680,033	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$835,000	\$0	\$835,000	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Endicott, Town of	\$8,498,906	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Farmington, Town of	\$4,087,073	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Garfield Sch. D. #302	\$43,526,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Garfield, Town of	\$15,038,819	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
LaCrosse Sch. D. #126	\$102,458,047	\$0	\$0	\$15,293	\$0	\$15,293	4%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$15,293	0%
LaCrosse, Town of	\$8,168,191	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lamont Sch. D. #264	\$23,080,295	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Lamont, Town of	\$3,502,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Oakesdale Sch. D. #324	\$64,440,474	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Oakesdale, Town of	\$12,578,744	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Palouse Sch. D. #302	\$65,554,543	\$0	\$0	\$19,822	\$50,415	\$0	0%	\$645,000	\$0	\$0	\$97,977	\$547,023	17%	\$547,023	17%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Palouse, City of	\$33,370,799	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Pullman Sch. D. #267	\$896,480,705	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$27,395,000	\$0	\$921,629	\$26,473,371	59%	\$26,473,371	59%
Pullman, City of	\$759,219,040	\$685,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$685,000	6%	\$1,205,000	\$0	\$0	\$405,857	\$799,143	1%	\$1,484,143	3%
Rosalia, Town of	\$17,514,026	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
St. John Sch. D. #322	\$114,232,093	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,555,000	\$0	\$1,555,000	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
St. John, Town of	\$21,859,841	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Stepoe Sch. D. #304	\$22,160,384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Tekoa Sch. D. #265	\$42,527,678	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Tekoa, City of	\$18,112,175	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Uniontown, Town of	\$15,508,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Whitman County	\$1,839,301,040	\$1,989,617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,989,617	7%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$1,989,617	4%
Yakima															
East Valley Sch. D. #90	\$735,177,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$5,640,000	\$0	\$1,687,676	\$3,952,324	11%	\$3,952,324	11%
Grandview Sch. #200	\$453,842,679	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$18,910,000	\$0	\$1,205,595	\$17,704,405	78%	\$17,704,405	78%
Grandview, City of	\$270,800,702	\$0	\$0	\$188,253	\$188,253	\$0	0%	\$685,000	\$0	\$0	\$42,731	\$642,269	3%	\$642,269	3%
Granger Sch. D. #204	\$167,343,765	\$105,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$105,000	17%	\$0	\$2,460,000	\$0	\$218,981	\$2,241,019	27%	\$2,346,019	28%
Granger, Town of	\$51,497,114	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Harrah, Town of	\$14,543,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Highland Sch. D. #203	\$254,945,362	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$6,865,000	\$0	\$6,865,000	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mabton Sch. D. #120	\$101,548,564	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,435,000	\$0	\$73,513	\$1,361,487	27%	\$1,361,487	27%
Mabton, City of	\$24,172,331	\$0	\$0	\$69,790	\$69,790	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Moxee, City of	\$61,668,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Mt. Adams Sch. D. #209	\$121,820,315	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$365,000	\$0	\$117,961	\$247,039	4%	\$247,039	4%
Naches Valley Sch. D. #3	\$458,073,523	\$0	\$193,676	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$3,875,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,875,000	17%	\$3,875,000	17%
Naches, Town of	\$28,586,952	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Selah Sch. D. #119	\$957,588,584	\$0	\$0	\$691,656	\$4,355,686	\$0	0%	\$0	\$13,935,000	\$0	\$1,010,958	\$12,924,042	27%	\$12,924,042	27%
Selah, City of	\$389,631,901	\$4,820,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,820,000	82%	\$260,000	\$550,000	\$0	\$101,331	\$708,669	2%	\$5,528,669	19%
Sunnyside Sch. D. #201	\$883,599,767	\$775,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$775,000	23%	\$0	\$9,370,000	\$0	\$641,117	\$8,728,883	20%	\$9,503,883	22%
Sunnyside, City of	\$470,777,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$4,440,000	\$0	\$0	\$20,998	\$4,419,002	13%	\$4,419,002	13%
Sunnyside, Port of	\$956,363,949	\$2,370,643	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,370,643	99%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$2,370,643	33%
Tieton, Town of	\$46,578,871	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$491,523	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Toppenish Sch. D. #202	\$396,610,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$10,095,000	\$0	\$783,117	\$9,311,883	47%	\$9,311,883	47%

	A. Assessed Valuation	B. Non-voted GO Debt	C. <i>Non-voted GO Svc. Funds</i>	D. Lease- Purchase	E. <i>Lease-Pur. Svc. Funds</i>	F. Non-voted GO Debt	G. % of Cap.	H. Voted GO / General	I. Voted GO / Util. / Capital	J. Voted GO / Parks	K. <i>Voted GO Serv. Funds</i>	L. Total Voted GO Debt	M. % of Cap.	N. Total GO Debt	O. % of Cap.
Toppenish, City of	\$215,060,602	\$540,000	\$125,290	\$50,565	\$29,977	\$435,298	13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$435,298	3%
Union Gap Sch. D. #2	\$257,153,599	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
Union Gap, City of	\$358,905,963	\$3,140,675	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,140,675	58%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$3,140,675	12%
Wapato Sch. D. #207	\$428,070,466	\$454,642	\$1,219,626	\$271,695	\$1,230,659	\$0	0%	\$0	\$9,185,000	\$0	\$1,219,626	\$7,965,374	37%	\$7,965,374	37%
Wapato, City of	\$119,997,877	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	0%
West Valley Sch. D. #208	\$1,388,227,629	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$16,185,000	\$0	\$3,051,075	\$13,133,925	19%	\$13,133,925	19%
Yakima County	\$10,197,814,475	\$48,625,000	\$22,678	\$16,484,322	\$11,811,423	\$53,275,221	35%	\$6,475,000	\$0	\$0	\$286,117	\$6,188,883	2%	\$59,464,104	23%
Yakima Sch. D. #7	\$3,236,311,193	\$0	\$0	\$2,507,930	\$2,507,930	\$0	0%	\$0	\$47,555,000	\$0	\$5,423,616	\$42,131,384	26%	\$42,131,384	26%
Yakima, City of	\$3,673,433,781	\$17,328,500	\$601,516	\$825,608	\$5,591,719	\$11,960,873	22%	\$2,710,000	\$0	\$0	\$211,320	\$2,498,680	1%	\$14,459,553	5%
Zillah, City of	\$100,542,809	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	1%	\$80,000	1%

Free services of the

BOND USERS CLEARINGHOUSE

› ***MUNICIPAL BONDS IN WASHINGTON MONTHLY UPDATE NEWSLETTER***

Electronic edition: Identical to the print version except for stylish splashes of color. This is a PDF document e-mailed up to two weeks earlier than the print edition, because it doesn't need to be published and snail mailed. This edition also saves state dollars on printing and postage. Back issues are posted on our web page.

Print edition: Generally mailed the third week of each month.

› ***SPREADSHEET OF BOND ISSUE DATA***

Available as an Excel 2000 file and e-mailed when the *Monthly Update* is distributed electronically. Not posted on web site.

› ***ARCHIVES OF BOND ISSUE INFORMATION***

To receive a copy of an official statement or Bond 101 report form, please fill out a "Bond Issue Info Request Form" on our web site.

› ***THE GO REPORT: AN ANALYSIS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT***

Electronic edition: This PDF document is sent as an e-mail at the beginning of each year, and is posted on our web site.

Print edition: Mailed roughly three weeks after going to press.

To get on a distribution list, please fill out the subscription form posted on our web page

This publication is available in alternate format upon request. Events sponsored by CTED are accessible to persons with disabilities. Accommodations may be arranged with a minimum of 10 working days notice by calling 360/725-3019.